RESEARCH



Soil fertility status and nutrients provided to spring barley (*Hordeum distichon* L.) by pig slurry

Melisa Gómez-Garrido^{1*}, Silvia Martínez-Martínez¹, Ángel Faz Cano¹, Asuman Büyükkılıç-Yanardag¹, and Joselito M. Arocena²

Nutrient recycling using pig slurry is a common agricultural practice to manage the ever-increasing amounts of wastes from the pig industry. This study was conducted in the southeast of Spain to quantify the enrichments in major (N, P, K, Mg) and minor (Zn, Fe, Cu, and Mn) nutrients in soils amended with D1-170 kg N ha⁻¹ (European Union legislated dose) or D2-340 kg N ha⁻¹, and understand the influence of pig slurry on yield and nutrient uptake in two crop seasons of spring barley (*Hordeum distichon* L.) Compared to control, D2 increased NO₃⁻-N by 11.4X to 109 mg kg⁻¹, Olsen-P by 6.9X to 423 mg kg⁻¹, exchange K (2.5X to 1.6 cmol₊ kg⁻¹), Mg (1.7X to 1.8 cmol₊ kg⁻¹), diethylene-triamine pentaacetic acid (DTPA)-Zn (94X to 18.2 mg kg⁻¹), and Fe (2X to 11.3 mg kg⁻¹). Available NO₃⁻-N, Olsen-P, and DTPA-Zn have the best correlations with crop yield and nutrient uptake. These results indicate that the assessment of soil fertility status at 1-mo after pig slurry addition provides a good indicator for potential yield and uptake of barley. However, it is suggested that leachates should be monitored to effectively manage potential releases of nitrate and phosphate into the environment.

Key words: Biomass yield, field experiment, microplots, optimal timing.

INTRODUCTION

Intensive pig production generates large quantities of organic wastes such as pig slurry (PS). Global pig production produced more than 1.5 billion tons of pig manure in 2005 (Kuligowski et al., 2010). In Spain, the pig industry released an estimated 71 million m³ of waste residues in 2008 (MARM, 2008) and in the last 10 yr, hog farms produce 4 million tons of PS every year (Real Decreto 324/2000, 2000; Eurostat, 2010; MARM, 2010). The disposal of large quantities of pig wastes presents several environmental challenges and economic opportunities for producers, environmental scientists, engineers as well as government regulators (Martínez and Burton, 1998).

Agricultural utilization of pig wastes as organic fertilizer is a common practice in many pig-producing countries and as soil reclamation amendment in anthropogenically-altered environments (e.g., industrial and mine tailing deposits). Pig slurry is a valuable source of N for maize and other crops (Daudén and Quílez, 2004; Biau et al., 2012) and soil organic matter (SOM) for the

doi:10.4067/S0718-58392014000100012

reclamation of mine wastes deposits (e.g., Zanuzzi et al., 2009; Zornoza et al., 2012). Use of PS in agriculture increases the fertility status by supplying essential nutrients such as N and P as well as improves physical properties of soils (Ayuso et al., 1996; Gilly and Eghball, 2002; Soumare et al., 2003; Biau et al., 2012). Utilization of PS as N source (148-590 kg N ha-1) increased the yield of willow plantation in eastern Canada by 14.4-24.4% (30.3-32.9 t ha⁻¹) compared to Control plots (Cavanagh et al., 2011). Furthermore, for corn fertilized with PS in northeast Spain, biomass, yield and N uptake were similar to plots treated with mineral fertilizer (Daudén and Quílez, 2004). Other studies suggested that crop responses to organic manures and fertilizer treatments were dependent on site location, soil type or year of application (Gagnon et al., 1997; Stevenson et al., 1998). Yagüe et al. (2012) reported a 74% increased in water-stable aggregates due to application of PS in soils of northeastern Spain.

The main objective of this study was to understand agricultural benefits associated with PS application to agricultural crops in the vicinity of intensive pig industry in a typical Mediterranean environment. Specifically, our purposes were (1) to monitor changes in amounts of major (N, P, K, and Mg) and minor (Zn, Fe, Cu, and Mn) nutrients in soils, and (2) quantify the yield and uptake of spring barley (*Hordeum distichon* L.) in response to two applications of PS in two doses into an agricultural soil in southeast Spain. The information reported in this research will be useful to farmers in relation to the amount and optimal timing of soil PS applications to barley cultivation in semiarid Mediterranean environments.

¹Universidad Politécnica de Cartagena, Departamento de Ciencia y Tecnología Agraria, Paseo Alfonso XIII, 52, 30203 Cartagena, Murcia, España.

^{*}Corresponding author (melisa.gomezgarrido@hotmail.com).

²University of Northern British Columbia, College of Science and Management, 3333 University Way, Prince George, British Columbia, Canada V2N4Z9. *Received: 7 June 2013. Accepted: 28 November 2013.*

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area and experimental design

The experiments were conducted in Doña Inés, Murcia province $(37^{\circ}55'27" \text{ N}, 1^{\circ}49'37" \text{ W}; 692 \text{ m a.s.l.})$, southeast Spain. The study site, with 3.4% slope, has semiarid Mediterranean climate with mean annual temperature of 15 °C, a mean annual precipitation of 300 mm and Typic Haplocalcid (USDA, 2010) is the dominant soil. Selected properties from 42 samples collected prior to application of treatments are as follows: pH 8.2, electrical conductivity (EC) 0.27 dS m⁻¹, total N 609 mg kg⁻¹, 62 mg Olsen-P kg⁻¹, 9 cmol₊ kg⁻¹ cation exchange capacity (CEC), 0.5 cmol₊ kg⁻¹ exchangeable K, and 75.1% CaCO₃.

A completely randomized design experiment consisting of two doses of PS and control applied to two crops of spring barley was conducted for 2 yr (March 2009-June 2011) in a piece of vacant land with history of cereal cultivations without any past addition of inorganic fertilizers. The first barley crop was planted in December 2009 and harvested in June 2010 while the second season started in January 2010 and ended in July 2011. The three treatments: Control without PS, D1 170 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, D2 340 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, were applied on a 25 m² plot and separated from each other by a 3-m wide corridor. First PS applications were added on October 2009 using 1.2 g N L-1 or 430 L PS for D1 and 860 L PS for D2 plots. After 1 yr (October 2010), we repeated PS applications using 300 and 600 L of PS in D1 and D2 plots, respectively. The applied PS materials were collected from an intensive pig farm maternity located in the surroundings ("Doña Inés" farm, Lorca, Murcia) and plowed into 0-30 cm surface layer of soils in the study area. There were three replicated plots for each D1 and D2 treatments but due to limited logistics and uniformity of the land area, there was only one plot in the control treatment. However, three random sampling points were used in each plot throughout the experiments.

Selected properties of PS amendments used in the experiment include: pH 7.2 (2009) and 7.6 (2010), total N 1.0 (2009) and 1.4 g N L^{-1} (2010), total P 118 (2009) and 184 g N L^{-1} (2010) (Table 1).

