RNA editing in plant mitochondria, cytoplasmic male sterility and plant breeding.
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RNA editing in plant mitochondria is a post-transcriptional process involving the partial change of C residues into U. These C to U changes lead to the synthesis of proteins with an amino acid sequence different to that predicted from the gene. Proteins produced from edited mRNAs are more similar to those from organisms where this process is absent. This biochemical process involves cytidine deamination. The cytoplasmic male sterility (CMS) phenotype generated by the incompatibility between the nuclear and the mitochondrial genomes is an important agronomical trait which prevents inbreeding and favors hybrid production. The hypothesis that RNA editing leads to functional proteins has been proposed. This hypothesis was tested by constructing transgenic plants expressing a mitochondrial protein translated from unedited mRNA. The transgenic "unedited" protein was addressed to the mitochondria leading to the appearance of mitochondrial dysfunction and generating the male sterile phenotype in transgenic tobacco plants. Male sterile plants were also obtained by expressing specifically a bacterial ribonuclease in the anthers. The economical benefits of artificially engineered male-sterile plants or carrying the (native) spontaneous CMS phenotype, implies the restoration to obtain fertile hybrids that will be used in agriculture. Restoration to fertility of transgenic plants was obtained either by crossing male-sterile plants carrying the "unedited" mRNA with plants carrying the same RNA, but in the antisense orientation or, in the case of plants expressing the ribonuclease, by crossing male-sterile plants with plants expressing an inhibitor specific of this enzyme.

RNA editing

Generalities. The first time the word "RNA editing" was used, a dozen years ago, concerned the insertion or deletion of uridine residues in the mitochondrial RNAs of some trypanosomes. After that, RNA editing has been found in mitochondria and chloroplasts from land plants, in the mitochondria of some fungi, in the nuclear-cytoplasmic compartments from animal cells and in the genomes of some viruses. The RNA editing process involves in some cases the modification of residues in RNA or, in other cases, the insertion and/or deletion of nucleotides in messenger RNA (for some reviews see Adler and Hadjuk, 1994; Araya et al., 1994; Schuster and Brennicke, 1994; Scott, 1995; Hanson et al., 1996; Smith et al., 1997; Stuart et al., 1997). The consequences of the RNA editing process are either the modification of the coded information for some amino acids or the generation of new initiation and/or termination codons. In organisms where RNA editing is active the protein sequence predicted from the gene may be different from that of the mRNA translated protein. While RNA editing is abundantly found in mitochondria and to a lesser extent in the chloroplast compartment of higher plants, it is not present in algae. RNA editing has also been described in mitochondria from other organisms such as Physarum polycephalum, but not in yeast organelles. When detected in mammalian nuclear transcripts, it involves only punctual modifications. Finally, some animal viruses have also
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"adopted" RNA editing as a gene expression strategy (Table 1).

**I. INSERTION/DELETION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U insertion/deletion</th>
<th>Compartment/ Organism</th>
<th>Mechanism and possible cofactors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C insertion (rarely U, AA CU, GU, GC insertions)</td>
<td>Kinetoplastids (Trypanosoma, Leishmania, Crithidia)</td>
<td>Cleavage, ligation guide RNAs....</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A insertion</td>
<td>Mitochondria. P. Polyzophalum</td>
<td>Viral polymerase. Slippery transcription</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G insertion</td>
<td>Unknown mRNAs, rRNAs and tRNAs Paramyxoviruses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3' mRNA poly A synthesis action</td>
<td>Ebola viruses</td>
<td>Viral polymerase. Slippery transcription</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**II. BASE MODIFICATION OR REPLACEMENT**

| C to U | Land plants, Mitochondria, mRNAs, tRNAs, rRNAs |
| C to U | Chloroplasts, land plants, mRNAs |
| C to U | Physarum Polycephalum |
| C to U | Mammals, mRNAs (Apo-B) |
| U to C | Mammals and Marsupials |
| A to I | Land plants. (Mitochondria, Chloroplasts), mRNA |
| A to I | Vertebrates, mRNAs(Glutamic and serotonin receptor subunits) |
| A to G | Hepatitis Delta Virus |
| U to A | Drosophila, 4f-rnp |
| C to A, A to G | Humans, alpha-galactosidase, Unknown mRNA (Phe-to Tyr) |
| U to G, U to A | Acanthamoeba castellani, mitochondria, tRNAs |

**Table 1.** Different types of RNA editing. More details which are not described in the text can be found in the reviews by (Araya et al., 1994; Schuster and Brennicke, 1994; Scott, 1995; Hanson et al., 1996; Smith et al., 1997; Stuart et al., 1997). This table has been adapted from Smith et al., 1997.

