Biological control of *Rhizoctonia solani* in tomatoes with *Trichoderma harzianum* mutants

Jaime Montealegre  
Departamento de Sanidad Vegetal  
Facultad de Ciencias Agronómicas  
Universidad de Chile  
Casilla 1004, Santiago, Chile

Luis Valderrama  
Departamento de Sanidad Vegetal  
Facultad de Ciencias Agronómicas  
Universidad de Chile  
Casilla 1004, Santiago, Chile

Soledad Sánchez  
Departamento de Sanidad Vegetal  
Facultad de Ciencias Agronómicas  
Universidad de Chile  
Casilla 1004, Santiago, Chile

Rodrigo Herrera  
Departamento de Sanidad Vegetal  
Facultad de Ciencias Agronómicas  
Universidad de Chile  
Casilla 1004, Santiago, Chile

Ximena Besoain  
Laboratorio de Fitopatología  
Facultad de Agronomía  
Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso  
Quillota, Chile

Luz María Pérez*  
Asesorías e Inversiones Biostrategy Limitada  
Hernando de Aguirre 1372  
Providencia, Santiago, Chile  
E-mail: Biostrategy.perez2@gmail.com

Financial support: This research was funded by FONDECYT 1040531-04.

Keywords: bioantagonism, field assays, greenhouse assays, root rot, tomato.

Abbreviations: BCAs: biocontrol agents  
cv.: cultivar  
cfu: colony forming units

Biocontrol of *Rhizoctonia solani* in tomatoes cultivated under greenhouse and field conditions was analyzed using the *Trichoderma harzianum* mutants Th650-NG7, Th11A80.1, Th12A40.1, Th12C40.1 and Th12A10.1 and ThF2-1, respectively. Their innocuousness on tomato cultivars 92.95 and Gondola (greenhouse assays), and on cultivar Fortaleza (field assays) was established. Alginate pellets (1.7 g pellets/L soil) containing c.a1 x 10⁵ colony forming units (cfu)/g pellet were applied to a soil previously inoculated with *R. solani* at transplant (greenhouse) or to a naturally infected soil (field).

Controls considered parental wild strains, a chemical fungicide and no additions. Th11A 80.1, Th12A10.1 and Th650-NG7 prevented the 100% mortality of tomato plants cv. 92.95 caused by *R. solani*, and the 40% mortality in tomato plants cv. Gondola (greenhouse assays). Mortality reduction was reflected in canker level lessening and in plant parameters increases (development, fresh and dry weights). A different degree of susceptibility of tomato plants was observed, being Gondola cv. more resistant than 92.95 cv. to infection in a soil previously inoculated with *R. solani*.  
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Tomato plants of cv. Fortaleza did not show mortality in naturally infected soils (field assays), where the mutant ThF2-1 reduced significantly the canker level caused by *R. solani*.

*R. solani* is one of the phytopathogens that attack tomatoes cultivated under greenhouse conditions, causing root and crown rot (Latorre, 2004). *R. solani* is controlled using methyl bromide (Apablaza, 2000), a fumigant known for its high toxicity and its degradative effect on the ozone layer (Duniway, 2002).

Fungi of the genus *Trichoderma* are important biocontrol agents (BCAs) of several soil borne phytopathogens (Benítez et al. 2004). The molecular characterization of several wild isolates has shown a certain degree of polymorphism and the presence of three different ITS lengths (Hermosa et al. 2000) and the secondary metabolites involvement in biocontrol has been recently reviewed (Reino et al. 2008). *Trichoderma* use different mechanisms for the control of phytopathogens which include mycoparasitism, competition for space and nutrients, secretion of antibiotics and fungal cell wall degrading enzymes (Kubicek et al. 2001; Howell, 2003; Benítez et al. 2004; Harman et al. 2004). In addition, *Trichoderma* could have a stimulatory effect on plant growth (Naseby et al. 2000) as a result of modification of soil conditions.

