Seasonal and Temporal Variations in Physico-chemical and Bacteriological Characteristics of River Ganga in Varanasi

Mishra, A.*, Mukherjee, A. and Tripathi, B. D.

Pollution Ecology Research Laboratory, Center of Advanced Study, Department of Botany, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India

Received 13 April 2008; Revised 23 Jan. 2009; Accepted 12 Feb.	2009
--	------

ABSTRACT: Various physico chemical characteristics of the river Ganga in Varanasi were studied in the Oct 2005 to Nov 2006. Ecological parameters like dissolved oxygen(DO), pH, nitrate(NO₃⁻), PO₄³⁻ and bacterial population were analyzed and compared with standard permissible limits to assess the best designated use of the river water for various purposes. Study revealed that the water quality at Varanasi was not safe for human use. Result shows that Fecal coliform $(20.9 \times 10^3/$ 100mL), Fecal streptococci (93/100mL), Total bacterial density $(1.43 \times 10^3/L)$, Total coliform $(25.4 \times 10^3/$ 100mL) *Escherichia coli* ($6.9 \times 10^3/100$ mL) and *Clostridium perfringens* (396/100mL) were substantially high and much beyond the permissible limit of ISI and WHO. There were a marked correlation observed between physico-chemical quality of water and bacterial density. Some pathogenic bacteria Actinomyces *sp.*, *Aerobacter aerogenes*, *A. Cloacae*, *Micrococcus* sp., *Salmonella sp.*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus* sp. and *Shigella sp.*, that indicate the higher level of fecal contamination in water. These untreated water sources are used for drinking and domestic purposes and pose a serious threat to the health of the consumers and therefore calls for urgent intervention by government.

Key words: Coliform, Fecal, Contamination, Indicator, Bacteria, River Ganga

INTRODUCTION

Rivers are the most important natural resource for human development but it is being polluted by indiscriminate disposal of sewage, industrial waste and plethora of human activities, which affects its physicochemical and microbiological quality (Koshy and Nayar, 1999). The potential cause of degradation of river water quality due to various point and nonpoint sources (Berankova *et al.*, 1996, Carpenter *et al.*, 1998). Increasing problem of deterioration of river water quality, it is necessary to monitoring of water quality to evaluate the production capacity.

The Ganga River is one of the most sacred river in India is being polluted by many sources. The main sources of pollution of river Ganga at Varanasi are industrial effluents, domestic sewage

and cremation of dead bodies. At Varanasi 190 MLD of domestic sewage and 80 MLD untreated sewage and industrial effluent along with excreta by human being and various warm blooded animal are directly or indirectly discharged into the river Ganga which have adversely affects the physicochemical and biological quality of river. Approximately 60,000 human dead bodies and about 15,000 incomplete burnt dead human and animal bodies annually dumped in the river. In addition to this variety of other human activities also contributes significantly increase the bacterial concentration in the river. Many of these bacteria are pathogenic and spread the disease like typhoid, paratyphoid, gastroenteritis. Surface waters may play an important role in the transmission of pathogenic agents discharged through feces.

^{*}Corresponding author E-mail: archanamishra06@gmail.com

Prevention of river pollution requires effective monitoring of physicochemical and microbiological parameters (Bonde, 1977; Ramteke et al, 1994). DO and BOD is used to state the pollution status of aquatic system. Nevertheless, the concentration of DO in water always is a reliable factor to indicate the pollution state of aquatic system (Voznaya, 1983). Redox potential (E_{h}) and Oxidation Reduction Index (rH_2) is one of the important indicators of pollution state of river. Redox potential is considerably influenced by the ambient temperature and hydrogen ion concentration. A positive E_h value results from a state tending towards oxidation, while a negative E_b indicates a system causing reduction (Sinha, 1995). The $E_{\rm b}$ considered as useful physical parameters that governs several microbial processes (Lynch etal, 1988)). Water with an E_{h} lower than 0.1 to 0.2 V is generally called reducing (Mortimer, 1942). In natural water and mud, the apparent potential difference is usually between -0.1V (oxygen free) and +0.5V (oxygen saturated). Water saturated with oxygen should have a value of about 0.8V. (Golterman, et al., 1978) The oxidation-reduction index (rH₂) used to assess the pollution status in aquatic system. Negative correlation between the rH_{2} and the BOD (Gautam et al., 1989) showed the higher pollution state in the river. Oxidation-reduction index (rH_2) is calculated by computing the E_b and pH of the water bodies following the equation:

$rH_2 = E_h/0.029 + 2pH$

The neutral point of rH_2 is assumed 28.00 (Voznaya, 1983). Lower than 28.00 indicate a pollution state. In aquatic body, low values of E_b and rH₂ values increase the growth and multiplication of aerobic microorganism. Detection and enumeration of indicator organism are of primary importance for the monitoring of sanitary and microbiological quality of water (Gunnison, 1999: Kataria et al., 1997). The bacterial growth also regulated by physico-chemical quality of water. The elevated turbidities are often associated with the possibility of microbiological contamination as high turbidity makes it difficult to disinfect water properly (Van Loon, 1982; Quality of Domestic Water Supplies, 1998). Coliform is the major microbial indicator of monitoring water quality (Brenner et al., 1993, Grant, 1997). Total Coliform (TC) and fecal coliform (FC) counts are the most widely used bacteriological procedures for assessment of the quality of drinking and surface waters (Mcdaniels. et al, 1985). The TC bacteria test is a primary indicator of potability, suitability for consumption of drinking water. It measures the concentration of TC bacteria associated with the possible presence of disease causing organisms(Craun, 1978) FC are selected members of the coli form group of bacteria are fairly specific for the feces of warm blooded animals and are commonly used as indicators of fecal pollution in waters such as waste water effluents, rivers and raw sources of drinking water supplies (Geldraich, 1978) Variety of human activities contributes significantly to raising the bacterial concentration in the river. Many of these bacteria are pathogenic and agents of diseases like typhoid, paratyphoid, gastroenteritis, dysentery, diarrhea, etc (LeChevellier and Mc Feters, 1985, Kumar, 1992).In the present study, an attempt has been made to assess the impact of seasonal changes on concentration of pathogenic and nonpathogenic bacteria and impact of the different pollutants discharged into river water, as well as to explore the relative pollution states of the river Ganga.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Study area covered in the urban fringe area of Varanasi city, situated in the Eastern Gangetic plain (82° 15'E to 84° 30'E and 24° 35 'N to 25° 30'N) of Northern India. Total five sites, namely Raj Ghat (site1), Assi ghat (site2), Harischandra Ghat (site3), Shiwala Ghat (site4) and Samne Ghat (site5) were selected for river quality monitoring. Each site was reasonably representing the water quality of the river system. The first site is most polluted and receives much of the sewage of the town. Site 2, 3, and 4 are fall in midstream region. Site 5 is located in the area of relatively low river pollution and upstream of the Varanasi city. Pollution sources at selected sites:

- Samne ghat near Samne ghat drain.
- Assi ghat nala from the southern boundary of the city opening near Assi ghat.
- Shiwala ghat nala opening in the upstream near Shiwala ghat.
- Harishchandra ghat nala located near Harishchandra ghat, opening before the burning place.

• Raj ghat nala, the point of maximum sewage discharge into the river Ganga, is situated at downstream before the river confluence of river Varuna, with this river marks the end of northern border of the city.

Water samples were collected in Jan, March, May, July, Sept, Nov across in the river width at all the 5 sites with a view to monitor changes caused by anthropogenic sources.Sampling, preservation and transportation of the water samples to the laboratory were as per standard methods (APHA, 1998). All samples were transported in cold packs to the laboratory and were analyzed within 7h of collection. The pH was determined by a portable pH meter at a collection site immediately after sampling since the biological and chemical reactions between the atmosphere and the sample could readily alter the pH (Hutton, 1983). The E_h was determined through the following equation

 $E_h = E_0 -0.058 \text{ pH} + 0.0145 \text{ logpO}_2$ where E_0 is the standard electrode potential and a function of pH; PO2 is the partial pressure of the oxygen dissolved in water (Voznaya, 1983).