Two different types of RNA editing have been described. The first one involves the insertion or deletion of nucleotides with a change in the length of the final edited RNA product, and the second one where a base is changed to another without altering the size of the transcript.

The first case of RNA editing was discovered in 1986 and involved the addition or deletion of uridine residues in the RNA of kinetoplastid, the trypanosomal mitochondria (Benne et al., 1986). In certain cases up to 50% of the coding sequence of a given transcript may arise by this process. Important progress has been obtained in recent years on the elucidation of the mechanism of RNA editing in trypanosomes. The insertion or deletion of uridine regions is performed by a complex enzymatic system called the editosome and involves, in addition to a multimeric protein complex, a short nucleic acid called guide RNA (gRNA) which is partially complementary to the region neighbouring the site of editing (Blum and Simpson, 1992; Adler and Hadjuk, 1997). The gRNAs are encoded in the kinetoplast minicircles while true mitochondrial DNA is found only in few copies per organelle and carries the classical mitochondrial genes.

Insertion events of G and C residues in mRNAs of paramyxovirus (Vidal et al., 1990) and Physarum polycephalum mitochondria (Gott et al., 1993) have been described. The biochemical mechanism of base insertion in these organisms seems to be very different to those described in trypanosomes.

A second mechanism of RNA editing via base conversion has been described in higher mammals (Scott, 1995; Bass, 1997 and references therein), the virus of hepatitis D (Luo et al., 1990) and higher plants (Gualberto et al., 1989; Covello and Gray, 1989; Hiesel et al., 1989). Some examples of RNA editing are shown in Table I.

The case of the animal apolipoprotein B (apo-B) has been extensively studied. Apo-B is a protein found either as a very long polypeptide (Apo-B100) in the liver, or as only the amino terminal half (Apo-B48) in the intestine. The shortened protein APO-48 arises when a CAA triplet coding for glutamine is transformed to a UAA stop codon (Chen et al., 1987; Powell et al., 1987). An in vitro system able to use an unedited apo-B mRNA fragment as substrate allowed to determine the involvement of a cytidine deaminase activity in this reaction. More recently, it has been shown that the specificity of the cytidine deaminase activity, which is
involved in apo-B mRNA editing, is due both to the presence of an RNA binding domain in this protein and to the anchor of the enzyme to a consensus sequence ("mooring sequence") found downstream of the unique editing site (Navaratnam et al., 1995).

Other RNA editing pathway reported in mammals concerns an A to I conversion in the subunits GluR-2, GluR-5 and GluR-6 of the brain glutamate receptor (for reviews see Bass, 1995; Bass, 1997; and references therein). While the gene of these subunits has a triplet coding for glutamine (CGG), an arginine codon (CGG) is found at the same site of some transcripts. The in vitro analysis of the mRNA modification has shown that the A residue is changed to inosine by deamination. The I residue is «read» as a G during cDNA synthesis by reverse transcriptase. An ubiquitous enzyme, the adenosine deaminase (double stranded RNA adenosine deaminase or DRADA) which deaminates adenosine residues in double-stranded RNAs (dsRNA) plays an important role in the A to I change (Bass and Weintraub, 1988). DRADA was first known as an enzyme able to unwind dsRNAs by extensive deamination of A residues, thus destabilizing base paired regions of the dsRNA. The human adenosine deaminase cDNA has also been cloned. The cDNA sequence data revealed a strong degree of conservation across mammals (Kim et al., 1994). The C-end domain of human DRADA is similar to the catalytic region of other hydrolitic deaminases including the cytidine deaminase involved in apo-B mRNA editing (Navartnam et al., 1995). A putative zinc coordination site has been found in the same region, while in the middle domain of the protein, RNA binding motifs have been detected. Currently, it seems clear that DRADA is not the only adenosine deaminase found in vivo (Melcher et al., 1995). A cDNA encoding a new RED1 (RNA editase 1) able to specifically convert A to I at the Q/R editing site of GluR-2 was isolated, suggesting that a multifamily of dsRNA deaminases could be responsible for A to I changes in different tissues. The modification of the GluR-2 exon requires the involvement of the neighbouring intron to form the required double-stranded RNA substrate structure (Melcher et al., 1996). The important question whether other still unveiled RNA editing events take place in other tissues where this ubiquitous enzyme is present remains to be answered.

