DOI: 10.2225/vol10-issue3-fulltext-7

Effect of temperature on the anaerobic digestion of palm oil mill effluent

Wanna Choorit*

Biotechnology Program School of Agricultural Technology Walailak University Tasala, Nakhonsithammarat 80160 Thailand Tel: 667 567 2355 Fax: 667 567 2302 E-mail: cwanna@wu.ac.th

Pornpan Wisarnwan

Biotechnology Program School of Agricultural Technology Walailak University Tasala, Nakhonsithammarat 80160 Thailand Tel: 667 567 2303 Fax: 667 567 2302 E-mail: rombiot@hotmail.com

Financial support: Walailak University and the Southern Palm (1978) Co., Ltd.

Keywords: hydraulic retention times, mesophilic reactor, methane, organic loading rate, temperature variations, thermophilic reactor, volatile fatty acids.

 Abbreviations:
 BOD: biochemical oxygen demand

 COD: chemical oxygen demand
 CSTRs: continuous stirred tank reactors

 HRT: hydraulic retention time
 OLRs: organic loading rates

 POME: palm oil mill effluent
 TKN: total Kjeldahl nitrogen

 TS: total solid
 TSS: total suspended solid

 TVFA: total volatile fatty acid
 UASFF: up-flow anaerobic sludge fixed film

Two continuous stirred tank reactors (CSTRs) each fed with palm oil mill effluent (POME), operated at 37°C and 55°C, respectively, were investigated for their performance under varies organic loading rates (OLRs). The 37°C reactor operated successfully at a maximum OLR of 12.25 g[COD]/L/day and a hydraulic retention time (HRT) of 7 days. The 55°C reactor operated successfully at the higher loading rate of 17.01 g[COD]/L/day and had a HRT of 5 days. The 37°C reactor achieved a 71.10% reduction of chemical oxygen demand (COD), a biogas production rate of 3.73 L of gas/L[reactor]/day containing 71.04% methane. whereas the 55°C reactor achieved a 70.32% reduction of COD, a biogas production rate of 4.66 L of gas/L[reactor]/day containing 69.53% methane. An OLR of 9.68 g[COD]/L/day, at a HRT of 7 days, was used to study the effects of changing the temperature by 3°C increments. The reactor processes were reasonably stable during the increase from 37°C to 43°C and the decrease from 55°C to 43°C. When the temperature was increased from 37°C to 46°C, the total volatile fatty acid (TVFA) concentration and biogas production was 2,059

mg as acetic acid/L and 1.49 L of gas/L[reactor]/day at day 56, respectively. When the temperature was reduced from 55°C to 40°C, the TVFA concentration and biogas production was 2,368 mg as acetic acid/L and 2.01 L of gas/L[reactor]/day at day 102, respectively. By first reducing the OLR to 4.20 g[COD]/L/day then slowly increasing the OLR back to 9.68 g[COD]/L/day, both reactors were restored to stable conditions at 49°C and 37°C respectively. The initial 37°C reactor became fully acclimatized at 55°C with an efficiency similar to that when operated at the initial 37°C whereas the 55°C reactor also achieved stability at 37°C but with a lower efficiency.

Anaerobic digestion is considered to be an effective treatment process for palm oil mill effluent (POME). This involves a consortium of microorganisms catalysing a complex series of biochemical reactions that mineralise organic matter producing methane and carbon dioxide. The key factors to successfully control the stability and efficiency of the process are reactor configurations,

^{*}Corresponding author

Parameters		Value	es (mg/L)		Najafpour et al. (2006)	
		This	s study ^c	Ahmad et al. (2003)		
	1 st Sample	2 nd Samplee	3 rd Samplef	Ranges		
pH ^a	4.42	4.24	4.66	4.24-4.66	4.7	3.8-4.4
BOD	69,215	62,500	65,427	62,500-69,215	25,000	23,000-26,000
COD	112,023	95,465	100,600	95,465-112,023	50,000	42,500-55,700
TS	71,993	75,327	68,854	68,854-75,327	40,500	-
TSS	47,140	44,680	46,213	44,680-47,140	18,000	16,500-19,500
Oil and Grease	10,052	9,126	8,845	8,845-10,052	4,000	4,900-5,700
TVFA ^b	4,226	4,045	4,335	4,045-4,335	-	-
TKN	1,345	1,305	1,493	1,305-1,493	750	500-700 ^d
NH ₃ -N	106	91	112	91-112	-	-

Table 1.	Physico-chemi	cal characteris	stics of the	palm oil mil	l effluent.
		our onur aotoria		punn on nin	i onnaont.

a: no unit. b: mg as acetic acid/L.

c: values are means of three replicates.

d: total nitrogen.

e: for study effects of varying OLRs.

f: for study effect of temperature shifts.

hydraulic retention time (HRT), organic loading rates (OLR), pH, temperature, inhibitor concentrations, concentrations of total volatile fatty acid (TVFA) and substrate composition. In order to avoid a process failure and/or low efficiency, these parameters require an investigation so that they can be maintained at or near to optimum conditions.

Generally, these anaerobic digestions are conducted at either mesophilic (30-37°C) or thermophilic (50-60°C) temperatures. In a palm oil mill processing system, the wastewater is discharged at relatively high temperatures



Figure 1. Variation of TVFA (\blacksquare) and biogas production rate (\blacklozenge) during operation of the mesophilic reactor at different temperatures. (a) decrease in OLR from 9.68 to 4.20 g[COD]/L/day; (b) increase in OLR from 4.20 to 9.68 g[COD]/L/day; (c) decrease in OLR from 9.68 to 4.20 g[COD]/L/day; (c) decrease in OLR from 9.68 to 4.20 g[COD]/L/day; followed by a slow change from 4.20 to 9.68 g[COD]/L/day.