Soil sample collection and analyses

Soil samples were collected every 3-5-mo throughout the duration of the experiment. We designated the five soil sampling events with respect to the application of PS and cultivation of the two barley crop seasons:

(A) 2009-2010 Barley Crop Season: Pre-Crop 1 (PC1) November 2009, 1-mo after the first PS application; Crop Season 1 (CS1) - March 2010, maturity stage of barley.
(B) 2010-2011 Barley Crop Season: Residual (RES) July 2010, prior to second application of PS; Pre-Crop 2 (PC2) December 2010, 1-mo after the second application of PS; Crop Season 2 (CS2) June 2011, maturity stage of barley.

Table 1. Mean and standard error (parentheses) of selected properties of pig slurry collected from a pig farm maternity located in Lorca, Murcia, Spain, used in the experiment, n = 3.

Properties	2009 Pig slurry	2010 Pig slurry
Density, g mL-1	1.00 (0.00)	0.90 (0.03)
pH	7.2 (0.02)	7.6 (0.03)
EC, mS cm ⁻¹	16.1 (0.03)	16.7 (1.1)
Moisture, %	95 (0.73)	96 (0.87)
Total N, g L ⁻¹	1.00 (0.04)	1.41 (0.02)
NH4 ⁺ -N, g L ⁻¹	0.81 (0.04)	1.18 (0.01)
P, mg L ⁻¹	118 (5)	184 (14)
Mg, mg L ⁻¹	71 (15.3)	145 (8.9)
Ca, mg L-1	113 (4.9)	141 (7.8)
K, mg L ⁻¹	836 (7)	1020 (55)
Na, mg L ⁻¹	868 (11)	1194 (17)
Zn, mg L ⁻¹	3.4 (0.16)	3.9 (1.16)
Fe, mg L-1	3.8 (0.41)	6.5 (1.48)
Cu, mg L-1	0.67 (0.02)	0.50 (0.17)
Mn, mg L ⁻¹	0.42 (0.08)	0.69 (0.10)

Samples prior to PS application (March and July 2009) were collected to determine the initial characteristics of the soil. Each sample was a composite sample from three random points in every plot at surface (0-30 cm) and subsurface (30-60 cm) soils. Each soil sample was air-dried and sieved < 2 mm before analyses. Soil data reported in this research are limited to 0-30 cm results because our focus was to report the benefits of PS to the growth of spring barley. The 30-60 cm soil samples are primarily for the evaluation of potential NO₃⁻ leaching and results will be reported elsewhere.

Soil pH was measured in de-ionized water (1:2.5 w/v) with a pH meter (GLP 21, Crison, Barcelona, Spain) while EC was measured in a 1:5 soil:water solution using a ECmeter (GLP 31, Crison, Barcelona, Spain). Organic carbon (OC) was determined by K₂Cr₂O₇ oxidation (Walkley and Black, 1934) while total N was estimated using the Kjeldahl method (Duchaufour, 1970). Concentrations of NH4+-N and NO3-N were determined by UV-visible spectrophotometry (spectrophotometer UVmini-1240, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) in a 2 M KCl extract (1:10 w/v) (Keeney and Nelson, 1982; Sempere et al., 1993; Kandeler et al., 1999). Available P was measured using the sodium-bicarbonate extraction-based Olsen-P method (Burt, 2004) while the bio-available minor elements (Zn. Fe, Cu, and Mn) were estimated using the diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid (DTPA) method (Lindsay and Norvell, 1978).

Plant sample collection and analyses

At the end of each crop season, all barley plants within a randomly-selected 1 m^2 area in each plot were collected to estimate biomass and uptake of major and minor elements. Total biomass (ear + grain) was determined in the field and five representative barley plants were chosen and taken to the laboratory for length, weight, moisture content, major and minor elements uptake measurements. Plant samples were dried and ground prior to laboratory analyses.

The total uptake of major and minor elements was determined in plant samples using the procedure recommended by Madrid et al. (1996). Approximately 0.7 g ground sample (ear and grain) were dried at 480 °C for 24 h and digested using 0.6 N HNO₃. Total Na and K were measured by flame photometry while Ca, Mg, Zn, Fe, Cu, and Mn were determined by atomic absorption technique (AAnalyst 800, PerkinElmer Precisely, Shelton, Connecticut, USA). Total P in plant sample was determined from the HNO₃-digest using the ammonium molybdate method (Jeffery et al., 1989).

Total N in plant samples was determined by the Kjeldahl method (Duchaufour, 1970). Nitrate content in plant sample was estimated after reaction of 0.45-0.50g ground sample with 25 mL distilled water. The NO₃⁻-N in the extract was photometrically (photometer PF-11, Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) estimated using the 2,6-dimethyl-phenol in a mixture of H₂SO₄-H₃PO₄ acid method test Nanocolor (APHA, AWWA, WPCF, 1992).

Statistical analyses

A two-factor design (i.e., amendments – Control, D1, D2, and sampling times - PC1, CS1, RES, PC2, and CS2) was used to evaluate temporal changes to soil properties due to PS applications. The Fisher LSD test was conducted to compare means of significantly different treatments. Correlations between various forms of soil N, available P, exchangeable K, Mg, Na, bio-available Zn, Fe, Cu, and Mn and plant uptake of major and minor nutrients and biomass yields were also determined. All statistical analyses were conducted using Statistica version 10 (StatSoft Inc., 2011).

RESULTS

Soil properties

All soil properties but total N exhibited significant interactions between treatments and times of sample collection (Tables 2-5). Soil pH ranged from 7.4 (PC2-D2) to 8.6 (CS1-Control) while EC in D2 plots in the PC2 and CS2 sampling periods were significantly the highest values at 0.40 and 0.34 dS m⁻¹, respectively (Table 2). Calcium carbonate contents varied from 52% in PC1-D2 plot to 68% in PC1-control. The amounts of Olsen-P were generally higher in D1 and D2 than control plots at all sampling events. Olsen-P in control plots ranged from 181 to 191 mg P kg⁻¹ while the low and high limits in D1 and D2 were 80-260 and 243-423 mg P kg⁻¹, respectively (Table 2).

The sum of NO₃⁻-N and NH₄⁺-N constituted < 10% compared to total N (Table 3). In PC1 samples, NH₄⁺-N was greater than NO₃⁻-N but the reverse was true for samples during the PC2 collection especially for D1 and D2 treatments. Total N did not show interactions between time of sampling and amounts of PS additions. Organic C contents (g C kg⁻¹) ranged from 8.3 in PC1-D1 samples to 16.2 in CS2-D2 plots while C/N ratio remained < 25

Table 2. Changes to mean and standard error (parentheses) of pH in H₂O, electrical conductivity (EC), CaCO₃, and Olsen-P at five sampling periods during two consecutive cropping seasons of spring barley grown in agricultural soil amended with two doses (D1 = 170 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; D2 = 340 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) and two applications (October 2010 and 2011) of pig slurry in Lorca, Spain, *n* = number of observations.