For bacterial analysis samples were collected in sterile bottles at each site and were kept cold ice packed cooler boxes in the field where, possible, being returned to laboratory for analysis as soon as possible. In bacterial analysis, Hi media were used. Qualitative analysis was carried by multiple tube fermentation technique (APHA, 1998) for members of the coliform group. Coliform were detected by presumptive inoculation into tubes of MacConkey broth and their incubation at $37\pm2^{\circ}C$ for 48h Gram characters were also observed by gram staining. MPN of coliform were found in terms of index/100 ml by using standards tubes. For confirmation of indicator bacterial species other test tubes like IMVic, fermentation, VP, nitrate, oxides, citrate, H2S tests etc were performed by using specific media and indicators(Sirockin and Cullimore, 1969, WHO 1985, APHA, 1998). The correlation coefficient analyses were done between different parameters to assess the significance of observed data.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

The physico-chemical analysis carried out from the different site during different season has

been presented in (Figs.1 to 9). Temperature is the most important factor, which influences chemical, physical and biological characteristics of water bodies. A study revealed that temperature varied from 23.8 to 25.3 where maximum at Site 1 and minimum at Site 5. Similar pattern were observed for Electric Conductivity. The pH values did not show remarkable differences between sampling sites and ranged 7.4 to 8.1. The value of DO is remarkable in determine the water quality criteria of an aquatic system. In the system where the rates of respiration and organic decomposition are high, the DO values usually remain lower than those of the system, where the rate of photosynthesis is high. The mean value of the dissolved oxygen ranged between 1.8 to 5.8 mg/L. Highest DO at the Site 5 where minimum discharge of effluent and human activities. Lowest DO at the Site 1 where maximum discharge of sewage effluent from the town. In opposite BOD is minimum at Site 5 and maximum at Site 1 followed by Site 2, 4 and 3. The nitrate concentration were high ranging from 1.38 to 2.6 mg/L. Highest mean concentration were observed at sampling Site 1 and Site2 (2.6 and 1.58 mg/L respectively). Plotting the monthly values of nitrate concentration verses time, maxima at the end of winter and during the summer are obtained (Vega et al., 1998).

The highest concentration was probably partially a result of rainfall, washing out nitrate from fertilizers. Same pattern were also observed for phosphate. PO₄ values in river Ganga ranged between 3.56 to 5.79 mg/L where maximum value in sampling site 1 followed by Site2 and 4. Average concentration of PO_4 is 3.9, which is considered as the lower limit for river waters to pose a risk of eutrophication (Mourkidas et al., 1990). Fig. 9. showed the value of E_{h} and rH_{2} at different Sites. In natural water might have a range from -0.1 V (oxygen free) to + 0.8V (oxygen saturated). The neutral point of E_b in natural water might be taken as +0.35V [0.5(0.8V-0.1V)] the value below this would indicate a pollution state. Present study revealed that Ganga water in a reducing state (E_{h}) always remained above +0.2V). The rH_2 is an objective characteristic of the process occurring in a given body of water (Voznaya, 1983).

Characteristics of River Ganga





Fig. 3. Tempurature variation at different site



Fig. 5. Variation in DO at different sites





60 50 mg/L 40 30 20 Series1 10 0 Site2 Site3 Site 4 Site5 Site1

Sites Fig. 2.Variation in Cl concentration at different sites



Sites Fig. 4. Variation in Nitrate concentration at different site



Fig. 6. Variation in Phosphate concentration at different sites





Fig. 9. Temporal variation in pH

At almost all sites values of rH_2 in river Ganga, is lower than 28, which point to the pollution in river. The addition of organic matter in water increased the concentration of the reduced from of chemical substances and lowered the ambient E_b and rH_2 values.

Bacteria are the chief decomposer and indicator of organic pollution (Table 1). Showed the bacterial concentration (TBD, FC, EC, TC, and CP) at different Sites and season. Total bacterial density (TBD) were maximum at Site 1. Bacterial population had affected by seasonal variations. Maximum concentration of (TBD, TC, FC, EC, and CP) was found in July due to favorable temperature, high turbidity and addition of more sewage and fecal matter through surface runoff.In January minimum bacterial concentration were observed due to low temperature and low input of organic matter. The irregular variation in the coliform bacterial population was due to seasonal change. (Legendra et al., 1984, Barcina, 1986 and Ramanibai, 1996).

Total bacterial count can be a reliable indicator of water quality since the number of bacteria present depends upon the degree of contamination (Bilgrammi, 1998). The quantitative values of bacteria were invariable highest at Site 1 followed by 2, 4, 3 and 5 due to the discharge of sewage along with human and animal excreta and hospital refuge, open defecation near bank, allowing of cattle and other human activities. Bacterial population concentration has been noted to be directly related with the outbreaks of water borne diseases (Muller *et al.*, 1977).