The biocontrol by fungal species of the *Trichoderma* genre, of root and crown rot caused by *R. solani*, are being used as an alternative to chemical fungicides (Papavizas, 1985; Limon et al. 1999; Rey et al. 2001). Nevertheless, the need to improve the positive effect of wild BCAs has prompted the development of different strategies, including those related to increasing the expression and secretion of enzymes such as chitinases and endoglucanases involved in the degradation of the phytopathogen’s cell walls. This strategy has resulted in mutant strains with enzyme activities higher than those of their wild-type parents (Limon et al. 1999; Rey et al. 2001, Besoain et al. 2007, Pérez et al. 2007) and where *T. harzianum* mutant strains have proved to be effective *in vivo* biocontrol agents of *R. solani* that causes crown and root rot in tomatoes (Pérez et al. 2007). However, their innocuousness on tomato plants and their effectiveness as biocontrol agents on *R. solani* has not yet been tested.

The present work describes these effects under greenhouse and field conditions.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Fungal strains**

*R. solani* strain 618 (AG 4), and five *T. harzianum* mutants (Th650-NG7, Th11A80.1, Th11C40.1, Th12A.10.1 and ThF2-1) and their corresponding parental strains (Th650, Th11, Th12, ThV and Th291) were used (Besoain et al. 2007; Montealegre et al. 2007; Pérez et al. 2007).

**Tomato plants**

Tomato plants of cultivars (cvs.) 92.95 and Gondola, susceptible to *R. solani*, were used for greenhouse assays and tomato plants of cv. Fortaleza, also susceptible to the phytopathogen, was used for field assays.

**Soils**

Soils used were: A) Antumapu Campus (Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Metropolitan Region), and C) Olmué (V Region), Chile. The physical and chemical analysis, performed by the Institute of Agricultural Research - Chile (INIA) of both types of soils showed:

For type A soil (Antumapu): 12.8% sand, 46.4% slime and 40.8% clay; pH = 7.8, conductivity (mS/cm²) = 1.6, organic material = 2.8%, available N (mg/Kg) = 63, available P (mg/Kg) = 30 and available K (mg/Kg) = 192.

For type C soil (Olmué): 42.7% sand, 35.8% slime and 21.5% clay; pH = 7.1, conductivity (mS/cm²) = 4.1, organic material = 3.7%, available N (mg/Kg) = 32, available P (mg/Kg) = 200 and available K (mg/Kg) = 580.

The type A soil was used for greenhouse assays after steam sterilization for 20 min at 121°C.

**Greenhouse assays: effectiveness of native and mutant *Trichoderma* strains in the control of *R. solani* in greenhouse tomatoes**

The effectiveness experiments on *R. solani* were run after evaluation of innocuousness of the different *T. harzianum* strains on tomato plants cvs. 92.95 and Gondola, as in Besoain et al. (2007).
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Table 1. Treatments of tomato plants in greenhouse assays.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment¹</th>
<th><em>R. solani</em> (21 g inoculum/ pot; 8 x 10⁵ cfu/g)</th>
<th>Chemical fungicide (0.15 cc Pencycuron/ pot)²</th>
<th><em>Trichoderma</em> strain³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th650</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Th650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th650-NG7</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Th650-NG7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th11</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Th11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th11A80.1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Th11A80.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th11C40.1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Th11C40.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th12</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Th12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th12A10.1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Th12A10.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Fungal strain inoculated at seedling transplant (3-5 true leaves).
²Fungicide specific for fungi of *Rhizoctonia* genre, applied to soil as recommended by the manufacturer (0.15 cc/pot).
³Bioantagonists formulated in sodium alginate, were applied to the soil at seedling transplant. Formulations contained: Th650 (4.9 x 10⁵ cfu/g), Th650-NG7 (5.1 x 10⁵ cfu/g), Th12 (3.8 x 10⁵ cfu/g), Th12A10.1 (5.6 x 10⁵ cfu/g), Th11 (1.2 x 10⁵ cfu/g), Th11A80.1 (7.9 x 10⁵ cfu/g) and Th11C40.1 (10.4 x 10⁵ cfu/g).

Once fungal innocuousness was established tomato seedlings of cvs. 92.95 and Gondola were transplanted from seedlings to 2.3 L pots containing sterile type A soil inoculated with *R. solani* 618 (21.0 g inoculum/pot; 8 x 10⁵ colony forming units (cfu)/g inoculum) grown on sterile oat seeds (Santander et al. 2003) to run the treatments shown in Table 1. The inoculum was introduced close to where the root pan and crown of the plant were going to be placed. Inoculum concentration was established after grounding the oat seeds, and further serial dilutions in selective culture medium (Madigan et al. 2003).