U-to-C conversion leading to the production of the viral protein p27 was supposed to be the key step of RNA editing in hepatitis D virus (HDV) (Casey et al., 1992). However, a few years later it was found that the replication of this virus proceeds via an antigenome strand. In vitro studies using the antigenome strand as substrate have shown that animal cell DRADA is able to catalyze an A to I conversion at the same position where the U to C conversion was thought to occur in the viral genome (Casey and Gerin, 1995). RNA editing by the conversion of a UAG (stop) codon into a UIG (Trp) codon, plays an important role in the cycle of hepatitis D virus. This change allows the expression of a large and a small form of the HDV antigen from the antigenome. It is still unknown if the in vitro reaction can operate in vivo. An A to I editing has been observed in other animal substrates (references in Paul and Bass, 1998). The 3' end addition of A residues in vertebrate mitochondrial mRNAs are considered by some authors as an RNA editing step since they may lead to the emergence of a termination codon.

RNA editing in plant organelles

This review is mostly focused on the RNA editing process observed in plant mitochondria. RNA editing in the organelles of higher plants involves a C to U transition. This process was first reported in angiosperms mitochondria in 1989 (Gualberto et al., 1989; Covello and Gray, 1989; Hiesel et al., 1989). The comparison between DNA and mRNA sequences of several mitochondrial genes showed some base changes in transcripts relative to the corresponding gene. In our laboratory we reached a similar conclusion by comparing the protein sequence of the subunit 9 (atp9) of the wheat mitochondrial ATP synthase (ATPase) and its corresponding gene (Graves et al., 1990; Bégu et al. 1990).

The crucial driving force that led to the discovery of RNA editing in plant mitochondria was the previous belief that the genetic code of plant organelles differed from the universal code. Analysis of the sequence of the cytochrome oxidase sub-unit II gene (cox II) had shown that some extremely conserved tryptophan residues (UGG) of cox II were replaced by a CGG triplet which usually encodes the amino acid arginine (Fox and Leaver, 1981). However, it was intriguing that only a small fraction of the CGG triplets behave abnormally. In fact the C to U change in some CGG codons, through the RNA editing process, led to the expected UGG triplet universally coding for tryptophan showing that plant mitochondria use the universal genetic code. The complete sequence of the moss Marchantia polymorpha revealed that no editing process occurred in this bryophyte (Oda et al., 1992). Thus, the editing process was presumed to be confined to higher plants excluding mosses. However, recent results showed that RNA editing is also operating in chloroplasts and mitochondria of bryophytes and interestingly, an important number of U to C modifications were observed (Malek et al., 1996). As mentioned above when defining the RNA editing process, most of the editing sites reported corresponded to amino acids differing from those deduced from the gene as well as to the creation of initiation or stop codons. In addition, silent editing events not leading to a change of the encoded amino acid have been described in several plant mitochondrial mRNAs. The reason for the prevalence of silent editings is still obscure.

All mitochondrial RNAs may be edited although to very different degrees. While RNA editing is abundant in mitochondrial mRNAs, some C to U events involve organellar tRNAs and some intronic regions (Maréchal-Drouard et al., 1993; Zanlungo et al., 1995). In the case of tRNA, editing is absolutely required to produce this macromolecule from the long precursor (Maréchal-Drouard et al.,1996; Marchfelder et al., 1996).

Incompletely edited transcripts have been detected. Soon after RNA editing discovery it was believed that only completely edited mRNA were translated into proteins as deduced from the analysis of the polysomal mRNA population (Gualberto et al., 1991) and the protein sequencing of the protein ATP9 (Bégu et al., 1991). However more recent results have shown that partially edited transcripts may be found in the polysomal fractions. Moreover, polymorphic proteins produced from partially edited mRNAs have been isolated in the case of maize mitochondrial rps12 (Lu et al. 1996). These authors have speculated that while the edited ribosomal protein is inserted
in the ribosomal unit, the unedited form, found in the polysomal supernatant, may act as an RNA binding protein.

RNA editing implying a similar C to U conversion have also been found in some chloroplastic mRNAs. The observation that RNA editing was operating in chloroplasts came from the observation that the putative genes of some chloroplastic proteins lacked an initiation codon which could be created by a C to U change (Hoch et al., 1991). RNA editing in chloroplasts involves only a limited number of mRNAs.