			EC	CaCO ₃	Olsen-P
Treatment	n	pH in H ₂ O	dS m ⁻¹	%	mg kg-1
Pre-crop 1 (Nov	ember 2009)			
Control	3	8.4 (0.12)de [†]	0.17 (0.01)ab	68 (5.80)g	191 (36.1)bc
D1	9	8.2 (0.06)d	0.19 (0.02)ab	54 (1.70)ab	80 (21.9)a
D2	9	8.3 (0.04)de	0.26 (0.01)cd	52 (0.90)a	355 (2.0)fg
Crop seasor	n 1 (1	March 2010)			
Control	3	8.6 (0.08)e	0.14 (0.02)a	57 (0.50)bcd	181 (3.4)bc
D1	9	8.5 (0.04)e	0.20 (0.02)ab	59 (1.40)cde	230 (23.1)cd
D2	9	8.4 (0.09)de	0.20 (0.01)ab	54 (0.40)ab	297 (28.6)def
Residual (Ju	ıly 2	010)			
Control	3	8.4 (0.15)de	0.20 (0.04)ab	57 (0.55)bcd	187 (1.3)bc
D1	9	8.5 (0.05)e	0.21 (0.03)abc	53 (1.40)a	225 (16.5)cd
D2	9	8.5 (0.04)e	0.24 (0.01)bc	57 (1.20)bc	243 (16.6)cd
Pre-crop 2 (Dec	ember 2010)			
Control	3	8.1 (0.17)cd	0.16 (0.01)a	60 (0.54)c-f	186 (2.7)bc
D1	9	7.9 (0.06)bc	0.29 (0.02)de	61 (0.90)def	260 (22.6)cde
D2	9	7.4 (0.21)a	0.40 (0.02)f	61 (0.68)def	423 (58.8)g
Crop season 2 (June 2011)					
Control	3	8.1 (0.09)cd	0.15 (0.02)a	59 (0.66)c-f	182 (1.6)bc
D1	9	8.0 (0.03)bc	0.19 (0.02)ab	62 (0.41)ef	250 (12.0)cde
D2	9	7.8 (0.05)b	0.34 (0.02)e	63 (0.62)f	325 (31.8)ef

[†]Within each column, means followed by similar letter are not significantly different according to Fisher LSD test (p > 0.05).

in all samples at various treatments and time of sample collections.

Exchangeable cations and CEC varied with treatments and time of sampling (Table 4). In PC1 and CS1 collections, exchangeable Na in D1 and D2 > control while the reverse trend was observed in CS2. Exchangeable K contents (cmol₊ kg⁻¹) ranged from 0.27 in CS2-Control to 1.60 in PC2-D2. Treatments D1 and D2 contained higher exchangeable Mg than control plots at all sampling events. There was no clear trend with respect to the influence of PS additions at various times of sampling on changes in the CEC of soils that varied from 7.9 in RES-D2 to 10.7 cmol₊ kg⁻¹ in PC1-D2 plots.

The amounts of DTPA-extractable metals showed that DTPA-Zn contents in D2 plots (7.0-18.2 mg Zn kg⁻¹) at all sampling events were significantly higher than in control samples (0.02-0.51 mg Zn kg⁻¹) (Table 5). Iron extractable by DTPA in D1 (1.8-7.2 mg Fe kg⁻¹) and D2 (5.0-11.3 mg Fe kg⁻¹) plots were higher than control (3.5-6.1 mg Fe kg⁻¹) in samples collected during PC1, CS1, and PC2 events. DTPA-Cu contents (mg Cu kg⁻¹) ranged from 1.1 (CS2-Control) to 3.3 (PC1-D2) while contents of Mn in DTPA extracts (mg Mn kg⁻¹) varied from 12.2 in CS2-control to 106.0 in PC1-D2 (Table 5).

Biomass yield and uptake of major and minor nutrients by spring barley

The total biomass of spring barley in D1 and D2 plots were higher compared to control plots in both 2010 and 2011 crop seasons (Figure 1). It was noted that biomass yields

Table 3. Changes to mean and standard error (parentheses) of NO₃⁻-N, NH₄⁺-N, total N, organic C, and C/N ratio at five sampling periods during two consecutive cropping seasons of spring barley grown in agricultural soil amended with two doses (D1 = 170 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; D2 = 340 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) and two applications (October 2010 and 2011) of pig slurry in Lorca southeast Spain, n = number of observations.

		NO ₃ -N	NH4 ⁺ -N	Total N [‡]	Org C	
Treatment n	n		mg kg ⁻¹		g kg-1	C/N
Pre-crop 1 (Nove	mber 2009)					
Control	3	9.6 (0.50)ab [†]	20.9 (0.38)fg	555 (7)	9.9 (1.06)abc	17 (1.6)a-e
D1	9	9.8 (1.41)ab	22.6 (2.42)g	663 (63)	8.3 (1.01)a	12 (0.5)a
D2	9	22.6 (0.66)b	22.1 (0.15)g	772 (6)	10.3 (0.41)ab	13 (0.4)ab
Crop season 1 (M	arch 2010)					
Control	3	3.2 (0.37)a	3.4 (0.12)a	427 (28)	15.8 (0.64)efg	37 (3.8)f
D1	9	9.7 (1.95)a	12.9 (1.59)d	650 (68)	14.5 (0.73)efg	24 (2.1)e
D2	9	6.8 (0.67)a	14.5 (0.88)de	630 (26)	14.2 (0.53)d-g	23 (1.9)e
Residual (July 20	10)					
Control	3	3.6 (0.10)a	17.8 (2.53)ef	531 (7)	13.1 (1.50)b-g	25 (3.1)e
D1	9	7.9 (1.35)a	6.0 (0.91)g	665 (45)	15.6 (0.93)fg	24 (1.7)e
D2	9	15.5 (0.45)ab	9.7 (0.74)bc	546 (21)	12.1 (0.32)bcd	22 (1.4)de
Pre-crop 2 (Decer	mber 2010)					
Control	3	3.9 (0.23)a	12.8 (1.27)cde	559 (6)	10.6 (0.72)abc	19 (1.1)a-e
D1	9	78.5 (8.53)c	6.7 (0.88)ab	797 (73)	12.0 (0.83)bcd	15 (1.8)abc
D2	9	109.0 (11.80)d	15.2 (0.48)de	892 (74)	15.5 (1.44)fg	17 (2.7)bcd
Crop season 2 (Ju	ine 2011)					
Control	3	3.6 (0.56)a	5.5 (0.15)ab	560 (81)	12.5 (1.44)b-f	22 (2.9)de
D1	9	14.6 (3.84)ab	5.9 (0.70)a	673 (47)	13.1 (0.83)cde	19 (1.5)cde
D2	9	73.6 (1.52)c	7.3 (0.73)ab	748 (47)	16.2 (0.83)g	21 (2.3)cd

[†]Within each column, means followed by similar letter are not significantly different according to Fisher LSD test (p > 0.05). [‡] Non significant.