Sodium alginate pellets of the wild or mutant strains of *T. harzianum* innocuous for these tomato cvs. at the concentrations indicated in Table 1, were prepared (Montealegre et al. 2005) and 4 g/pot of each strain were applied at transplant to the soil as in Besoain et al. (2007). They were mixed with the soil close to where the root pan and crown of the plant were going to be planted. Plants were grown under greenhouse conditions, where soil temperature ranged from 20.9°C to 28.6°C and watered without fertilizers. Each experimental unit corresponded to one plant of each cultivar per each of the nine treatments, considering five replications. Pots were arranged in a complete random design. Once plants reached fruit set between the fourth and the fifth bunch, they were removed, the potting soil was rinsed from the roots and plants were assessed as follows:

a) Crown canker (area size showing lesions in crown related to stem perimeter), was assessed using the following scale, to establish the disease’s degree: 0: 0% area affected, healthy plant; 1: < 1% area affected, slight disease; 2: 5% - 30% area affected, moderate disease; 3: 30% - 60% area affected, important disease; 4: 60% - 90% area affected, severe disease; and 5: > 90% area affected, dead plant.

b) Root development was evaluated using the following scale: 0: no development, dead plant; 1: poor development, weak plant; 2: moderate development, stable plant; 3: good development, healthy plant; and 4: very good development, healthy plant.

c) Plant mortality was estimated using crown canker and root development results.

d) Aerial fresh and dry weight, and root fresh weight were assessed by weight.

Measured parameters in weight units were analyzed by ANDEVA, and when significant differences were detected, Tukey’s test was used at 5% significance. Crown canker and root development results were analyzed using the non-
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Figure 2. Canker level, Root Development level and % Mortality of tomato plants cv. 92.95 inoculated with \textit{R. solani} 618: effect of different treatments. T0: without any treatment; T1: pencycuron.* Evaluated using the Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric test, by pair comparisons according to a Mann-Whitney test.

parametric Kruskal-Wallis test, and when significant differences were obtained, the Mann-Whitney pair's comparison was used.

Treatments (Table 1) considering the interaction of each wild or mutant \textit{T. harzianum} strain with \textit{R. solani} 618, were compared to controls run with \textit{R. solani} 618 (T0) and with \textit{R. solani} 618 plus pencycuron (T1), both in the absence of any \textit{T. harzianum} strain.

Field assays: effectiveness of wild and mutant \textit{Trichoderma} strains in the control of \textit{R. solani} under field conditions

Field assays were run under a commercial producer cold greenhouse, located in Olmue (V Region, Chile), using tomato plants cv. Fortaleza, in the season winter - spring. A high incidence of \textit{R. solani} in previous seasons in this field was considered for its selection to run the assay.

Treatments (Table 2) considered the use of two mutant strains: Th12A10.1 and ThF2-1 (Besoain et al. 2007), previously selected as good \textit{R. solani} controllers in vitro (Arias et al. 2006; Montealegre et al. 2007). These strains were formulated as alginate pellets (Montealegre et al. 2005) and their effect was compared with the commercial fungicide Trichonativa (\textit{Trichoderma harzianum} strain Queule, \textit{Trichoderma virens} strain Sherwood and \textit{Trichoderma parceanamosum} strain Trailes), and with the fungicide methyl bromide. An additional control was run with sodium alginate pellets that did not contain any biocontrol agent. The natural \textit{Trichoderma spp.} population was established before the assay (Williams et al. 2003).

Briefly, 1.7 g pellets/plant, containing Th12A.10.1 (5 x 10^5 cfu/g pellets) or ThF2-1 (7 x 10^5 cfu/g pellets) were applied:

a) To the planting hole in the soil pre-transplanting of tomato plants in one inoculation assays.

b) To the planting hole in the soil pre-transplanting of tomato plants, around the crown 15 days after transplanting and one week before sprout in three inoculation assays.

c) In the watering following the recommendations of the manufacturer (5 cc/L) in the planting whole pre-transplanting and one L/Ha in the following inoculations of the commercial product (Bioinsumos Nativa, S. A.).