**Mechanism of RNA editing in plant mitochondria**

RNA editing involving a C-to-U change may take place via different biochemical mechanisms:

(i) RNA cleavage followed by cytidine release, uridine incorporation and RNA ligation,
(ii) a cytidine deamination,
(iii) a transamination or
(iv) a transglycosilation.

It has been shown unambiguously that RNA editing occurred in many cases: apo-B, the brain glutamate receptor and the hepatitis D virus, via a deamination step catalyzed by a cytidine or an adenine deaminase.

To determine the biochemical mechanism of plant mitochondrial C-to-U RNA editing of the *atp9* transcript, an *in vitro* system was developed. The unedited substrate was obtained by *in vitro* transcription of the *atp9* gene using the T7 RNA polymerase system. A lysate obtained from highly purified wheat mitochondria was used as a source of RNA editing enzymes. Soluble proteins from the mitochondria lysate were able to catalyze the C-to-U conversion of the unedited *atp9* substrate. More important was the observation that the *in vitro* edited mRNA products were modified at exactly the same positions found *in vivo*, thus confirming the fidelity of the cell-free reaction (Araya et al., 1992). Two approaches were used to detect the edited RNA product: i) the differential hybridization with an oligonucleotide probe complementary to the 3’ end of the *atp9* transcript carrying four edited sites; ii) the primer extension technique which had been used to study the *in vitro* apo-B mRNA editing mechanism.

Both approaches gave important information concerning the RNA editing process. Unfortunately, they were not suitable to study the enzymatic mechanism involved in this reaction. Thus, we settled a simplified *in vitro* system for routine assays which uses an *in vitro* radioactively labelled *atp9* mRNA as substrate (Blanc et al., 1995; Blanc et al., 1996). After incubation with the mitochondrial lysate the edited product was extensively digested with nuclease P1 and submitted to a double dimension thin-layer chromatography (TLC) to separate the 5’ NMPS. Using this approach we followed the RNA editing activity of the mitochondrial lysate after chromatographic steps on Mono Q, molecular sieve and heparin-agarose columns. The results obtained on the heparin column were interesting because no activity was found when each individual fraction of the eluate was tested.

Nevertheless, a significant RNA editing activity was recovered after pooling all the chromatographic fractions, suggesting a multimeric nature of the RNA editing system. When the eluate of the heparin column was analyzed by gel retardation three major proteins and some minor ones were able to form a complex with the edited form of *atp9* mRNA, while *atp9* DNA or tRNA were not retarded under the same conditions. Further studies allowed us to show that the major RNA binding proteins were apparently not involved in the RNA editing reaction, while a minor one was able to stimulate dramatically the RNA editing activity assayed *in vitro* as mentioned before (Blanc et al. 1996 and our unpublished results). These results strongly suggest that the plant mitochondrial RNA editing machinery contains more than one active protein and can be compared with the so called "editosome" complex involved in the trypanosomal and apolipoprotein-B RNA editing systems.

The search of an unambiguous answer concerning the biochemical mechanism of RNA editing in wheat mitochondria led us to perform the following experiment. When the *atp9* unedited transcript was double-labelled with [α-32P]CTP and [5-3H]CTP (the tritium label is in the base) and submitted to nuclease P1 digestion and bidimensional TLC, the 32P and 3H labels were found to comigrate with the UMP and CMP standards. Moreover, the 3H/32P ratio was the same in UMP and CMP, indicating that deamination of cytidine into uridine plays a key role in RNA editing in plant mitochondria (Blanc et al., 1995). These results are in good agreement with the *in organello* experiments described previously which showed that the α-phosphate is retained during C-to-U conversion in plant mitochondrial RNAs (Rajasekhar et al., 1993). The latter approaches suggested that a deamination or a transamination mechanism may be involved in plant RNA editing. Although the double-labeling approach using partially purified mitochondrial extracts suggests strongly that a deamination process is operating in plant mitochondria, further experiments are necessary to ascertain that a transamination step is not involved in RNA editing. A similar double labeling *in vitro* approach using mitochondrial extracts obtained from etiolated pea seedlings by an independent group supported the role of cytidine deamination in plant mitochondrial RNA editing (Yu and Schuster, 1995).