Table 4. Changes to mean and standard error (parentheses) of exchangeable Na, K, Mg, and CEC at five sampling periods during two consecutive cropping seasons of spring barley grown in agricultural soil amended with two doses (D1 = 170 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; D2 = 340 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) and two applications (October 2010 and 2011) of pig slurry in Lorca southeast Spain, n = number of observations.

	Exch Na	Exch K	Exch Mg	CEC	
n	cmol + kg ⁻¹				
Pre-crop 1 (November 2009)					
3	0.86 (0.05)def	0.38 (0.08)ab	0.70 (0.02)ab	9.3 (0.43)de	
9	1.10 (0.13)fg	0.83 (0.20)bcd	0.86 (0.03)ab	9.7 (0.21)e	
9	1.20 (0.05)g	0.37 (0.5)a	0.70 (0.02)f	10.7 (0.26)f	
n 1 ((March 2010)				
3	0.16 (0.02)a	0.36 (0.05)ab	0.69 (0.01)ab	9.0 (0.02)b-e	
9	0.18 (0.02)a	1.10 (0.29)d	0.81 (0.04)ab	8.8 (0.15)bd	
9	0.50 (0.14)bc	0.79 (0.03)bcd	1.50 (0.09)e	8.1 (0.14)ac	
uly 2	2010)				
3	0.39 (0.06)abc	0.42 (0.02)ab	0.71 (0.02)ab	8.5 (0.07)a-d	
9	0.48 (0.07)bc	1.00 (0.22)cd	0.85 (0.04)ab	8.1 (0.29)abc	
9	0.62 (0.02)cd	0.77 (0.07)a-e	1.30 (0.04)de	7.9 (0.49)a	
(Dec	cember 2010)				
3	0.73 (0.09)cde	0.48 (0.24)abc	0.97 (0.08)bc	8.9 (0.43)a-e	
9	0.73 (0.05)d	1.00 (0.14)cd	1.20 (0.04)cd	10.6 (0.38)f	
9	1.00 (0.04)efg	1.60 (0.09)e	1.80 (0.08)f	9.2 (0.11)de	
Crop season 2 (June 2011)					
3	1.20 (0.05)g	0.27 (0.01)ab	0.66 (0.02)a	8.4 (0.21)a-d	
9	0.34 (0.04)ab	0.84 (0.15)bcd	0.79 (0.03)a	8.9 (0.29)bd	
9	0.64 (0.07)cd	1.10 (0.03)d	1.20 (0.01)d	8.0 (0.07)ac	
	n (Noo 3 9 9 9 11 (3 9 9 9 9 (Dee 3 9 9 9 0 12 (3 9 9 9 0 12 (3 9 9 9	Exch Na n (November 2009) 3 0.86 (0.05)def 9 1.10 (0.13)fg 9 1.20 (0.05)g n 1 (March 2010) 3 3 0.16 (0.02)a 9 0.18 (0.02)a 9 0.50 (0.14)bc uly 2010) 3 3 0.39 (0.06)abc 9 0.48 (0.07)bc 9 0.62 (0.02)cd (December 2010) 3 3 0.73 (0.09)cde 9 0.73 (0.05)d 9 1.20 (0.05)g n 2 (June 2011) 3 3 1.20 (0.05)g 9 0.34 (0.04)ab 9 0.34 (0.04)ab	Exch Na Exch K n cmol + (November 2009) $(0.38 (0.05) def$ $0.38 (0.02) bcd$ 3 $0.86 (0.05) def$ $0.38 (0.02) bcd$ 9 $1.10 (0.13) fg$ $0.83 (0.20) bcd$ 9 $1.20 (0.05) g$ $0.37 (0.5) a$ 1 (March 2010) $0.36 (0.05) ab$ 3 $0.16 (0.02) a$ $0.36 (0.05) ab$ 9 $0.18 (0.02) a$ $0.36 (0.05) ab$ 9 $0.18 (0.02) a$ $0.36 (0.05) ab$ 9 $0.18 (0.02) a$ $0.36 (0.02) ab$ 9 $0.18 (0.02) a$ $0.79 (0.03) bcd$ uly 2010) $1.00 (0.22) cd$ $0.77 (0.07) ac$ 3 $0.39 (0.06) abc$ $0.42 (0.02) ab$ 9 $0.62 (0.02) cd$ $0.77 (0.07) ac$ (December 2010) $1.00 (0.14) cd$ 9 3 $0.73 (0.05) cd$ $1.00 (0.14) cd$ 9 $0.73 (0.05) dt$ $1.00 (0.04) abc$ 9 $0.30 (0.05) dt$ $1.00 (0.04) abc$ 9 $0.34 (0.04) ab$ $0.84 (0.15) bcd$	Exch Na Exch K Exch Mg n cmol + kg ⁻¹ (November 2009)	

[†]Within each column, means followed by similar letter are not significantly different according to Fisher LSD test (p > 0.05). CEC: cation exchange capacity.

in 2011 (323-663 kg ha⁻¹) were almost half compared to 2010 (799-1342 kg ha⁻¹). Contents of available NO₃⁻-N in PC1 and RES were significantly correlated with the 2010 and 2011 yields with R values equal to 0.86 and 0.85, respectively (Figure 1, Table 7). Olsen-P were also highly correlated with biomass yields (R = 0.90 for both 2010 and 2011). Among minor elements, PC1- and RES-DTPA-Zn had the highest correlations with yields at R =

0.83 and 0.90 for 2010 and 2011, respectively (Table 6).

Total uptake of spring barley for various nutrients correlated well with soil variables measured in the study (Figures 2 and 3, Table 7). Total N uptake (mg kg⁻¹) of barley ranged from low < 2000 (2010 grain) to about 24 500 (2010 grain and ear). In 2010, total N in plants was best correlated with available NO₃⁻-N in PC1 (R = 0.58 and 0.71, respectively for grain and ear) while available NO₃⁻-N in CS2 had the highest R values (0.83 and 0.79,

Table 5. Changes to mean and standard error (parentheses) of bioavailable Zn, Fe, Cu, and Mn at five sampling periods during two consecutive cropping seasons of spring barley grown in agricultural soil amended with two doses (D1 = 170 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; D2 = 340 kg N ha⁻¹ yr¹) and two applications (October 2010 and 2011) of pig slurry in Lorca southeast Spain, *n* = number of observations.