(80-90°C) (Najafpour et al. 2006), making it feasible to treat the POME at either mesophilic or thermophilic temperatures. With POME added at an OLR of 12.6 g[COD]/L/day and a HRT of 5.6 days under mesophilic temperature, Cail and Barford (1985) using a semicontinuous anaerobic reactor achieved a chemical oxygen demand (COD) removal of around 75%. Using a similar configuration, of a semi-continuous anaerobic reactor, but operating with thermophilic conditions and a maximum OLR of 15.1 g[COD]/L/day and a HRT of 4.3 days they achieved a COD removal of 85%, and a methane vield of 295 ml/g[COD] (Padilla and Banks, 1993). Using up flow reactors degrading synthetic wastewater of different OLRs, Yu et al. (2002), found that the operation at 55°C achieved a higher substrate degradation rate, biogas production rate, and specific rate of aqueous product formation than when operated at 37°C. de la Rubia et al. (2002) concluded that a reactor operating at a lower HRT and 55°C produced more gas than at 35°C with OLR's of up to 2.19 kg m⁻³ d⁻³ COD. The digestion of a distillery waste at anaerobic digestion temperatures ranging from 35-55°C, gave a maximum total biogas and methane yield at a digester temperature of 50°C (Banerjee and Biswas, 2004). According to these data, temperature is an important parameter that modifies the effectiveness of the anaerobic bacterial consortium to produce methane from organic matter.

In practice, failure to control temperature increases can result in biomass washout with a resulting accumulation of TVFAs (Lau and Fang, 1997). Any sudden change in temperature caused a lowering of COD reduction, biogas production and coincided with an accumulation of TVFAs in both a mesophilic (35°C) and a thermophilic (55°C) up flow anaerobic filter, treating a simulated papermill wastewater (Ahn and Forster, 2002). Daily upward temperature fluctuations affected the maximum specific methanogenic activity more severely than did a daily imposed downward temperature fluctuation (El-Mashad et

	Mesophilic reactor (37°C) HRT 7 days OLRs (g[COD]/L/day)			Thermophilic reactor (55°C)					
Parameters				HRT 7 days OLRs (g[COD]/L/day)			HRT 5 days OLRs (g[COD]/L/day)		
	9.72	10.74	12.25	9.62	10.82	12.15	15.15	17.01	
рН	7.54 ± 0.04^{b}	7.44 ± 0.03^{a}	7.42 ± 0.03^{a}	7.80 ± 0.03^{d}	7.72 ± 0.04 ^c	7.72 ± 0.04 ^c	$7.72 \pm 0.03^{\circ}$	7.71 ± 0.03 ^c	
TVFA (mg as acetic acid/L)	172.29 ± 8.94 ^a	631.86 ± 10.15 ^e	815.43 ± 12.82 ⁹	270.14 ± 21.93 ^b	493.29 ± 11.39 ^c	537.14 ± 11.00 ^d	734.29 ± 19.25 ^f	980.00 ± 15.43 ^h	
Alkalinity (mg/L)	3,000.00 ± 16.98 ^a	3,156.57 ± 10.71 ^c	3,157.14 ± 20.04 ^c	3,126.79 ± 22.92 ^b	3,144.64 ± 34.92 ^{bc}	3,150.21 ± 32.27 ^c	3,451.79 ± 21.29 ^e	3,227.68 ± 26.93 ^d	
TVFA/alkalinity	0.06 ± 0.00^{a}	0.20 ± 0.00^{e}	0.26 ± 0.00^{9}	0.09 ± 0.01 ^b	0.16 ± 0.01 ^c	0.17 ± 0.00^{d}	0.21 ± 0.01^{f}	0.30 ± 0.01 ^h	
Biogas production (L of gas/L[reactor]/day)	2.81 ± 0.04^{b}	3.36 ± 0.03^{d}	3.73 ± 0.05 ^e	2.70 ± 0.05^{a}	$3.30 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$	3.81 ± 0.04^{f}	4.06 ± 0.05^{g}	4.66 ± 0.03^{h}	
Gas yield (L/g[COD])	0.66 ± 0.01^{b}	0.71 ± 0.01 ^e	$0.69 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$	0.66 ± 0.01^{b}	0.70 ± 0.01^{d}	0.70 ± 0.01^{d}	0.63 ± 0.0^{a}	0.62 ± 0.01^{a}	
Methane production (L/L[reactor]/day)	1.96 ± 0.03^{b}	2.39 ± 0.05^{d}	2.65 ± 0.04^{e}	1.91 ± 0.04 ^a	2.31 ± 0.03 ^c	2.68 ± 0.03^{f}	2.82 ± 0.06^{9}	3.24 ± 0.04^{h}	
Methane yield (L/g[COD])	0.46 ± 0.01^{b}	0.51 ± 0.01 ^e	0.49 ± 0.01^{d}	$0.47 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$	0.49 ± 0.01^{d}	0.49 ± 0.01^{d}	0.44 ± 0.01^{a}	0.44 ± 0.05^{a}	
COD reduction (%)	$69.89 \pm 0.65^{\circ}$	70.44 ± 0.33^{d}	71.10 ± 0.31 ^e	67.73 ± 0.63^{a}	69.88 ± 0.15 ^c	72.16 ± 0.33^{f}	68.20 ± 0.24^{b}	70.32 ± 0.30^{d}	

Table 2. Performance of the mesophilic and thermophilic reactors at different OLRs under steady state conditions.

Values are averages ± SD of three determinations taken over fourteen days during steady state conditions.