The analysis of multiple sequences surrounding editing sites in plant mitochondrial transcripts have not indicated the presence of a consensus sequence like the one described in the case of apo-B mRNA editing. We have obtained a significant degree of *in vitro* editing by using short fragments of *atp* and *nad3* mRNAs containing respectively, 4 and 1 editing sites as substrates (Blanc et al., 1995). These results show that the specificity of the reaction is given by regions very near to the editing site. However, the question on the specificity allowing the editosome to choose only some of the multiple C residues in plant mitochondrial mRNAs to be transformed into U remains elusive. Although there is no doubt that antisense RNAs fragments complementary to edited plant mitochondrial RNA regions are present in these organelles, no evidence exists that these antisense RNAs are involved in the RNA editing reaction. Our attempts to show the presence of an RNA guide-type of nucleic acid in the wheat mitochondrial lysate have failed. Thus, micrococcal nuclease preincubation of the mitochondrial lysate and further inactivation of the nuclease did not affect the *in vitro* RNA editing efficiency (Araya et al., 1992). Moreover, extensive protease digestion of the wheat mitochondrial lysate and further end-labeling of a putative oligonucleotide present in this lysate failed to show any radioactive nucleic
acid. Thus, our current hypothesis is that in the wheat mitochondrial RNA editing system the specificity to recognize the appropriate site may reside on the RNA binding proteins associated to the catalytic cytidine deaminase domain involved in the deamination reaction. However, the observation that RNA editing occurs in intronic regions and in the stem of plant mitochondrial tRNA by correcting a mispairing of the stem indicates that formation of double stranded RNA may be associated to the mechanism of RNA editing in these organelles (Maréchal-Drouard et al., 1996; Marchfelder et al., 1996; Wissinger et al., 1991). Furthermore, extensive RNA editing has been related to the putative formation of double stranded structures in the psbL and atpB transcripts of hornworth chloroplasts (Bock et al., 1995; Yoshinaga et al., 1997).

As mentioned before very few U to C changes have been detected in plant mitochondrial edited transcripts. If the U to C changes have a functional significance two possibilities may be invoked to explain the biochemical mechanism of this reaction; either a reversible step of the reaction catalyzed by the cytidine deaminase, or a transamination step using an amino acid or other cofactor as the amino donor group.

**Spontaneous and artificially created cytoplasmic male sterility**

Cytoplasmic male sterility (CMS) is characterized by the suppression of the production of viable pollen and by the non-Mendelian inheritance of this trait. In contrast, the nuclear male sterility is herited following the Mendelian rules (for reviews see Levings, 1990; Hanson, 1991).

The best known example of this trait is the CMS observed in *Zea mays* L. carrying the Texas (T) cytoplasm (CMS-T). CMS was described for the first time in the early 1950's (Rogers and Edwardsion; 1952). During the 1950s and 1960s, CMS-T was used extensively to avoid hand or mechanical emasculation in the production of hybrid maize thus allowing CMS-T was used extensively to avoid hand or mechanical emasculation in the production of hybrid maize thus allowing the targeting of the nuclear encoded and cytoplasmically synthesized ATP 9 protein subunit to the mitochondrial compartment. As seen in Figure 1, showing male sterile and fertile transgenic tobacco flowers, we demonstrated that a significant amount of plants showed the CMS phenotype when the unedited atp9 was used, while all transgenic plants carrying the edited form of atp9 were fertile (Hernould et al., 1993).

Transgenic plants carrying the edited and unedited forms of atp9 were shown to have integrated the gene at the nuclear level and to express atp9 at the transcriptional and translational level. The ATP9 protein was shown to be localized in the mitochondrial fraction of transgenic tobacco using an antibody against a fragment of the signal peptide which remains linked to ATP9 after the cleavage step necessary to enter into the mitochondria. The peptide tag was originated from a yeast gene which is absent in plant mitochondria and obviously in the native endogenous ATP9 subunit. No exogenous atp9 transcription or translation products were observed in transgenic plants that had been transformed with the unedited gene and which showed a fertile phenotype. Moreover, plants expressing the edited version of the transgene were all fertile. The latter results strongly support the idea that the transgenic ATP9 product is involved in the emergence of the CMS phenotype.
It is interesting to mention that some genes can be found in the mitochondrial or the nuclear genome of highly related plant species. When the gene is found in the nucleus from one species, its sequence is more similar to the mitochondrial edited version than to the organellar gene sequence. We have speculated that the deleterious effect of the transgenic unedited ATP9 and the innocuousness of the edited form of ATP9 on mitochondrial function may explain the observation that genes are transferred from the mitochondrial to the nuclear compartment via an RNA intermediary, according to the endosymbiotic hypothesis (Nugent and Palmer, 1991; Covello and Gray, 1992). Interestingly, electron microscopy showed that mitochondria in the tapetum were severely affected in transgenic tobacco carrying the nuclear unedited genes, while other tissues showed the conventional mitochondrial morphology. No mitochondrial modification was observed in transgenic plants carrying the edited mRNA. Respiration measurements of mitochondria isolated from transgenic plants expressing the unedited form of ATP9 gave significantly lower values than control mitochondria or those from plants expressing the edited ATP9 (Hernould et al., 1998).