Coliform bacteria are reliable indicator of organic pollution because they are unable to survive in clean water beyond a limited time (Rai and Hill 1978, Hiraishi et al., 1987). Table1. shows the different concentration range of coliform, FC, E.coli and CP at different months. FC group is supposed to be more reliable indicator of fecal pollution of water than E. coli (Kennar, 1978) because they are unable to multiply outside the body of human and other warm blooded animals (Mathur and Ramanathan 1966) and also because their survival is more prolonged in surface water than other coliform types(WHO, 1991). Clostridium perfringens is an important bacterial species which survive in water for a comparatively longer period as compared to other fecal bacteria. Their presence in river water is an indicator of fecal contamination of remote time (Droop and Jannash, 1977, Sinha and Banerjee, 1987). Table 2 shows the correlation coefficient between physico-chemical and bacterial parameters of river Ganga at Varanasi. Statistically significant positive correlation were observed between Temperature, BOD, NO₃, PO₄ correlation were found between DO, EC, pH, Cl and bacterial population. Table 3 and 4 show the correlation coefficient between DO and BOD, E_{h} , rH_{2} .

Table 5 Shows the qualitatively 11 bacterial species were identified from the Ganga River at Varanasi. Maximum bacterial species were prominent in rainy season because organic matters enhance the bacterial growth and multiplication. E.coli is prevalent in every season. The existence of other members of FC group (Klebesiella, Enterobacter) has been reported for the non-fecal origin (Alonso *et al.*, 1998). Presence of pathogenic bacteria like Actinomyces, Proteus vulgaris, Pseudomonas aerogenosa, Salmonella typhi, S. paratyphi, Staphylococcus in water may cause acute to severe disease on getting suitable host and condition.