Averages followed by the different letters in the same row are statistically different at 95% level by Duncan's multiple Range test.

al. 2004). Because of this information, together with the high temperature of POME and the variation of the POME wastewater volumes during high and low seasons, we have investigated the performance of a continuous stirred tank reactor (CSTR) operating in a steady state at both 37°C and 55°C and the effects of variations of OLRs and



Figure 2. Variation of TVFA (=) and biogas production rate (+) during operation of the thermophilic reactor at different temperatures. (a)decrease in OLR from 9.68 to 4.20 g[COD]/L/day; (b) increase in OLR from 4.20 to 9.68 g[COD]/L/day; (c) decrease in OLR from 9.68 to 4.20 g[COD]/L/day followed by a slow change from 4.20 to 9.68 g[COD]/L/day.

temperatures shifts on the performance of reactors operating at a relatively low OLR level.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

POME characterization

Fresh POME was collected monthly from a conventional palm oil mill factory located in Surat-thani province, Thailand. After the determination of its physico-chemical properties, the wastewater was stored in a sealed container and kept in a cold room at 4°C until used.

Equipment

The CSTR reactors used have a 12 cm internal diameter with a height of 27 cm. The reactors were maintained at the constant desired temperature using hot water circulation around the reactors. Feed was pumped semi-continuously through the feeding hole, (5 mm in diameter), near the bottom by means of a peristaltic pump. Samples were withdrawn from sampling holes (5 mm in diameter) located 1, 8 and 15 cm from the bottom. Mixing was achieved by stirring the medium at 70 rpm with a magnetic bar (0.8 x 5 cm).

Inoculum

A conventional POME treatment pond with an area of 110 x 191 m², and 4 m in depth was fed with an OLR of 0.5-1.5kg[COD]/L/day with a residence time of 20-30 days. The inoculum sludge for seeding the reactors was brought from this site and adapted with diluted POME (POME:tap water = 1:4 v/v) for 7-10 days at the desired temperature, and then

Reactor	Temperature shifts (°C)						
Reactor	Phase I	Phase II	Phase III				
Mesophilic	37-43	46	49-55				
Thermophilic	55-43	40	37				

Table 3. Division of the process performance based on the effect of temperature shifts during operation of the reactors.

inoculated into the digesters with an initial total solid (TS) and volatile suspended solid (VSS) of around 35-37 and 15-16 g/L, respectively.

Effects of varying OLRs on the performance of the reactors

Two CSTRs each with a 1.6-L working volume were fed with acclimatized POME. One reactor was controlled at a temperature of 37°C (mesophilic reactor) and the other at 55°C (thermophilic reactor). The COD of the POME was adjusted to the desired value with tap water. The various OLRs were achieved at a HRT of 7 days for the 37°C reactor and 5 days for the 55°C reactor. The physico-chemical characteristics of the effluent used in this section are shown in Table 1 (2nd sample).

Effect of temperature shifts on the performance of the reactors

Temperatures were changed in both reactors while being operated at a HRT of 7 days (OLR 9.68 g[COD]/L/day). The temperature of the 37°C reactor was increased up to 55°C gradually by 3°C at a time, while the temperature of the 55°C reactor was decreased until it reached 37°C again by 3°C at a time. After each temperature change the reactor was left at the new temperature until a steady state was achieved. This took at least 2 weeks and sometimes longer before the next temperature shift. The 37°C reactor was operated at 37, 40, 43, 46, 49, 52 and 55°C for 14, 15, 15, 33, 31, 22 and 16 days, respectively. The 55°C reactor was operated at 55, 52, 49, 46, 43, 40 and 37°C for 14, 17, 16, 17, 27, 29 and 27 days, respectively. After the 37°C and 55°C reactors were operated at 46°C and 40°C, respectively a major loss of steady state as indicated from the increase in TVFA and the decreasing biogas production. The OLR was reduced to try to stabilize the system, then, gradually increased to the normal working OLR to achieve a new steady state. Physico-chemical characteristics of the effluent used in this section are shown in Table 1 (3rd sample).

It was considered that a steady-state had been achieved when the levels of TVFA, COD removal, biogas production rate and composition varied by less than 3% on three consecutive days (Borja et al. 1996). The values shown in Table 2, Table 4 and Table 5 were the average values obtained after measuring the given parameters for a 2 week period of that steady-state.

Chemical analyses

Gas volume was measured by using a displacement of acidified water (pH 2-3) and methane by KOH solution displacement in a serum bottle, as described previously (Ergüder et al. 2001). Alkalinity was measured by the direct titration method (Jenkins et al. 1983). Biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), COD, TVFA, pH, TS, total suspended solid (TSS), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), NH₃-N and oil and grease were determined in triplicate according to standard methods (Clescerl et al. 1998).

Data analysis

Means \pm SD of pH, TVFA, alkalinity, TVFA/alkalinity, biogas and methane productions, biogas and methane yields and COD removal were calculated from data to be collected from the reactors operated under steady state (14 days) under variation of OLRs and temperature shifts. These data were subjected to statistical analyses using SPSS program version 10. Completely randomized design was employed for analysis of variance (ANOVA). The difference between means was evaluated by using Duncan's multiple range test. *P* < 0.05 was considered as significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characteristics of POME

The physico-chemical parameters of POME used in this study (Table 1) were very different from those previously reported (Ahmad et al. 2003; Najafpour et al. 2006). This is due to a change in the mill operation. For example, a much smaller water volume was used to remove the majority of the suspended material from the lipids. This allowed for a considerable reduction in the amount of wastewater generated in the process, and consequently a higher content of organic and inorganic matter. Since the COD/BOD ratio of POME is about 1.56 a good possibility exists that the organic matter is biodegradable (Raj and Anjaneyulu, 2005). The main recalcitrant organic material found in POME was lignocellulose (Oswal et al. 2002). The large amounts of identified biodegradable components were oil and grease, which can be hydrolyzed by microorganisms to fatty acids. Some of these fatty acids are potential substrates for methane production which does allow a favourable economic outcome (Angelidaki et al. 1990). In contrast, the lipid-rich waste contains long chain fatty acids,