Figure 3. Tomato plants cv Gondola grown in 2.3 L pots containing Antumapu soil inoculated with \textit{R. solani} and different treatments. T0: Only \textit{R. solani}; T1: \textit{R. solani} 618 + pencycuron, NG-7; Th650-NG7. Others correspond to the different \textit{T. harzianum} strains used in treatments.
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Table 2. Treatments of tomato plants in field assays.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th><em>Trichoderma</em> strain pre-transplanting</th>
<th><em>Trichoderma</em> strain 15 days after transplanting</th>
<th><em>Trichoderma</em> one week before sprouting</th>
<th>Methyl bromide pre-transplanting</th>
<th>Alginate pellets without BCA pre-transplanting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Th12A10.1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>ThF2-1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>Th12A10.1</td>
<td>Th12A10.1</td>
<td>Th12A10.1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>ThF2-1</td>
<td>ThF2-1</td>
<td>ThF2-1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td>Comm. Product</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. 1.7 g pellets/plant inside the planting whole in pre-transplant inoculation.
2. 1.7 g pellets/plant inside the planting whole in pre-transplant inoculation, 1.7 g pellets/plant around the crown in each post-transplant inoculation.
3. The commercial product (Trichonativa) was applied in watering [5 cc/L, for pre-transplant and 1 L/Ha for post-transplant as recommended by the manufacturer, Bioinsumos Nativa S.A.].
4. Th12A10.1 (5 x 10⁵ cfu/g pellets).
5. ThF2-1 (7 x 10⁵ cfu/g pellets).

The experimental unit consisted of ten plants, and each treatment was run in four replicas using a random design. Evaluations considered: a) mortality of plants: 17 days and 31 days after transplant; b) fresh weight: at the end of the crop; c) yield: kg fruit produced up to the third bunch, collected using the collection index recommended by the producer and separating fruits by size (diameter > 67 mm, first quality; ≤ 67 > 57 mm, second class and ≤ 57 mm, third class); d) damage level caused by *R. solani*: at the end of the crop using the scale mentioned above.

Results were analyzed using ANDEVA, and when significant differences were detected, they were also analyzed by the Tukey’s test at 5% significance. To evaluate canker level and root development, the Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric test was used and when significant differences were obtained, the Mann-Whitney pair’s comparison was used.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effectiveness of mutants in the control of *R. solani* in greenhouse tomatoes

All the native and mutant *Trichoderma* strains tested were innocuous to tomato seedlings and plants of cvs. 92.95 and Gondola confirming the innocuousness of several of these *Trichoderma* strains already reported, for experiments run with tomato plants in different conditions (Montealegre et al. 2005; Besoain et al. 2007). Therefore, they were used in greenhouse and field assays to test their biocontrol effect on *R. solani*.

The general effectiveness of wild and mutant strains of *T. harzianum* on the biocontrol of *R. solani* disease on tomato plants cv. 92.95 can be visualized in Figure 1. Control plants (T0), and plants with Th12 and Th650 treatments showed death (100% mortality, i.e. 0% survival) and scarce root development, respectively; while the others appear healthy showing different degrees of development, depending on the treatment shown in Table 1. Analysis of plant mortality in cv. 92.95 (Figure 2) shows that the 100% mortality caused by *R. solani* was reduced to zero by the mutant *Trichoderma* strains Th650-NG7, Th12A10.1 and Th11A.80.1, while in the presence of Th11C40.1 and Th11 the biocontrol effect was identical to the treatment with the chemical fungicide. The increase in survival caused by the parental strains Th650 and Th12 was lower than the one caused by the corresponding derived mutant. The same mutants showed the lowest canker level (Figure 2) with no significant differences between them, although Th650-NG7 and Th12A.10 were not different from Th11 and with the treatment with pencycuron (T1). In general, the canker level observed in the different treatments, correlate well
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Figure 4. % Canker level, Root Development level and % Mortality of tomato plants cv. Gondola inoculated with *R. solani* 618: effect of different treatments. T0: without treatment; T1: pencycuron. *Evaluated using the Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric test, by pair comparisons according to a Mann-Whitney test.

with results in mortality and those of root development (Figure 2), where treatments with Th650-NG7, Th12A10.1 or Th11A.80.1 resulted in the highest root development. These results suggest that mutants obtained either by chemical or UV treatments, with the exception of Th11C40.1, have improved their biocontrol activity in relation to their parental strains. The obtainment of *Trichoderma* mutants through different procedures has allowed the obtainment of hyperhydrolytic strains with improved biocontrol activity on *R. solani* (Rey et al. 2001), of protease overproducing strains with improved biocontrol activity against different pathogens (Szekeres et al. 2004), of salt tolerant strains for the control of *F. oxysporum* (Mohamed and Haggag, 2006) and of pesticide-polyresistant strains (Hatvani et al. 2006), thus supporting the improved biocontrol effect of some of the mutants tested in this work.