To verify that the transgene is expressed in tapetal cells, experiments have been performed using the in situ hybridization and "priming in situ" (PRINS) techniques with oligonucleotides complementary to the CaMV terminator VI sequence as an in situ hybridization probe and as primer for the PRINS experiment. Both approaches confirmed that a significant level of unedited or edited ATP9 transcription is observed in the tapetum of transgenic plants.

It is important to emphasize that a general characteristic of CMS is the dysfunction of mitochondria in tapetal cells. It can be assumed that many situations leading to this situation may produce the CMS phenotype. Nevertheless, no clear relationship between the in vivo observed spontaneous CMS and a lower level of RNA editing can be established (Kurek et al., 1997). However, it has been reported that complete editing of an atp6 gene may restore the fertility of CMS rice (Iwabuchi et al., 1993). The production of chimeric protein, extensive recombination without creation of new ORFs, mitochondrial DNA deletions and eventually a decrease or lack of RNA editing may be some of the multiple causes of the CMS phenotype by lowering the capacity of the mitochondria to furnish energy to the cell.

Recovering the fertility of artificially created male-sterile plants

The approaches developed in more detail to produce transgenic CMS plants are: i) the expression of a protein such as the bacterial ribonuclease (Barnase). Barnase expressed in transgenic plants will specifically destroy the tissue where it is expressed. ii) the construction of nuclear transgenic plants carrying the information for the synthesis of "unedited" proteins addressed to the plant mitochondria as described in detail in the previous paragraph.

The use of Barnase to generate male-sterility is a drastic experimental approach that elegantly substitutes hand emasculation. Specific expression of this enzyme may be attained by using an anther specific promoter. After cell transformation, protein expression and plant regeneration, the active nuclease destroyed the pollen producing organs (Mariani et al., 1990). To restore fertility of male plants, the same authors crossed the sterile plants with transgenic plants expressing a specific inhibitor of Barnase. The formation of an inactive complex in the progeny between the Barnase and the specific inhibitor leaded to the restoration of fertility (Mariani et al., 1992).

Our approach to obtain male sterility was more subtle because it does not induce toxicity or a radical destruction of cells but intend to interfere with the physiological process of energy production. As detailed above male sterility was obtained by engineering plants able to synthesize an unedited mitochondrial protein in the cytosolic ribosomes which was
further addressed to the mitochondria. Our approach to restore fertility was based on the antisense strategy (Zabaleta et al., 1996). The working hypothesis was that crossing the male-sterile plants produced as described above with plants expressing the same mRNA but in the antisense orientation should lead to the formation of a dsRNA. This dsRNA is supposed to be substrate of an ubiquitous enzyme, the RNase III, which specifically cleaves dsRNAs. The digestion of this duplex carrying the mRNA which was at the origin of the male sterility trait should abolish this phenotype. As expected when we crossed a plant producing a high level of antisense atp9 mRNA with a male-sterile counterpart expressing unedited RNA we obtained restoration to the fertile phenotype. In all cases, the restoration to male-fertility was associated with the complete loss of unedited atp9 mRNA. Very recently it was shown that the repression of the 55 kDa, NADH-binding subunit of complex I was disturbed by antisense expression in transgenic potato plants. The effect of antisense was reflected by a dramatic decrease of pollen production and a lower male fertility (Heisert et al., 1997).

Work is under progress in our laboratory to produce the same type of CMS and fertility restored plants in other plants than tobacco by using an anther-specific promoter in order to increase the specificity of the whole process. Otherwise many questions are still unanswered concerning the existence of the RNA editing process. Although several evidences have been obtained indicating a strong relationship between RNA editing and the function of the protein issued from the edited mRNA, it is hard to understand why this high energy consuming process is still operating in the cell and why RNA editing is not found in some plants and in most of other organisms because the corresponding genome is already "edited".
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