	Temperature (°C)							
Parameters		Phase I		Phase III				
	37	40	43	49	52	55		
рН	7.55 ± 0.05^{a}	7.57 ± 0.03^{a}	7.61 ± 0.02 ^b	$7.68 \pm 0.07^{\circ}$	7.73 ± 0.04^{d}	7.80 ± 0.03^{e}		
TVFA (mg as acetic acid/L)	166.64 ± 7.44^{a}	162.43 ± 8.31 ^a	160.71 ± 6.73^{a}	338.71 ± 14.87 ^c	253.07 ± 7.32 ^b	245.07 ± 15.32 ^b		
Alkalinity (mg/L)	3,051.00 ± 33.70 ^b	3,053.79 ± 32.74 ^b	3,061.71 ± 31.58 ^b	2,981.43 ± 31.31 ^ª	2,974.36 ± 23.82 ^ª	$2,984.14 \pm 28.34^{a}$		
TVFA/Alkalinity	0.06 ± 0.00^{b}	0.05 ± 0.00^{a}	0.05 ± 0.00^{a}	0.11 ± 0.01 ^d	$0.08 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$	$0.08 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$		
Biogas production (L of gas/L[reactor]/day)	2.81 ± 0.03 ^d	2.79 ± 0.02^{d}	2.80 ± 0.03^{d}	2.54 ± 0.02^{a}	2.65 ± 0.03 ^b	2.70 ± 0.02°		
Gas yield (L/g[COD])	0.66 ± 0.01^{d}	$0.65 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$	0.66 ± 0.01^{d}	0.61 ± 0.01^{a}	0.64 ± 0.01^{b}	0.66 ± 0.01^{d}		
Methane production (L/L[reactor]/day)	1.97 ± 0.02 [°]	1.97 ± 0.02 ^c	1.98 ± 0.02 ^c	1.72 ± 0.16^{a}	1.86 ± 0.02^{b}	1.91 ± 0.03 ^b		
Methane yield (L/g[COD])	$0.46 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$	$0.46 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$	$0.46 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$	0.42 ± 0.01^{a}	0.45 ± 0.00^{b}	0.47 ± 0.01^{d}		
COD reduction (%)	70.34 ± 0.39^{d}	70.76 ± 0.02 ^e	70.85 ± 0.45 ^e	$69.08 \pm 0.92^{\circ}$	68.31 ± 0.36 ^b	67.62 ± 0.40^{a}		

Table 4. Performance of the mesophilic reactor at different operating temperatures under steady-state conditions.

Values are averages ± SD of three determinations taken over fourteen days during steady state conditions.

Averages followed by the different letters in the same row are statistically different at 95% level by Duncan's multiple Range test.

especially palmitate (higher than 50 mg/g_{dry weight}) and oleate (higher than 200 mg/L), that were hydrolysis products of fat & oil and these have been reported to inhibit bacterial growth and methane formation (Cirne et al. 2007). The high amounts of TS and TSS in the POME comes from insoluble organic substances being washed out during the production process. It has to be emphasized that the upflow anaerobic sludge blanket process appears to be particularly sensitive to the loading of solids. Thus Borja et al. (1996) used a two-stage up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket for treating POME. As soon as the suspended solids concentration of POME in the acidogenic reactor was increased to 10.8 g/L, an accumulation of organic solids in the reactor was observed.

Effects of varying OLRs on the performance of the reactors

Reactor performance is usually evaluated in terms of stability and efficiency of the process estimated through the measurement of pH, TVFA and alkalinity, COD removal, gas production and methane production (Table 2). For the 37°C reactor, as the OLR was increased from 9.72 to 12.25 g[COD]/L/day, the pH was significantly [p < 0.05] reduced from 7.54 to 7.42 with a significant [p < 0.05] increase of TVFA from 172.29 to 815.43 mg acetic acid/L. At an OLR of 12.25 g[COD]/L/day, the ratio of TVFA/alkalinity was 0.26. Zinatizadeh et al. (2006) demonstrated that treating POME in an up-flow anaerobic sludge fixed film (UASFF) reactor at 38°C, with OLRs of 14.49, 21.31, 26.21 and 34.73 g[COD]/L/day with a HRT of 1 day, the TVFA concentration increased to 93.5, 165.1, 365.2 and 843.2

mg/L respectively. This implied an increasing unbalance between acid formation and methane production in the system. However under the conditions of this experiment, the pH of the effluent (7.42) was in the optimal range (6.9-7.9) for anaerobic digestion, far from a pH of 5.3, known to decrease methane concentration by about 59% (Björnsson et al. 2000). Also Song et al. (2004) reported that the buffering capacity was sufficient when the TVFA/alkalinity was maintained below 0.4.

The pH values in the 55°C reactor were significantly [p < p]0.05] higher than those in the 37°C reactor at all OLRs tested (Table 2). However, the ranges of pH values were all within the optimal pH values for methane production (Wheatley, 1990). At an HRT of 7 days and an OLR increasing from 9.62 to 12.15 g[COD]/L/day, the levels of TVFAs in the 55°C reactor increased from 270.14 to 537.14 mg acetic acid/L, with the TVFA/alkalinity ratio changing only between 0.09-0.17 compared to a change from 0.06 to 0.26 at 37°C using the same loadings and HRT. These results imply that at the same level of OLR, the process in the 55°C reactor was more stable than in the 37°C reactor. Increasing the OLR in the 55°C reactor to 17.01 g[COD]/L/day with an HRT of 5 days, caused a significant [p < 0.05] increase of the TVFA/alkalinity ratio to 0.30 and therefore this system was under severe stress.

The efficiency of COD reduction was between 69.89-71.10% at OLRs from 9.72-12.25 g[COD]/L/day for the 37°C reactor with a methane yield of 0.46-0.51 L/g[COD] and methane production was 1.96-2.65 L/L[reactor]/day. The 55°C reactor gave a COD reduction of between 67.73-72.16%, a methane yield of 0.44-0.49 L/g[COD] and

methane production was 1.91-3.24 L/L[reactor]/day. The biogas and methane productions of both reactors significantly [p < 0.05] increased with an increasing OLR (Table 2).