	c cP	6 392	196	3 862	3 1085	4.1 13400	2 15800			CP	0.384	0.912^{**}	-0.746*	-0.585	-0.894**	0.61^{*}	0.726^{*}	-0.415		CP	0.421	0.984^{**}	-0.695*	-0.784*	-0.675*	0.713^{*}	0.756*	
Site 5	FC EC	18.619.6	1.9 6.9	16.3 19.3	24.3 26.3	1900016.42 1195.2 928 194.1 13400	5 353 84.2			EC	0.316	0.956^{**}	-0.815**	-0.594	-0.893**	0.765^{*}	0.865**	-0.325				-					*	
	TBD TC	4.26 32.6	3.62 2.6	8.63 26.3	8.96 38.4	6.42 1195	8.42 549.6	aranasi	Site3	FC	0.293	0.985**	-0.893**	-0.626*	-0.812**	0.793	0.936^{**}	-0.534		EC	0.534	0.865^{**}	-0.653*	-0.856**	-0.638*	0.567	0.734^{*}	
	CP	328	123	1450	1860	0 190001	9400	anga at V		TC	0.345	0.934^{**}	-0.856**	-0.643*	-0.884**	0.864^{**}	0.943^{**}	-0.549	Sites 5	FC	0.42	0.823^{**}	-0.79*	-0.731*	-0.843**	0.745*	0.953^{**}	
Site4	EC EC	18.7 83.8	1.4 0.8	17.9 256	25.6 281) 980 2540	360 445	of river G		TBD	0.735*	0.843^{**}	-0.623*	-0.31	-0.926**	0.426	0.619^{*}	-0.005		(۲	90	0.897^{**}	-0.689*	-0.793*	-0.753*	0.864^{**}	**(
	TBD TC	4.87 34.8	1.86 1.8	7.56 23.8	9.76 34.7	17.68 1280	9.19 435	between physico chemical and bacterial parameters of river Ganga at Varanasi		CP	0.456	0.924^{**}	-0.856*	-0.523	-0.887**	0.853^{**}	0.846^{**}	-0.564		TC	0.456	0.85	-0.6		-0.7	0.86	0.89^{**}	
	CP	1960	930	1365	3780	34630 17.68	22140 9.19	acterial ps		EC	0.416	0.971^{**}	-0.81**	-0.523	-0.953**	0.892^{**}	0.916^{**}	-0.496		TBD	0.673^{*}	0.876^{**}	-0.438	-0.875**	-0.764*	0.378	0.578	
Site3	FC EC	164 59.6	49.3 26.3	29.6 34.6	48.3 53.4	1396 256	983 150	ical and ba	Site2	FC	0.316	0.926^{**}	-0.899**	-0.62*	-0.843**	0.926^{**}	-0.996**	-0.653*		CP	0.398	0.843^{**}	-0.71**	-0.568	-0.689**	0.634^{**}	0.79*	
	TBD TC	5.28 263.4 164	5.63 43.6	8.98 36.4	2.9 61.3	9.34 1563	8950 16.95 1230 983	sico chemi		TC	0.312	0.912^{**}	-0.901**	-0.627*	-0.863**	0.932^{**}	0.916^{**}	-0.167		0	0.3					0.6	0.7	
	CP	1867	453 5	1134) 3500 12.9	3480 3400019.34 1563		ween phy:		TBD	0.723*	• 0.746*	-0.61*	-0.221	-0.756*	0.632^{*}	• 0.623*	-0.169	4	EC	0.356	0.896^{**}	-0.689*	-0.654*	-0.638*	0.621^{*}	0.687^{*}	
Site2	FC EC	234.8 134 456	3.8 48	27 107	24.6 250	-) 658 985			CP	0.464	0.963** 0.952** 0.746*	-0.793* -0.743*	-0.625* -0.643*	-0.725* -0.71*	0.692* 0.695*	0.823** 0.864** 0.623*	8 -0.413	Site4	FC	0.327	0.834^{**}	-0.768*	-0.679*	-0.679*	0.654^{*}	0.798*	
	TBD TC	6.45 234.	5.89 5.4	8.45 23	8.6 34.7	18.96 126	13.8 1360	tion Coef		EC	0.363	-				0.692	-	-0.428			0.			Ŷ				
	EC CP	2560	1630	.2 2820 8.45	4.3 6250	60 32300	36 16850	Table 2. Correlation Coefficient	Site1	FC	0.363	0.963^{**}	-0.763*	-0.623*	-0.726**	0.653*	0.864^{**}	-0.436		TC	0.432	0.897^{**}	-0.783*	-0.589	-0.723*	0.679*	0.83	
Site1	FC	8.26 136.4 116 113	76.4 95.4	14.1 54.6 68.6 63.2	13.21948.3184.3204.362508.6	21.631189 1242 1260 3230018.961264 1380	$18.411320 \ 1640 \ 1736 \ 1685013.8 \ 1360 \ 658$	Table		TC	0.511	0.815^{**}	-0.764*	-0.623*	• -0.726*	0.654^{*}	0.854^{**}	-0.436		TBD	0.654^{*}	0.796^{*}	-0.61*	-0.31	-0.789*	0.345	0.635^{*}	
	TBD TC	8.26 136.	7.26 66.3 76.4	14.1 54.6	13.21948.	21.63 1189	18.41 132(TBD	0.634^{*}	Turbidity 0.796**	-0.693*	-0.412	-0.823**	0.421	0.613^{*}	-0.076					I	I	I	J	0	
	Months TBD	Nov	Jan	March	May	July	Sept				Temp	Turbidity	EC	Ph	DO	NO3	P04	CI			Temp	Turbidity	EC	Ph	DO	NO3	P04	

400

^{*}Significant at 1%, ** Significant at 0.1% TBD = total bacterial density: TC = total coliform; FS= feacal streptococci, EC = Escherichia coli; CP =Clostridium perfringens

	Site1	Site2	Site3	Site4	Site5
P arame te rs	DO	DO	DO	DO	DO
BOD	0.4357	0.3012	0.1360	0.4389	0.3478
E _h	0.4356	0.4568	0.0065	0.4674	0.2345
R h2	0.2356	0.0098	0.2400	0.2456	0.3145

Table 3. Correlation coefficient (r) between DO and other parameters (BOD, E, and rH2)

Table 4. Correlation Coefficients(r) between BOD and other parameters (E_b and rH₂) in the river Ganga

	Site1	Site2	Site3	Sit e4	Site5
P aram ete rs	BOD	BOD	BOD	BOD	BOD
E _h	0.9870**	-0.5160	-0.9890**	-0.5106	-0.6540
Rh2	-0.9841**	-0.4560	-0.9840**	-0.4560	-0.5600