The decrease in % mortality and in canker level and the increase in root development of tomato plants cv. 92.95 caused by Th650-NG7, Th12A10.1 and Th11A.80.1 was also observed in plant fresh weight and root dry weight (Table 3), where treatments with these mutants resulted in the highest values, although Th12A10.1 was the only mutant that caused significant differences in all parameters evaluated. The statistical analysis of results shows that the aerial fresh weight caused by the presence of Th650-NG7 doubles that of Th650, although the latter showed no significant differences with T0. These results do not agree with those previously reported for tomato plants cv. Cal Ace (Santander et al. 2003), where assays were run using soil fumigated with methyl bromide rather than sterile soil. The best results on tomato plants cv. Cal Ace were obtained with the wild strain Th650, using an inoculum three times lower than the one used in this assay. The lower inoculum used with tomato cv. Cal Ace could explain in part the differences observed among assays, but it cannot be discarded genotypic differences among cultivars in their response to the presence of the same fungal strain or a deleterious effect of the Th650 dose used in this assay (Table 3).

Aerial dry weight of tomato plants cv 92.95 did not differ significantly between treatments except with T0 and Th12 (Table 3), suggesting that the higher aerial fresh weight obtained after treatment with Th11A80.1 or Th12A10.1 as compared to the other treatments, could reflect a higher content of water rather than to the accumulation of carbon derived compounds.

The smallest root fresh weights obtained in controls (T0) and in Th12 treated tomato plants cv. 92.95, correlate with results on mortality of plants and with the poor root development. Root fresh weights of tomato plants from the other treatments, were consistent with their effect on increasing survival and root development. Therefore, the presence of several *Trichoderma* strains in soils previously inoculated with *R. solani* 618 are also improving the growth of tomato plants cv. 92.95 in relation to controls run in the absence of any BCA, as has been described in other systems (Naseby et al. 2000).

On the other hand, when behaviour of tomato plants cv. Gondola was compared with those of cv. 92.95, several
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**Table 3. Effect of treatments with wild and mutant *T. harzianum* strains on aerial and root fresh weight, and in aerial dry weight of tomato plants cvs. 92.95 and Gondola.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain used in treatment</th>
<th>Aerial fresh weight (g)</th>
<th>Aerial dry weight (g)</th>
<th>Root fresh weight (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cv. 92.95</td>
<td>cv. Gondola</td>
<td>cv. 92.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th 12A 10.1</td>
<td>47.34</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th 11A 80.1</td>
<td>46.48</td>
<td>31.82</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th650-NG 7</td>
<td>38.62 a b</td>
<td>29.82</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th 11</td>
<td>38.06 a b</td>
<td>28.18</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T11^1</td>
<td>30.58</td>
<td>27.24</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th 11C 40.1</td>
<td>27 a b c</td>
<td>26.68</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th 650</td>
<td>18.94</td>
<td>26.12</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T12^2</td>
<td>4.36 c d</td>
<td>21.94</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0</td>
<td>3.72 d</td>
<td>18.88</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters in lines within the same cultivar and plant parameter measured, indicate significant differences after analysis of data by ANDEVA and further analysis by Tukey’s test at p ≤ 0.05. 1/ T1 (R. solani + Pentycuron (0.15 cc/pot). 2T0 (just R. solani 618).

Differences were observed: i) T0 was the only Gondola plant where the disease caused by *R. solani* was observed (Figure 3), ii) those inoculated with Th12 or with Th650 showed differences with those of the cv. 92.95, iii) Gondola plants were unaffected by *R. solani* when inoculated with the mentioned wild *Trichoderma* strains.