As far as the performance of the process is concerned, the 37°C reactor ran successfully at the maximum OLR tested (12.25 g[COD]/L/day) and an HRT of 7 days. At an HRT of 5 days, the 55°C reactor also ran successfully at the maximum OLR tested (17.01 g[COD]/L/day). In both these cases, although the TVFA levels were significantly [p < p]0.05] raised to 815.43 and 980.00 mg acetic acid/L, respectively; the reduction of % COD was still high and a significant increase in the production of biogas and methane occurred. This work showed that the capital cost of the anaerobic digester could be lowered by operating the reactor at a thermophilic temperature. Borja and Banks (1995) reported that changing the type of reactor also affected OLRs; for example, using an anaerobic filter or a fluidized-bed reactor or an UASFF reactor, each had its own characteristics (Zinatizadeh et al. 2006). However, these reactor types did not work well with wastewater of high solid content (Björnsson et al. 1997). Thermophilic digestion is now becoming of great interest for sewage sludge treatment due to its potential for a better reduction of potential pathogens compared to that using mesophilic digestion (Boušková et al. 2005). Since POME has an initial temperature of 80-90°C (Najafpour et al. 2006), operating the reactor under thermophilic conditions would be more economical than under mesophilic conditions in terms of the ability to use a smaller digester and obtaining a better methane production rate (Table 2).

Effect of temperature shifts on the performance of the reactors

The responses of the performance of the processes to changes in temperature were investigated in the 37 and

55°C reactors at an HRT of 7 days and an OLR of 9.68 g[COD]/L/day. The performance of both reactors was divided into three phases (Table 3).

Phase I. After changing the temperature, only minor changes in the operating processes were observed in phase I with either reactor. The performance of the mesophilic reactor is shown in Figure 1 and the thermophilic reactor in Figure 2. The results illustrate that the performance of the 37°C reactor changed insignificantly in terms of any of the measured parameters as the temperature was raised to 40°C and 43°C. These results were confirmed by statistic tests which showed that the levels of TVFA, alkalinity, biogas and methane productions and methane yield did not significantly [p < 0.05] change (Table 4). For example, the TVFA levels ranged from 160.71-166.64 mg as acetic acid/L and biogas production was 2.79-2.81 L of gas/L[reactor]/day (Table 4). The 55°C reactor, operated over the reducing temperature range of 52°C, 49°C and 46°C also produced only minor changes in efficiency. The biogas production varied from 2.67-2.72 L of gas/L[reactor]/day and methane production ranged from 1.86-1.89 L/L[reactor]/day. However when the temperature was reduced from 46 to 43°C the efficiency of the process became lower with a significant [p < 0.05] drop in methane (1.77 L/L[reactor]/day) and biogas productions (2.53 L of gas/L[reactor]/day) (Table 5). The process became unstable with a significant increase in TVFA levels (746.14 mg as acetic acid/L). Moreover, at each 3°C temperature shift

from 55°C to 52°C, 49°C, 46°C and 43°C there was a rapid initial drop in biogas production rate that was quickly reversed over a few days (Figure 2). These results indicated that the 55°C reactor was quite sensitive to the temperature disturbances, probably due to induction of a temporary unbalance of the microorganisms in the reactor. Speece (1996) reported that methanogens are more sensitive to

	Temperature (°C)								
Parameters		Phase III							
]	55	52	49	46	43	37			
рН	$7.78 \pm 0.04^{\circ}$	$7.78 \pm 0.04^{\circ}$	$7.76 \pm 0.04^{\circ}$	7.60 ± 0.13^{a}	7.69 ± 0.13 ^b	7.57 ± 0.04^{a}			
TVFA (mg as acetic acid/L)	$274.86 \pm 8.99^{\circ}$	256.43 ± 14.64^{b}	239.71 ± 12.44 ^a	284.29 ± 6.30^{d}	746.14 ± 6.05 ^e	851.29 ± 7.96^{f}			
Alkalinity (mg/L)	$3,122.32 \pm 23.6^{bc}$	$3,114.29 \pm 16.16^{bc}$	3,117.86 ± 34.22 ^{bc}	3,134.82 ± 25.09 ^c	$3,058.93 \pm 27.49^{a}$	3,106.79 ± 21.15 ^b			
TVFA/Alkalinity	$0.09 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$	0.08 ± 0.00b	0.07 ± 0.00^{a}	$0.09 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$	0.24 ± 0.00^{d}	0.27 ± 0.00e			
Biogas production (L of gas/L[reactor]/day)	2.71 ± 0.02 ^d e	2.72 ± 0.02 ^e	2.69 ± 0.03^{cd}	$2.67 \pm 0.06^{\circ}$	2.53 ± 0.03^{b}	2.24 ± 0.02^{a}			
Gas yield (L/g[COD])	$0.65 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$	0.66 ± 0.01^{d}	$0.65 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$	$0.65 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$	0.62 ± 0.01^{b}	0.56 ± 0.01^{a}			
Methane production (L/L[reactor]/day)	$1.86 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$	1.89 ± 0.02^{d}	1.89 ± 0.02^{d}	1.89 ± 0.04^{d}	1.77 ± 0.02 ^b	1.55 ± 0.02^{a}			
Methane yield (L/g[COD])	$0.44 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$	0.46 ± 0.01^{d}	0.46 ± 0.01d	0.46 ± 0.01^{d}	0.43 ± 0.01^{b}	0.39 ± 0.00^{a}			
COD reduction (%)	69.27 ± 0.06^{d}	$68.26 \pm 0.48^{\circ}$	$68.54 \pm 0.45^{\circ}$	67.80 ± 0.43^{b}	67.65 ± 0.69^{b}	65.71 ± 0.32^{a}			

Table 5. Performance of the thermophilic reactor at different operating temperatures under steady-state conditions.

Values are averages ± SD of three determinations taken over fourteen days during steady state conditions.