		Zn	Fe	Cu	Mn
Treatment	n		m	g kg-1	
Pre-crop 1	(N	ovember 2009)			
Control	3	0.49 (0.09)ab†	6.1 (0.39)ef	2.0 (0.21)b	42.2 (2.5)e
D1	9	2.60 (1.02)ab	7.2 (0.74)f	2.6 (0.15)cd	68.2 (9.45)f
D2	9	18.20 (1.70)d	11.3 (0.58)g	3.3 (0.13)e	106.0 (4.24)g
Crop seaso	n 1	(March 2010)			
Control	3	0.51 (0.02)ab	3.5 (0.34)bc	2.0 (0.09)b	15.4 (0.56)abc
D1	9	2.70 (1.01)ab	3.8 (0.23)c	2.4 (0.14)bc	20.8 (1.71)abc
D2	9	7.70 (0.58)c	5.0 (0.33)de	2.4 (0.10)bc	24.9 (1.14)bcd
Residual (J	ſuly	2010)			
Control	3	0.32 (0.03)a	3.7 (0.03)cd	2.7 (0.15)cd	16.7 (0.38)abc
D1	9	2.80 (1.16)ab	3.7 (0.07)cd	2.5 (0.12)cd	20.8 (1.02)abc
D2	9	7.30 (0.46)c	4.4 (0.11)cd	2.1 (0.06)b	19.2 (0.81)abc
Pre-crop 2	(D	ecember 2010)			
Control	3	0.36 (0.06)a	4.7 (0.26)cde	2.0 (0.22)b	25.8 (3.71)abcd
D1	9	3.90 (0.73)b	4.6 (0.21)cd	2.3 (0.12)bc	27.6 (1.59)cd
D2	9	16.2 (0.89)d	6.8 (0.38)f	2.8 (0.08)d	32.8 (0.62)de
Crop season 2 (June 2011)					
Control	3	0.02 (0.003)a	1.7 (0.02)a	1.1 (0.04)a	12.2 (0.83)ab
D1	9	2.20 (0.73)a	1.8 (0.23)a	1.2 (0.09)a	14.8 (0.65)a
D2	9	7.00 (0.51)c	2.2 (0.21)ab	1.3 (0.10)a	16.0 (0.95)ab

[†]Within each column, means followed by similar letter are not significantly different according to Fisher LSD test (p > 0.05).

Table 6. Statistically significant correlations between major (N, P, K, Mg) and minor (Zn, Fe, Cu, and Mn) and biomass of spring barley grown in 2010 and 2011 in an agricultural soil amended with two doses (D1 = 170 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; D2 = 340 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) and two applications (October 2010 and 2011) of pig slurry in Lorca southeast Spain.

	2010 Pre-Crop 1	2011 Residual	2011 Pre-Crop 2	2011 Crop Season 2
Available NO ₃ -N	0.86	0.85	ns	ns
Available NH4+-N	ns	ns	ns	ns
Total N	0.85	ns	0.90	0.87
Organic N	0.84	ns	0.90	0.86
Olsen-P	0.86	0.90	ns	0.82
Exchangeable K	ns	ns	0.83	0.78
Exchangeable Mg	0.79	0.78	ns	ns
DTPA-Zn	0.83	0.90	ns	0.86
DTPA-Fe	0.83	ns	ns	0.79
DTPA-Cu	0.87	ns	ns	ns
DTPA-Mn	0.90	ns	ns	0.83

DTPA: diethylene-triamine pentaacetic acid.

Table 7. Statistically significant correlations between available NO_3^{-1} -N, NH_4^{+} -N, total N, Olsen-P, exchangeable K, Mg, Na, DTPA-Zn, -Fe, -Cu and -Mn, and uptake of N, P, K, Mg, Na, Zn, Fe, Cu, and Mn in grains and ear of spring barley grown in 2010 and 2011 in an agricultural soil amended with two doses (D1 = 170 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; D2 = 340 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) and two applications (October 2010 and 2011) of pig slurry in Lorca southeast Spain.

	2010	2010		2011	2010
Crop Season 2010	Grain	Ear	Crop Season 2011	Grain	Ear
			Residual		
			NO ₃ -N	0.80	0.83
			NH4 ⁺ -N	ns	ns
			Total N	ns	ns
			Olsen-P	ns	ns
			Exchangeable K	ns	0.66
			Exchangeable Mg	0.63	0.66
			Exchangeable Na	0.57	0.58
			DTPA-Zn	0.84	0.86
			DTPA-Fe	0.56	ns
			DTPA-Cu	ns	ns
			DTPA-Mn	ns	ns
Pre-Crop 1			Pre-Crop 2		
NO ₃ ⁻ -N	0.58	0.71	NO ₃ -N	ns	ns
NH4+-N	ns	ns	NH4 ⁺ -N	0.78	0.75
Total N	ns	ns	Total N	0.43	0.56
Olsen-P	0.59	0.66	Olsen-P	0.49	0.48
Exchangeable K	-0.56	-0.45	Exchangeable K	0.55	0.62
Exchangeable Mg	0.57	0.67	Exchangeable Mg	0.58	0.60
Exchangeable Na	ns	ns	Exchangeable Na	0.56	0.48
DTPA-Zn	0.73	0.74	DTPA-Zn	0.94	0.93
DTPA-Fe	0.45	0.65	DTPA-Fe	0.49	ns
DTPA-Cu	ns	ns	DTPA-Cu	0.51	0.60
DTPA-Mn	ns	0.62	DTPA-Mn	0.64	0.60
Crop season 1			Crop season 2		
NO ₃ -N	ns	ns	NO ₃ -N	0.83	0.79
NH4+-N	ns	ns	NH4 ⁺ -N	ns	ns
Total N	ns	ns	Total N	0.47	0.52
Olsen-P	ns	ns	Olsen-P	0.43	0.47
Exchangeable K	ns	ns	Exchangeable K	0.65	0.83
Exchangeable Mg	0.61	0.66	Exchangeable Mg	0.68	0.70
Exchangeable Na	ns	ns	Exchangeable Na	ns	ns
DTPA-Zn	0.79	0.79	DTPA-Zn	0.91	0.91
DTPA-Fe	ns	0.68	DTPA-Fe	ns	ns
DTPA-Cu	ns	ns	DTPA-Cu	ns	0.49
DTPA-Mn	0.65	0.57	DTPA-Mn	0.43	0.46

DTPA: diethylene-triamine pentaacetic acid.

respectively for grain and ear) for the 2011 season (Figure 2A). Other correlations between soil N and plant N contents were summarized in Table 7. Correlations between Olsen-P (available P), exchangeable K and Mg and total P, K, and Mg contents in plants were best shown in PC1 and CS2 (Figure 2B) and CS1 and CS2 (Figures 2C and 2D) while Table 7 reported the relationships at other sampling periods. Total P in barley (mg P kg⁻¹) ranged from 2950 to 6370 (Figure 2B) while total K in plants (mg K kg⁻¹) varied between 3681 in 2010 grain to 8000 in 2011 ear (Figure 2C) and for total Mg in plants, 769 and 1528 mg Mg kg⁻¹ were the lower and upper limits, respectively (Figure 2D).

The uptake of minor nutrients (i.e., Zn, Fe, Cu, and Mn) and its correlations with soil metals were summarized in Figure 3 and Table 7. Total Zn content in barley ranged from 15 to 64 mg Zn kg⁻¹ (2011 ear); total Fe uptake varied from 15 mg Fe kg⁻¹ (2010 grain) to 53 mg Fe kg⁻¹ (2011 ear) while the changes to the total Cu uptake were from 1.8 mg Cu kg⁻¹ (2010 ear) to 11 mg Cu kg⁻¹ in 2011 ear, and the total Mn content in barley had lowest value at 13 mg Mn kg⁻¹ in 2010 grain and highest value at 35 mg Mn kg⁻¹ in 2011 ear. The best correlations between total uptake of minor elements and DTPA-minor elements in soil were in soil samples collected in PC1 (2010) and PC2 (2011) (Figure 3 and Table 7).