Mortality of 100% caused by *R. solani* in cv. 92.95 was of 40% in control plants (T0) cv. Gondola (Figure 4), canker level of almost 5 in cv. 92.95 did not reach a level of three in cv. Gondola (Figure 4), and root development of level 1 in cv. 92.95 increased to almost two in cv. Gondola (Figure 4). These results indicate that cv. 92.95 and cv. Gondola differs in their susceptibility towards *R. solani* 618, most probably due to their genotypic differences because assays were run in the same conditions. A similar behaviour has been observed in different cultivars of *Phaseolus vulgaris*, where a differential response to *R. solani* was obtained (Gutiérrez et al. 2006). In addition, the less damage caused by *R. solani* in tomato plants cv. Gondola resulted in the absence of significant differences in aerial fresh and dry weight and root fresh weight of plants after treatments. This agrees with results obtained by Le et al. (2003) using tomato plants of the line CLS915-206D, where they did not obtain significant differences between treatments, using *T. harzianum* and *T. virens*, for the control of the sudden death of tomato plants caused by *Pythium aphanidermatum*.

Treatments of tomato plants cv. Gondola with the wild or mutant *Trichoderma* strains decreased mortality and canker level, and increased root development (Figure 4) confirming the biocontrol effect of them. Nevertheless, treatment of tomato plants cv. Gondola with the Th11C40.1 mutant resulted in the best parameter values, suggesting that specific interactions may result between cvs. and different *Trichoderma* strains.

Aerial fresh or dry weight, as well as root fresh weight did not differ between treatments (Table 3), being values similar to those found in cv. 92.95 for most treatments, except those that included the wild strain Th12, Th650 and T0. These results correlate well with mortality values obtained for both tomato cvs. where Th12 and Th650 decreased mortality to only 80% and 60%, respectively (or in terms of survival, increased only to 20% and 40% respectively) in cv. 92.95 (Figure 2), as opposed to the corresponding 0% and 20% mortality (100% and 80% survival) observed in cv. Gondola (Figure 4).

**Effectiveness of mutants in the control of *R. solani* in tomato plants under field conditions**

Analysis of the *Trichoderma* spp. in the soil (Williams et al. 2003) showed a concentration of 2,500 cfu/g soil, which did not contribute to the strain concentration contained in...
pellets; therefore, results are attributed to the effect of the Trichoderma strains tested in the assay.

No tomato plant mortality was observed in controls and in the different treatments under field conditions, where moderate disease was observed, suggesting that tomato plants cv. Fortaleza used in this assay could be more resistant to R. solani attack, as was observed in greenhouse experiments when comparing results between cv. Gondola and cv. 92.95. However, it is possible that the cfu/g pellet used was insufficient to fully control the disease, or that the development and permanence of the strains in the soil was not enough to control the phytopathogen. On the other hand, and looking for tomato cultivars behaviour towards other stress conditions, such as salinity (Al-Karaki, 2000; Reina-Sánchez et al. 2005); zinc (Kaya et al. 2000); weeds (Ngouajio et al. 2001); and water stress (Srinivasa-Rao et al. 2001), it appears not unusual that different tomato cultivars differ in their degree of sensitivity.

Independent of these assumptions, it appears that the Trichoderma strains used in these assays did not have a major preventative effect on the damage caused by R. solani because all plants showed moderate signs of canker crown rot (Results not shown). This suggests that it is possible that specific interactions may be developed between the plant and the biocontrol agent, as was observed for the tomato cv. Cal Ace and Th650 (Santander et al. 2003).

**Fresh weight and fruit yield of tomato plants cv. Fortaleza: effect of mutants under field conditions**

Fresh plant weight (Table 4) did not differ between treatments which agrees with results obtained in greenhouse assays for cv. Gondola inoculated with R. solani (Table 3), and also with the moderate degree of the disease caused by the phytopathogen in the field experiment.

On the other hand, the use of the two mutant Trichoderma strains did not result in an improved weight of tomato plant roots (Table 4), which neither showed higher weights in the presence of the commercial product. These results are different from those of Pérez et al. (2002) who obtained higher dry weight of tomato plants treated with the BCAs than controls, in assays of tomato plants grown in soil infected by Pyrenochaeta lycopersici where the growth promoting effect was attributed to the presence of the BCAs, as already reported (Naseby et al. 2000).