Averages followed by the different letters in the same row are statistically different at 95% level by Duncan's multiple Range test.

temperature changes than acidogens. It maybe that the rate at which the methanogens converted the fatty acids to methane was initially reduced far more than the rate at which the acidogens produced acids.

As shown in Table 5, the pH in the 55°C reactor (operating in the steady state at 55, 52, 49, 46 and with slightly changed parameters at 43°C) were in the range of 7.60-7.78. The higher pH levels (7.78-7.76) occurring in the 55-49°C reactor were in agreement with results from a previous study (de la Rubia et al. 2002). The alkalinity levels of the 55°C reactor were also higher than those of the 37°C reactor (Table 4), thus an increase of TVFA levels in the 55°C reactor was compensated by an increased alkalinity. This allowed neutralization of the TVFA and prevented a pH drop (Borja et al. 1995). In addition, the process is considered to be operating effectively as TVFA/alkalinity ratios between 0.05-0.06 (Table 4) and 0.08-0.09 (Table 5), are still some way from the failure limit of 0.3-0.4 (Rittmann and McCarty, 2001).

In addition, temperature shifts of the 55°C reactor to 52, 49 and 46°C and for the 37°C to 40 and 43°C had no detrimental effect on reactor performance with the COD removal efficiencies remaining about 68% in both reactors. Also the methane production and yields did not vary significantly at these different temperatures. It seems therefore that temperature shifts do not directly affect the gas composition (Table 4 and Table 5). From these results, we can conclude that the microorganisms present in these reactors must have a tolerance for a fairly wide range of temperatures. This may be attributed to the presence of thermotolerant organisms that can quickly adapt to any newly imposed temperature change. Chen (1983) reported that the development of a bacterial community involved in the degradative system could be related to the percentage of mesophilic and thermophilic bacteria in the initial sludge. Iranpour et al. (2002) have also suggested that an upward temperature shift may lead to the development of a culture dominated by thermotolerant mesophilic organism rather than true thermophiles.

Moreover, both reactors could be operated successfully at 43°C, which is considered to be the optimal change-over temperature from mesophiles to thermophiles.

Phase II. In phase II a temperature shift of 3°C did cause a loss of stability and a change in the performance of the reactors. This was clearly observed when the 37°C reactor temperature was raised from 43°C to 46°C and for the 55°C reactor, the temperature was lowered from 43°C to 40°C. Process instability was observed as TVFA concentrations rapidly increased from 156 to 2,059 over the first 13 days after the change from 43°C to 46°C and from 750 to 2,368 over the first 12 days from 43°C to 40°C. This indicated a significant change in the balance among the microbial groups involved in the system. It is unlikely that such temperature changes occur in the normal operating environment of the methanogenic sludge. During these

periods of operation the performance of the processes were poor with the biogas production rates dropping to a minimum value (1.49 L of gas/L[reactor]/day for the 37°C reactor and 2.01 L of gas/L[reactor]/day for the 55°C reactor). Perhaps a consortium adapted to operate at 37°C ceases to function effectively at 46°C while a consortium adapted to operate at 55°C ceases to operate effectively at 40°C. Griffin et al. (1998) reported that the methanogenic bacteria are the limiting microbial group during the period of adaptation to thermophilic conditions. Boušková et al. (2005) also observed a strong disturbance when the reactor temperature was adjusted from 42°C to 47°C. In particular, the optimal growth rate of any particular bacterial strain occurs over a limited temperature range. Once this temperature range is exceeded, growth rate drops off rapidly due to denaturation of key proteins (Rittmann and McCarty, 2001). The loss of function of any one of the microbes involved in the degradative system will alter the overall process.

Since, the unstable conditions of both reactors were most clearly shown in the changes in TVFA levels, the OLR of the reactors was lowered in an attempt to restore an effective process. Decreasing the OLR from 9.68 to 4.20 g[COD]/L/day during the transition period resulted in a significant drop in the TVFA levels in both reactors to 532 mg as acetic acid/L (37°C reactor) and 665 mg as acetic acid/L (55°C reactor) and the process stabilized. However, when the OLRs were again increased to 9.68 g[COD]/L/day between days 67-76 (37°C reactor) and days 111-119 (55°C reactor) the performance was again reduced as shown by a marked continued rise in TVFA to 2,459 for the 37°C and 2,198 for the 55°C reactor and a concomitant decline in biogas production.

The responses of pH, alkalinity, reduction of COD, and methane content were somewhat delayed after the instability developed (data not shown). However, the increased TVFA was not accompanied by a corresponding increase in alkalinity so the pH fell during this period.

Phase III. In an attempt to recover the reactor performance after the onset of the unstable transient conditions in phase II, both reactors were fed with a decreased OLR (4.20) followed by a gradual increase (to 9.68) over a period of time to allow for any adaptation of the microbial populations. This readjustment occurred during days 77-93 for the 37°C reactor and from 120-132 for the 55°C reactor and during this time the temperature was altered again to 49°C and 37°C for the 37°C and 55°C reactors, respectively. The 37°C reactor became stabilized again at 49°C after about day 93 with a substantial drop in TVFA levels to 338.71 mg (as acetic acid/L). The 55°C became stabilized at 37°C at about day 130 with a TVFA level of 851.29 mg as acetic acid/L. Both these new TVFA levels were significantly [p < 0.05] higher than those of the steady state in phase I, especially with the 55°C reactor (Table 4 and Table 5). This data revealed that the bacterial consortium and in particular the methanogens, in both digesters were able to adapt to new conditions of temperature and OLR and achieve a new steady-state. Once methanogenesis had recovered, a relatively stable environmental condition could be maintained in the system.