DISCUSSION

Changes to soil properties with PS additions

The limited changes to soil pH after PS applications could be related to the high CaCO₃-based buffer capacity of the soil (52-68% CaCO₃) as well as to the relatively high pH (7.2 and 7.6) of PS. Reduction to pH 7.4 observed in PC2-D2 involved the dissolution of some CaCO₃ originally present in the soil although not apparent change in CaCO₃ contents was detected in the study. The high CaCO₃ content provides high amounts of Ca²⁺ to maintain the ionic strength of the soil thus, prevent any dramatic increase or decrease in EC, all EC values are all below the 4 dS m⁻¹ limit for salt-affected soils (Richards, 1954).

The addition of PS has been reported to improve the N status of soils for agricultural or environmental purposes (Chantigny et al., 2001; Daudén and Quílez, 2004; Whalen and DeBerardinis, 2007; Cela et al., 2011). Although the total N in the PS (1.00-1.41 g total M kg⁻¹) is lower than Chantigny et al. (2001) at 86 g total N kg⁻¹, our results are still consistent with the literature because at all stages of sampling, D1 and D2 plots have higher total N than control due to PS additions. The increase in soil total N 1 yr after PS application has been reported in soils planted with corn in northeastern Spain (Cela et al., 2011). Two-time additions of PS to soils in northwest Germany resulted to 70 kg N ha-1 yr-1 N surplus compared to negative N balance in plots without PS addition (Sieling et al., 2006). Contents of NO₃⁻N in PC2-D2 and CS2-D2 are 7X (109) mg NO₃⁻-N kg⁻¹ vs. 15.2 mg NH₄⁺-N kg⁻¹) and 10X (73.6 mg NO₃⁻-N kg⁻¹ vs. 7.3 mg NH₄⁺-N kg⁻¹), respectively compared to NH₄⁺-N in the same sampling events. The higher amounts of NO3⁻-N compared to NH4⁺-N after two



DTPA: diethylene-triamine pentaacetic acid. PC1: Pre-Crop 1; RES: Residual; CS2: Crop Season 2.

Figure 1. Relationships between biomass in 2010 and 2011 and selected soil properties in an agricultural soil amended with two doses (D1 = 170 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; D2 = 340 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) and two applications (October 2010 and 2011) of pig slurry in Lorca southeast Spain.

time additions of PS in the study site is consistent with nitrification of NH_4^+ -N in PS added to soils in Canada (Chantigny et al., 2001; Whalen and DeBerardinis, 2007).

As expected, available P (Olsen-P) in soils increased to at least 2X compared to the control due to high P content (118 and 184 mg total PL⁻¹ in 2009 and 2010, respectively) in PS materials used in the study. This result is consistent with those of Bernal et al. (1993), who reported increases in available P after additions of 300 to 400 m3 PS ha-1 in a greenhouse study using pepper as test plant in SE Spain. Olsen-P is regarded as the available form of P especially in soils with high pH (Olsen et al., 1954) such as in the study area. The lower Olsen-P in RES-D1 and RES-D2 compared to CS1-D1 and CS1-D2 might be attributed to plant uptake of P or to P-fixation of added P from PS into non-available form that could be as high as 41% to 71% of added P (Bernal et al., 1993). Soils at high pH (~ pH 7.0) fix added P by the reaction of Ca with P to form insoluble Ca-P minerals.

The increases in amounts of exchangeable K and Mg in soils are due to high K (836-1020 mg K L⁻¹) and Mg (71-145 mg Mg L⁻¹) contained in PS used in the experiment. It seems that PS can be an effective source of essential major cations as the amounts of exchangeable K and Mg are significantly higher than control plots at several sampling events in the experiment. However, net increases in exchangeable cations from PS additions are dependent on initial CEC of soils (Bernal et al., 1993).

Similarly, Zn and Fe contained in the PS is likely the cause of the observed increased amounts of these metals extractable in DTPA after two time additions of PS in soils. The amounts of Cu (0.50-0.67 mg Cu L⁻¹) and Mn (0.42-0.69 mg Mn L⁻¹) in PS are too low to significantly increased DTPA-Cu and Mn in soils. In contrast, the increases in Mn and Cu contents in soils reported by Bernal et al. (1993) is attributed to high Mn (18-22 mg Mn kg⁻¹) and Cu (43-48 mg Cu kg⁻¹) contents in PS amendments.

Yield and uptake of major and minor nutrients with PS additions

The amount of available NO_3 ⁻-N seems to be the best measure of soil N with respect to biomass yield and total N uptake in both ear and grain. In addition, total N, organic N, and available NO_3 ⁻-N (PC1) measured 1-mo after PS applications (PC1 and PC2) are ideal measures (due to high correlation coefficient) to assess the amounts of soil N related to total biomass of barley in this study. In addition, barley seems to prefer NO_3 ⁻-N than NH_4 ⁺-N as source of N and is in agreement with the earlier findings of Nielsen and Jensen (1986) that preferential absorption of NO_3 ⁻-N over NH_4 ⁺-N occurred at all stages of barley growth.

The highest correlation coefficients between PC1-Olsen-P (2010 barley crop) and RES-Olsen-P (2011 barley crop) indicate the sensitivity of barley to available



Figure 2. Relationships between nutrient uptake in barley in 2010 and 2011 and selected soil properties in an agricultural soil amended with two doses (D1 = 170 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; D2 = 340 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) and two applications (October 2010 and 2011) of pig slurry in Lorca, Spain.

P at the early stage of growth. Our results are in agreement with those of Kuligowski et al. (2010), who reported 100% higher biomass in spring barley treated with 30 kg P ha⁻¹ using various forms of fertilizer derived from pig manure applied prior to sowing of barley seeds. The amount of available P is critical at the early stage and may have significant influence on the final biomass yield (Kuligowski et al., 2010). Kuligowski et al. (2010) also reported that P in liquid fertilizer is more readily available than in dry form. Range of P uptake in ear and grain in this study (2.6-6.3 g P kg⁻¹ biomass) is slightly higher than the 1 and 3.5 g P kg⁻¹ biomass reported in literature (Soon, 1988; Pan and Hopkins, 1991; Arvidsson, 1999; Kuligowski et al., 2010).