Fruit yield (Table 4), related to second and third quality fruits did not show differences between treatments, and first quality fruits showed significant differences between treatment with methyl bromide and all the other treatments. As methyl bromide destroys all microorganisms present in soil, independently of their beneficial or damaging activity; it is not unexpected that yield of first quality fruits was lower in this treatment because of the lack of beneficial organisms in the soil that contributes to plant nutrition. On
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Table 4. Mean fresh weight and mean yield of tomato fruits per plant of tomato plants cv. Fortaleza.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain used in treatment</th>
<th>Mean fresh weight</th>
<th>Mean field of tomato fruits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total (g)</td>
<td>Roots (g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 (Th12A10.1)</td>
<td>1227,2</td>
<td>a 71,4 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 (ThF2-1)</td>
<td>1366,9</td>
<td>a 87,4 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3 (Th12A10.1)&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1172,4</td>
<td>a 69,5 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4 (ThF2-1)&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1463,4</td>
<td>a 91,6 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5 (Commercial Product)</td>
<td>1508,9</td>
<td>a 95,4 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6 (Commercial Product)&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1441,4</td>
<td>a 90,1 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7 (Methyl bromide)</td>
<td>1302,8</td>
<td>a 85,4 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8 (Control)</td>
<td>1307,2</td>
<td>a 85,8 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup>1.7 g pellets/plant inside the planting whole in pre-transplant inoculation, 1.7 g pellets/plant around the crown in each post-transplant inoculation.

<sup>2</sup>The commercial product (Trichonativa) was applied in watering [5 cc / L, for pre-transplant and 1 L / Ha for post-transplant as recommended by the manufacturer, Bioinsumos Nativa S.A.]. Different letters in lines within the same cultivar and plant parameter measured, indicate significant differences after analysis of data by ANDEVA and further analysis by Tukey’s test at p ≤ 0.05.

The other hand, treatments with *Trichoderma* did not cause significant differences in yield of first quality fruits, suggesting that the presence of BCAs had no effect on this parameter, which in turn was similar to controls where soil contained only the natural microflora.

Damage caused by *R. solani* in tomato plants cv. Fortaleza under field conditions and different treatments (Figure 5) shows that the mutant ThF2-1 strain showed the lowest level of damage as opposed to controls. The damage level caused by *R. solani* on the control tomato plant cv. Fortaleza of 1.58, lower than those obtained for cv. 92.95 of 4.8, and for cv. Gondola of 2.8, suggests that the level of resistance could rely on the genotypic characteristics of each cultivar. The differences in resistance in terms of damage level caused by *R. solani* 618, observed between the tomato cv. tested are also reflected in the differences in plant mortality.

Total tomato fruit yield in plants treated with the mutant ThF2-1 (Figure 5) showed no significant differences with other treatments, suggesting that damage level caused by *R. solani* is not related to this parameter, at least in this tomato cv. On the other hand, the three applications of ThF2-1 improve total tomato fruit yield when compared to the single pre-transplanting application. Results obtained with ThF2-1, a strain obtained through protoplast fusion (Besoain et al. 2007) agree with the fact that biocontrol strains obtained by these means would contain more and/or more effective biocontrol mechanisms as result of hybridizations between different strains (Howell, 2003).

The lowest fruit yield was obtained with methyl bromide treated plants, most probably due to a phytotoxic effect and/or to an inadequate application of the chemical fungicide.

The effectiveness of all wild and mutant *T. harzianum* strains tested on tomato seeds and seedlings allows us to conclude that these strains may be used both under greenhouse and field conditions. The different mortality of tomato cvs. 92.95 and Gondola observed after inoculation with *R. solani* under greenhouse conditions, and of cv. Fortaleza due to the presence of the phytopathogen in field conditions, suggest that although these cvs. are not resistant to it, they show different degrees of resistance which correlate with the biocontrol effect of the BCAs tested. As this effect was visualized much well in cv. 92.95 that showed the highest mortality caused by *R. solani*, which in turn was prevented by Th650-NG7, Th12A10.1 and Th11A80.1 strains. These *Trichoderma* strains may be recommended to prevent disease caused by this phytopathogen in this cv 92.95. On the other hand, tomato cvs. Gondola and Fortaleza could improve their yield in the
presence of some \textit{T. harzianum} strains, although their higher resistance to \textit{R. solani} may be masking the beneficial effect of the BCAs. In addition, the beneficial effect caused by some of the \textit{T. harzianum} strains was better overall than the one caused by pencuricon or by methylbromide, thus being a good alternative to chemical fungicides for the control of \textit{R. solani}. Finally, the use of \textit{T. harzianum} strains at the field level provides the basis to expand the use of these BCAs to replace chemical fungicides.
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