The adaptation of the mesophilic population operating at 37°C to thermophilic conditions at 55°C led to a stable process that is significantly different from that previously found at 37°C (Table 4) and was different from the performance of the initial 55°C reactor (Table 5). This new process allows for a conversion of organic matter into the final end-product without accumulation of intermediates. This could be attributed to the rapid development of thermophilic methanogens, that were originally present in the mesophilic sludge, to become dominant under the new thermophilic conditions (Chachkhiani et al. 2004). In contrast when the 55°C reactor was shifted to a temperature of 37°C and the new set of stable conditions were established from days 132 onwards, these properties were different from those of either the initial properties of the 37°C or 55°C reactors (Table 5). A reduced amount of biogas production coincided with a significantly [p < 0.05]higher TVFA level (851.29 mg as acetic acid/L) even at day 146, when the experiment was terminated. This indicates that the new microbial consortium is probably different from that operating initially in the 37°C reactor and results in a population that is less efficient in terms of % COD removal, biogas and methane productions. This could be related to the poor development of mesophiles that should become dominant under the new mesophilic conditions. The results indicate that the microbial population present in the 55°C reactor found it more difficult to recover from temperature changes than did the population of the 37°C reactor. This indicates that temperature regulation here is complex and may depend on the composition of the initial sludge in the system. Lau and Fang (1997) found that a temperature shock had a less adverse effect on the acetotrophic methanogens than on other methanogens. According to Cabirol et al. (2003), who studied the adaptation of a mesophilic anaerobic sludge to thermophilic conditions, this also showed a rapid adaptation with an increase in the proportion of hydrogenotrophic methanogens.

We have shown that the adverse effect of a temperature shift can be alleviated by an initial lowering of the OLR. When this is followed by a slow increase back to the initial OLR value the system can return to a steady state that in some cases is not too different from the original but at a different temperature. We strongly recommend the use of this procedure in order to help microbial populations adapt to any temperature shifts. Moreover, a continuous feed should be used to eliminate problems that may arise from transient growth conditions and to permit flexibility in adjustment of the time course of temperature shifts. It also can be used to select a culture that will grow under conditions of stress.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Based on these results, the operation of a 37°C reactor at an OLR of 10.74 g[COD]/L/day and the 55°C reactor at an OLR of 12.15 g[COD]/L/day equivalent to an HRT of 7 days is likely to achieve satisfactory results.

The 37°C reactor could tolerate temperature variations in the range of 37-43°C without significant changes in an index for process stability (TVFA and TVFA/Alkalinity) whereas, the 55°C reactor could tolerate temperature variations in the range of 55-43°C. However, minor instabilities of the processes in terms of TVFA and TVFA/alkalinity were observed when the temperature was changed.

The first indication of a loss of stability of the processes, due to a temperature shift, in both reactors was an accumulation of TVFAs. This occurred at a temperature of 46°C for the 55°C reactor and at 40°C for the 37°C reactor.

The instability could be overcome by lowering the OLR. After the stability was regained the OLR could be gradually increased to that of the initial value, without major changes to the stability.

REFERENCES

AHMAD, Abdul Latif; ISMAIL, Suzylawati and BHATIA, Subhash. Water recycling from palm oil mill effluent (POME) using membrane technology. *Desalination*, August 2003, vol. 157, no. 1-3, p. 87-95.

AHN, J.-H. and FORSTER, C.F. The effect of temperature variations on the performance of mesophilic and thermophilic anaerobic filters treating a simulated papermill wastewater. *Process Biochemistry*, January 2002, vol. 37, no. 6, p. 589-594.

ANGELIDAKI, I.; PETERSEN, S.P. and AHRING, B.K. Effects of lipids on thermophilic anaerobic digestion and reduction of lipid inhibition upon addition of bentonite. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, July 1990, vol. 33, no. 4, p. 469-472.

BANERJEE, S. and BISWAS, G.K. Studies on biomethanation of distillery wastes and its mathematical analysis. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, September 2004, vol. 102, no. 2, p. 193-201.

BJÖRNSSON, L.; MATTIASSON, B. and HENRYSSON, T. Effects of support material on the pattern of volatile fatty acid accumulation at overload in anaerobic digestion of semi-solid waste. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, June 1997, vol. 47, no. 6, p. 640-644. BJÖRNSSON, L.; MURTO, M. and MATTIASSON, B. Evaluation of parameters for monitoring an anaerobic codigestion process. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, December 2000, vol. 54, no. 6, p. 844-849.

BORJA, Rafael and BANKS, Charles J. Comparison of an anaerobic filter and an anaerobic fluidized bed reactor treating palm oil mill effluent. *Process Biochemistry*, 1995, vol. 30, no. 6, p. 511-521.

BORJA, R.; MARTÍN, A.; BANKS, C.J.; ALONSO, V. and CHICA, A. A kinetic study of anaerobic digestion of olive mill wastewater at mesophilic and thermophilic temperatures. *Environmental Pollution*, 1995, vol. 88, no. 1, p. 13-18.

BORJA, Rafael; BANKS, Charles J. and SÁNCHEZ, Enrique. Anaerobic treatment of palm oil mill effluent in a two-stage up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) system. *Journal of Biotechnology*, February 1996, vol. 45, no. 2, p. 125-135.

BOUŠKOVÁ, A.; DOHÁNYOS, M.; SCHMIDT, J.E. and ANGELIDAKI, I. Strategies for changing temperature from mesophilic to thermophilic conditions in anaerobic CSTR reactors treating sewage sludge. *Water Research*, April 2005, vol. 39, no. 8, p. 1481-1488.

CABIROL, N.; FERNÁNDEZ, F.J.; MENDOZA, L. and NOYOLA, A. Acclimation of mesophilic anaerobic sludge to thermophilic conditions: PCR genera detection methodology. *Water Science and Technology*, June 2003, vol. 48, no. 6, p. 81-86.

CAIL, R.G. and BARFORD, J.P. Mesophilic semicontinuous anaerobic digestion of palm oil mill effluent. *Biomass*, October 1985, vol. 7, no. 4, p. 287-295.