The inconsistent significant correlations between exchangeable K and biomass and K uptake might be due to complex chemistry of K availability in soils. For example, the availability of K to plants is best expressed through K-intensity $[K^+/(Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+})]$ because absorption of K (and Ca and Mg) by plants is governed by the activities of K⁺, Ca²⁺, and Mg²⁺ rather than individual contents of exchangeable cations (Nielsen and Hansen, 1984). Potassium and Mg (and other cations such as Ca) in soils are positively charged, thus their mobility are limited due potential absorption in negatively-charged exchange sites in soils. Sources of major cations (K, Mg, Ca, and Na) must be accessible to plant roots for efficient uptake at critical stages of growth to achieve optimal biomass vield (Gardiner and Miller, 2001). The high Ca²⁺ from the dissolution of CaCO₃ precluded us from assessing the status of exchangeable Ca²⁺ in the study area. It is almost impossible to distinguish exchangeable Ca2+ from those liberated by the dissolution of CaCO₃. However, it was found that exchangeable Mg measured at PC1 and RES is correlated well with biomass and Mg uptake in ear and grain in both 2010 and 2011 barley crop seasons. In addition, the contents of K (3.9-8.0 g K kg⁻¹) and Mg (0.76-1.5 g Mg kg⁻¹) in barley in this study fall within the reported K (4.9-5.1 g kg⁻¹) and Mg (1.3-1.4 g kg⁻¹) ¹) contents in literature (Dick et al., 1985; Soon, 1988; Arvidsson, 1999).

For the 2010 barley crop season, DTPA-extractable minor nutrients (Zn, Fe, Cu, and Mn) at PC1 may indicate the sensitivity of barley at the very early stage of growth to obtain optimum yield and uptake of minor elements. Obrador et al. (2007) reported a significant relationship ($R^2 = 46\%$) between DTPA-Zn and Zn uptake by barley but no significant correlation between DTPA-Mn and Mn content in barley. The additions of PS elevated the DTPA-extractable Zn from < 0.5 mg DTPA-Zn kg⁻¹ soil



DTPA: diethylene-triamine pentaacetic acid.

Figure 3. Relationships between nutrient uptake in barley in 2010 and 2011 and selected soil properties in an agricultural soil amended with two doses (D1 = 170 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; D2 = 340 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) and two applications (October 2010 and 2011) of pig slurry in Lorca, Spain.

to above the critical level \sim 0.7 mg DTPA-Zn kg^{-1} for normal growth of sorghum (Lindsay and Norvell, 1978). However, DTPA-Fe, Cu, and Mn did not exhibit similar correlations with yield and uptake during the 2011 barley crop season and may indicate factors other than availability during the early stages may influence total plant uptake of minor elements. These factors may include the amounts of total and available minor elements in the studied soil. For example, Obrador et al. (2007) reported that SOM can increase the availability of Zn and Mn to barley plants. Soil organic matter chelates these minor elements and increases desorption of metals from soils and keep them in solution for uptake by plant roots (Lindsay and Norvell, 1978). DTPA is known to chelate Fe, Zn, Cu, or Mn even in the presence of Ca2+ and Mg2+ in soil solution (Lindsay and Norvell, 1978), a geochemical situation that holds true in our study. Critical levels of DTPA-Fe, Cu, and Mn for sorghum are 2.4-4.5, 1.2, 0.2 mg DTPA-Fe, Mn, and Cu kg⁻¹ soil, respectively (Lindsay and Norvell, 1978). The inherent contents of DTPA-Fe, Mn, and Cu in our study are above these critical levels, and may have explained the inconsistent correlations between minor element contents, biomass yields and plant uptake in the 2011 barley crop season. Other factors that may play roles in the uptake

of minor nutrients include CEC where positively-charged minor elements can be adsorbed on the exchange complex in soils. The contents of minor nutrients in barley reported in this study falls within the following contents (mg kg⁻¹) of minor nutrients for five cultivars of barley observed by Dick et al. (1985): Zn (25-30), Fe (73-89), Cu (4.7-5.6), and Mn (28-39).

CONCLUSIONS

It can be concluded that two-time additions of pig slurry at rate of 170 and 340 kg N ha⁻¹ increased major (N, P, K, Mg) and minor (Zn, Fe, Cu, Mn) nutrients in soils planted to barley. We recommend the application of pig slurry at 1-mo prior to crop cultivation to best assess the amounts of available NO₃--N, Olsen-P, DTPA-Zn, Fe, Cu, and Mn that correlate well with biomass yield and nutrient uptake. The agronomic benefits (yield and uptake) to barley justify the utility of pig slurry application to agricultural soils in addition to being an environmentally-friendly way to manage the ever-increasing amounts of the generation of pig slurry. However, it is recommended that monitoring of composition of leachates from agricultural soils treated with pig slurry should be undertaken to safeguard the groundwater from nitrate build up and/or eutrophication due to accumulations of phosphate.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the financing of this study by the Research Group: Sustainable Use, Management and Reclamation of Soil and Water from de Technical University of Cartagena (Spain). JMA wishes to acknowledge the support of Natural Sciences and Research Council (Canada) and the Ministry of Education (Spain) during his sabbatical leave at the Technical University of Cartagena (Spain).

LITERATURE CITED

- APHA, AWWA, and WPCF. 1992. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. 20th ed. American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association and Water Pollution Control Federation Washington, D.C., USA.
- Arvidsson, J. 1999. Nutrient uptake and growth of barley as affected by soil compaction. Plant and Soil 208:9-19.
- Ayuso, M., J.A. Pascual, C. García, and T. Hernandez. 1996. Evaluation of urban wastes for agricultural use. Journal Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 42:105-111.
- Bernal, M.P., A. Roig, and D. Garcia. 1993. Nutrient balances in calcareous soils after application of different rates of pig slurry. Soil Use and Management 9:9-14.
- Biau, A., F. Santiveri, I. Mijangos, and J. Lloveras. 2012. The impact of organic and mineral fertilizers on soil quality parameters and the productivity of irrigated maize crops in semiarid regions. European Journal of Soil Biology 53:56-61.
- Burt, R. (ed.) 2004. Soil survey laboratory manual. Soil Survey Investigations Report nr 42 Version 4.0 November 2004. USDA-NRCS, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA.
- Cavanagh, A., M.O. Gasser, and M. Labrecque. 2011. Pig slurry as fertilizer on willow plantation. Biomass and Bioenergy 35:4165-4173.
- Cela, S., F. Santiveri, and J. Lloveras. 2011. Residual effects of pig slurry and mineral nitrogen fertilizer on irrigated wheat. European Journal of Agronomy 34:257-262.
- Chantigny, M.H., P. Rochette, and D.A. Angers. 2001. Short-term C and N dynamics in a soil amended with pig slurry and barley straw: a field experiment. Canadian Journal of Soil Science 81:131-137.
- Daudén, A., and D. Quílez. 2004. Pig slurry versus mineral fertilization on corn yield and nitrate leaching in a Mediterranean irrigated environment. European Journal of Agronomy 21:7-19.
- Dick, A.C., S.S. Malhi, P.A. O'Sullivan, and D.R. Walker. 1985. Chemical composition of whole plant and grain and yield of nutrients in grain of five barley cultivars. Plant and Soil 86:257-264.
- Duchaufour, P.H. 1970. Precis de pedologie. p. 257-280. Masson, Paris, France.
- Eurostat. 2010. Censo de ganado porcino en Europa 2010. 3tres3. La página del cerdo. Statistical Office of the European Communities, Luxembourg. Available at http://www.3tres3.com/buscando/censo-de-ganado-porcino-en-europa-2010_3308/ (accessed 20 April 2011).
- Gagnon, B., R.R. Simard, R. Robitaille, M. Goulet, and R. Rioux. 1997. Effect of composts and inorganic fertilizers on spring wheat growth and N uptake. Canadian Journal of Soil Science 77:487-495.
- Gardiner, D.T., and R.W. Miller. 2001. Soils in our environment. 10th ed. Pearson-Prentice Hall, New Jersey, USA.

