Reporting of drug eruptions: The National Pharmacovigilance Program

Uday Khopkar

Department of Dermatology, KEM Hospital and GS Medical College, Mumbai, India.

Address for correspondence: Dr. Uday Khopkar, Professor and Head, Department of Dermatology, KEM Hospital and GS Medical College, Parel, Mumbai - 400012, India. E-mail: drkhopkar@gmail.com

In the daily drudgery of clinical practice, every now and then we come across a patient who is sure that a particular drug is affecting his or her skin in a peculiar way. However, to our brains, trained to recognize common drug eruptions, it seems fantastic that any drug could cause side effects such as digitate dermatosis,^[1] mycosis fungoides,^[2] leukemoid reaction,^[3] leg ulcer^[4] or even fairness!^[5] As we understand the myriad ways in which drugs affect the skin, such unusual reactions can be explained with increasing ease. But, to recognize new patterns of adverse drug reactions (a better term than eruptions as there may be no rash) we must increase our index of suspicion, particularly with novel classes of drugs.

Drug eruptions can be classified into:^[6] definite, if both de-challenge (resolution of rash after drug withdrawal) and re-challenge (oral or patch test, or intradermal test as appropriate) are positive; probable, if only dechallenge is positive but re-challenge is negative or is not done; and possible, if there is only a reasonable time relationship between the drug and the adverse cutaneous event but information on de- or re-challenge is not available or unclear.^[6]

In India drug reactions are uncommonly reported on a regular basis, except by a few departments of dermatology or pharmacology affiliated to tertiary care centres.^[7] Now the infrastructure for reporting them is being put in place. The WHO sponsored and World Bank funded National Pharmacovigilance Program for India will be operational from 1st January 2005.^[8] It will be

overseen by the National Pharmacovigilance Advisory Committee based at the Central Drugs Standard Control Organization (CDSCO), New Delhi. Two zonal centers, the South-West zonal centre (located at the Department of Clinical Pharmacology, Seth GS Medical College and KEM Hospital, Mumbai) and the North-East zonal centre (located at the Department of Pharmacology, AIIMS, New Delhi), will collate information from all over the country and send it to the Committee as well as to the Uppsala Monitoring centre in Sweden. Three regional centers will report to the Mumbai centre, and two to the New Delhi one. Each regional centre in turn will have several peripheral centres reporting to it. Presently there are 24 peripheral centers. The program has three broad objectives: the short term objective is to foster a reporting culture, the intermediate objective is to involve a large number of healthcare professionals in the systems and information dissemination, and the

Table 1: Format for reporting of drug eruptions
Lichenoid rash to chloroquine
Age / Sex: 25/F
Drug: Chloroquine
Started on: 23rd Jan 2005
Stopped on: 30 th Jan 2005
Rash appeared on: 29th Jan 2005
Rash subsided: 10 th Feb 2005
Rash type: Lichenoid (further morphologic description may be added)
Extent of lesions: Generalized, predominantly on limbs
Pruritus: Severe
Past exposure to drug: Once, 6 months back
Rash subsided after: 10 days without steroids
Biopsy findings: Lichenoid pattern
Provocation test: Done: Oral / Positive
Relationship to rash: Definite
Final outcome: Recovered
Photographs submitted: Clinical / histopathology

long term objective is for the program to be a benchmark for global drug monitoring.

In order to make the program successful we need to regularly report all drug eruptions to a peripheral or zonal centre using a postal form (http://www.cdsco.nic.in/ adr2.pdf). To facilitate reporting of unusual adverse reactions by dermatologists, the IJDVL is planning to publish brief (up to 250 words) reports of reactions as shown in Table 1 on the journal web site. Of course the option to submit such reports in the regular letter or case report format for its printed version will be retained. Reactions may also be reported in this format to any peripheral or zonal centre. Only our participation can ensure the success of this program.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is thankful to Dr. Nithya Gogate, Associate Professor, Department of Clinical Pharmacology, KEM Hospital and Seth GS Medical College, Mumbai for her inputs on the National Pharmacovigilance Program.

REFERENCES

- 1. Mutasim DF. Lymphomatoid drug eruption mimicking digitate dermatosis: Cross reactivity between two drugs that suppress angiotensin II function. Am J Dermatopathol 2003;25:331-4.
- 2. Clark SH, Duvic M, Prieto VG, Prietol VG. Mycosis fungoideslike reaction in a patient treated with Gleevec. J Cutan Pathol 2004;31:81.
- 3. Laad G, Miranda MFM. Eosinophilic leukemoid reaction associated with carbamazepine hypersensitivity Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2005;71:35-7.
- 4. Prabhash K, Bapsy PP. Hydroxyurea induced non-healing leg ulcer. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2005;71:50-2.
- Sharma A, Vora A, Bhutani M. Hypopigmentation due to imatinib: A fairness boon? Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2005;71:45-6.
- 6. Edwards IR, Aronson JK. Adverse drug reactions: Definitions, diagnosis and management. Lancet 2000;356:1255-59.
- 7. Pudukadan D, Thappa DM. Adverse cutaneous drug reactions: Clinical pattern and causative agents in a tertiary care center in South India. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2004;70:20-24.
- 8. Protocol for National Pharmacovigilance Program. CDSCO, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India. November 2004.