CHACHKHIANI, M.; DABERT, P.; ABZIANIDZE, T.; PARTSKHALADZE, G.; TSIKLAURI, L.; DUDAURI, T. and GODON, J.J. 16S rDNA characterization of bacterial and archaeal communities during start-up of anaerobic thermophilic digestion of cattle munure. *Bioresource Technology*, July 2004, vol. 93, no. 3, p. 227-232.

CHEN, Min. Adaptation of mesophilic anaerobic sewage fermentation populations to thermophilic temperatures. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, April 1983, vol. 45, no. 4, p. 1271-1276.

CIRNE, D.G.; PALOUMET, X.; BJÖRNSSON, L.; ALVES, M.M. and MATTIASSON, B. Anaerobic digestion of lipid-rich waste - Effects of lipid concentration. *Renewable Energy*, May 2007, vol. 32, no. 6, p. 965-975.

CLESCERL, Lenore; GREENBERG, Arnold E. and EATON, Andrew D. *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*. 20th ed. Washington DC, American Public Health Association, 1998. 1000 p. ISBN 0-875-53235-7.

DE LA RUBIA, M.A.; PEREZ, M.; ROMERO, L.I. and SALES, D. Anaerobic mesophilic and thermophilic municipal sludge digestion. *Chemical and Biochemical Engineering*, 2002, vol. 16, no. 3, p. 119-124.

EL-MASHAD, Hamed M.; ZEEMAN, Grietje; VAN LOON, Wilko K.P.; BOT, Gerard P.A. and LETTINGA, Gatze. Effect of temperature and temperature fluctuation on thermophilic anaerobic digestion of cattle manure. *Bioresource Technology*, November 2004, vol. 95, no. 2, p. 191-201.

ERGÜDER, T.H.; TEZEL, U.; GÜVEN, E. and DEMIRER, G.N. Anaerobic biotransformation and methane generation potential of cheese whey in batch and UASB reactors. *Waste Management*, 2001, vol. 21, no. 7, p. 643-650.

GRIFFIN, Matt E.; MCMAHON, Katherine D.; MACKIE, Roderick I. and RASKIN, Lutgarde. Methanogenic population dynamics during start-up of anaerobic digesters treating municipal solid waste and biosolids. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, February 1998, vol. 57, no. 3, p. 342-355.

IRANPOUR, O.; COX, H.H.J.; SHAO, Y.J.; MOGHADDAM, O.; KEARNEY, R.J.; DESHUSSES, M.A.; STENSTROM, M.K. and AHRING, B.K. Changing mesophilic wastewater sludge digestion into thermophilic operation at terminal island treatment plant. *Water Environment Research*, September-October 2002, vol. 74, no. 5, p. 494-507.

JENKINS, S.R.; MORGAN, J.M. and SAWYER, C.L. Measuring anaerobic sludge digestion and growth by a simple alkalimetric titration. *Journal of the Water Pollution Control Federation*, May 1983, vol. 55, no. 5, p. 448-453.

LAU, Ivan W.C. and FANG, Herbert H.P. Effect of temperature shock to thermophilic granules. *Water Research*, October 1997, vol. 31, no. 10, p. 2626-2632.

NAJAFPOUR, G.D.; ZINATIZADEH, A.A.L.; MOHAMED, A.R.; Isa, M.H. and NASROLLAHZADEH, H. High-rate anaerobic digestion of palm oil mill effluent in an upflow anaerobic sludge-fixed film bioreactor. *Process Biochemistry*, February 2006, vol. 41, no. 2, p. 370-379.

OSWAL, N.; SARMA, P.M.; ZINJARDE, S.S. and PANT,

A. Palm oil mill effluent treatment by a tropical marine yeast. *Bioresource Technology*, October 2002, vol. 85, no. 1, p. 35-37.

PADILLA, R.B. and BANKS, C.J. Thermophilic semi-

Choorit, W. and Wisarnwan, P.

continuous anaerobic treatment of palm oil mill effluent. *Biotechnology Letters*, July 1993, vol. 15, no. 7, p. 761-766.

RAJ, D.S.S. and ANJANEYULU, Y. Evaluation of biokinetic parameters for pharmaceutical wastewaters using aerobic oxidation integrated with chemical treatment. *Process Biochemistry*, January 2005, vol. 40, no. 1, p. 165-175.

RITTMANN, Bruce E. and MCCARTY, Perry L. *Environmental Biotechnology: Principles an Applications*. New York, McGraw-Hill Book Co., 2001. 768 p. ISBN 0-072-34553-5.

SONG, Young-Chae; KWON, Sang-Jo and WOO, Jung-Hui. Mesophilic and thermophilic temperature co-phase anaerobic digestion compared with single-stage mesophilicand thermophilic digestion of sewage sludge. *Water Research*, April 2004, vol. 38, no. 7, p. 1653-1662.

SPEECE, R.E. Anaerobic Biotechnology for Industrial Wastewaters. Nashville, Tennessee, Archae Press, 1996. 416 p. ISBN 0-965-02260-9.

WHEATLEY, A.D. Anaerobic Digestion: A Waste Treatment Technology. London, Elsevier, 1990. 234 p. ISBN 1-851-66526-9.

YU, Hang-Qing; FANG, Herbert H.P. and GU, Guo-Wei. Comparative performance of mesophilic and thermophilic acidogenic upflow reactors. *Process Biochemistry*, November 2002, vol. 38, no. 3, p. 447-454.

ZINATIZADEH, A.A.L.; MOHAMED, A.R.; NAJAFPOUR, G.D.; ISA, M.H. and NASROLLAHZADEH, H. Kinetic evaluation of palm oil mill effluent digestion in a high rate up-flow anaerobic sludge fixed film bioreactor. *Process Biochemistry*, May 2006, vol. 41, no. 5, p. 1038-1046.

Note: Electronic Journal of Biotechnology is not responsible if on-line references cited on manuscripts are not available any more after the date of publication. Supported by UNESCO / MIRCEN network.