- Gilly, J.E., and B. Eghball. 2002. Residual effects of compost and fertilizer applications on nutrients in runoff. Transactions of the American Society of Agricultural Engineers 45:1905-1910.
- Jeffery, G.H., J. Basset, J. Mendham, and R.C. Denney. 1989. Vogel's textbook of quantitative chemical analysis. p. 702-703. Longman Scientific & Technical, Bath, UK.
- Kandeler, E., M. Stemmer, and E.M. Klimanek. 1999. Response of soil microbial biomass. Urease and xylanase within particle size fraction to long-term management. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 31:261-273.
- Keeney, D.R., and D.W. Nelson. 1982. Nitrogen inorganic forms. In Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. Chemical and microbiological properties. 2nd ed. Agronomy 9:643-698.
 Kuligowski, K., T.G. Poulsen, P. Rubæk, and G.H. Sørensen.
- Kuligowski, K., T.G. Poulsen, P. Rubæk, and G.H. Sørensen. 2010. Plant-availability to barley of phosphorus in ash from thermally treated animal manure in comparison to other manure based materials and commercial fertilizer. European Journal of Agronomy 33:293-303.
- Lindsay, W.L., and W.A. Norvell. 1978. Development of a DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese, and copper. Soil Science Society of America Journal 42:421-428.
- Madrid, A., R. Madrid, and J.M. Vicente. 1996. Fertilizantes. 436 p. AMV Ediciones y Mundi-Prensa, Madrid, España.
- MARM. 2008. Resultados Encuesta Ganado Porcino Diciembre de 2008. Ministerio de Medio Ambiente y Medio Rural y Marino (MARM), Madrid, España. Available at http://www.magrama. gob.es/es/estadistica/temas/estadisticas-agrarias/2008-12_ Porcino_Resultados_tcm7-14366.pdf (accessed 20 April 2011).
- MARM. 2010. Ministerio de Medio Ambiente y Medio Rural y Marino (MARM), Madrid, España. Censo de ganado porcino en Europa 2010. 3tres3.com La página del cerdo. Available at http://www.3tres3.com/buscando/censo-de-ganado-porcino-eneuropa-2010_3308/ (accessed 20 April 2011).
- Martínez, J., and C. Burton. 1998. Processing strategies for farm livestock slurries to minimize pollution and to maximize nutrient utilization: a European perspective. p. 179-189. In T. Matsunaka (ed.) Proceeding of the International Workshop on Environmentally Friendly Management or Farm Animal Waste.
- Nielsen, N.E., and E.M. Hansen. 1984. Macronutrient cation uptake by plants. II. Effects of plant species, nitrogen concentration in plant, cation concentration, activity and activity ratio in solution. Plant and Soil 77:347-365.
- Nielsen, N.E., and H.E. Jensen. 1986. The course of nitrogen uptake by spring barley from soil and fertilizer nitrogen. Plant and Soil 91:391-395.
- Obrador, A., J.M. Alvarez, L.M. Lopez-Valdivia, D. Gonzalez, J. Novillo, and M.I. Rico. 2007. Relationships of soil properties with Mn and Zn distribution in acidic soils and their uptake by a barley crop. Geoderma 137:432-443.
- Olsen, S., C. Cole, F. Watanabe, and L. Dean. 1954. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. USDA Circular nr 939. 19 p. USDA, Washington, D.C., USA.
- Pan, W.L., and A.G. Hopkins. 1991. Plant development, and N and P use of winter barley II Response to tillage and N management across eroded toposequence. Plant and Soil 135:21-29.
- Real Decreto 324/2000. 2000. Real Decreto 324/2000, de 3 de marzo, por el que se establecen normas básicas de ordenación de las explotaciones porcinas. BOE 58, Agencia Estatal Boletín Oficial del Estado, Madrid, España. Available at http://www.boe. es/diario_boe/txt.php?id=BOE-A-2000-4447 (accessed 20 April 2011).
- Richards, L.A. 1954. Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkaline soils. Agricultural handbook 60. US Department of Agriculture (USDA), Washington, D.C., USA.
- Sempere, A., J. Oliver, and C. Ramos. 1993. Simple determination of nitrate in soils by second-derivative spectroscopy. Journal of Soil Science 44:633-639.
- Sieling, K., T. Brase, and V. Svib. 2006. Residual effects of different N fertilizer treatments on growth, N uptake and yield of oilseed

rape, wheat and barley. European Journal of Agronomy 25:40-48. Soon, Y. 1988. Nutrient uptake by barley roots under field conditions. Plant and Soil 109:171-179.

- Soumare, M., F.M.G. Tack, and M.G. Verloo. 2003. Effects of a municipal solid waste compost and mineral fertilization on plant growth in two tropical agricultural soils of Mali. Bioresource Technology 86:15-20.
- StatSoft Inc. 2011. STATISTICA (data analysis software system), version 10. Available at www.statsoft.com (accessed 20 April 2011).
- Stevenson, F.C., A.M. Johnston, H.J. Beckie, S.A. Brandt, and L. Townley-Smith. 1998. Cattle manure as a nutrient source for barley and oilseed crops in zero and conventional tillage systems. Canadian Journal of Plant Science 78:409-416.
- USDA. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th ed. US Department of Agriculture (USDA), Natural Resources Conservation Service, Washington, D.C., USA.
- Walkley, A., and I.A. Black. 1934. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining organic carbon in soils: Effect of variations

in digestion conditions and of inorganic soil constituents. Soil Science 63:251-263.

- Whalen, S.C., and J.T. DeBerardinis. 2007. Nitrogen mass balance in fields irrigated with liquid swine waste. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 78:37-50.
- Yagüe, M.R., A.D. Bosch-Serra, M. Antúnez, and J. Boixadera. 2012. Pig slurry and mineral fertilization strategies' effects on soil quality: Macroaggregate stability and organic matter fractions. Science of the Total Environment 438:218-224.
- Zanuzzi, A., J.M. Arocena, J.M. van Mourik, and A. Faz. 2009. Amendments with organic and industrial wastes stimulate soil formation in mine tailings as revealed by micromorphology. Geoderma 154:69-75.
- Zornoza, R., A. Faz, D.M. Carmona, S. Kabas, S. Martinez-Martinez, and J.A. Acosta. 2012. Plant cover and soil biochemical properties in a mine tailing pond five years after application of marble wastes and organic amendments Pedosphere 22:1-11.