** Significance at 1% level

Table 5. Bacterial Species isolated from Ganga Water at Varanasi

Bacteria	Summer	Rainy	Winter
Actinomyces sp	+	+++	+
Streptococus faeclis	++	+++	++
Shigella sp	+	++	+
Salmonella paratyphi	-	+++	-
S almone lla typhi	++	++	-
Clostridium perfirgens	+++	+++	++
Esherichia coli	+++	+++	++
Psuedomonas aeruginosa	+	+++	+
Klebsiella pneumoniae	+	++	-
Bacillus anthracis	-	++	+
Aerobacter aerogenes	+	+++	-

CONCLUSION

The river Ganga which is holiest river of India is frequently used for different purposes. Present study revealed the high level of bacterial population and E_h and rH_2 indicate pollution state of river Ganga. The concentration of different physico chemical and bacterial parameters is much beyond the permissible limit prescribed by WHO. Hence, direct consumption of untreated Ganga water and bathing in the Varanasi reason is at high risk for human health.

REFERENCES

Alonso, J. L., Soriano, A., Amoros, I., and Ferre, M.A., (1998). 'Quantitative determination of *Escherichia coli* and fecal coliforms in water using a chromogenic medium', J. Environmental Science Health **A33(6)**, 1229–1248.

American Public Health Association (APHA), (1998). Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 19th ed., Washington, DC. Barcina, I., (1986). Factors affecting the survival of Escherichia coli in a river', Hydrobiologia **141**, 249–253.

Bilgrami, K. S., & Kumar, S. (1998). Bacterial contamination in water of the River Ganga and its risk to human health. International Journal of Environmental Health Research, **8**, 5–13.

Bonde, G. J. (1977).Bacterial indication of water pollution advances in aquatic microbiology', in: M. R. Droop and H. W. Januasch (eds), Academic Press, London and New York, 273–364.

Brenner, K. P., Rankin, C. C., Roybal, Y. R., Jr. Stelma, G. N., Scarpino, P. V. and Dufour, A. P. (1993).New medium for the simultaneous detection of total coliforms and *Escherichia coli* in water', Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **59**, 3534–3544.

Craun, G. F. (1977).Impact of the coliform standard on the transmission of disease, in: C. W. Droop, M.R. and Jannasch, H.W. Advances in aquatic Microbiology. London: Academic Press. Sirockin, G. and Cullimore, S. (1969). Practical Microbiology, McGraw-Hill, London.

Gautam, A. Singh, H.R. and Sati, O.P.(1989). Seasonally variation of certain oxidation-reduction characteristics of river Bhagirathi (India) Proc. Ind.Natn.Sci.Acad. **55**, 111-114.

Geldreich, E. E., (1978). Bacterial population and indicator concepts in feces, sewage, storm water and solid wastes', in: G. Berg (ed), *Indicators of Viruses in Water and Food*, Ann Arbor Science, Ann Arbor, Mich. 51–97.

Golterman, C.H, Clyma, R.S. and Ohnstad, M.A.M., (1978). Methods for Physical & Chemical Analysis of Fresh Waters. IBP Handbook 8. Blackwell Scientific Publication, Oxford, 213.

Grant, M. A. (1997). 'A new membrane filtration medium for simultaneous detection and enumeration of *Escherichia coli* and total coliform', Applied Environmental Microbiology **63**, 3526–3530.

Gunnison, D. (1999). Evaluating Microbial Pathogens in Reservoirs. Water Quality Technical Notes Collection (WQTN PD-03)', US Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Vicksburg,

Hendricks (ed), (1978). Evaluation of the Microbiology Standards for Drinking Water, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, 21–35.

Hiraishi, A., Saheki, K. and Horie, S. (1984). Relationship of total coliform, faecal coliform and organic pollution levels in Tamagawa river. Bulletin of Japanese Society of Scientific Fisheries, **50** (6), 991-997.

Hutton, L.G (1983). Field testing of water in developing countries, (Water Research Center, Medmenham laboratory, England.

ISI. (1982). Indian Standard Methods of Sampling and Microbiological Examination of Water. New Delhi: Indian Standard Institution.

Kataria, H. C., Iqbal, S. A. and Shandilya, A. K. (1997). MPN of total coliform as pollution indicator in halali river water of Madhya Pradesh India', *Pollut. Res.* **16(4)**, 255–257.

Kennaer,B.A. (1978). Faecal strptococoi indicators. In indicators of virus in water and food (G.Berg. eds), Ann Arbor, MI: Ann Arbor Source.

Kumar, S. (1992). Heavy Metal pollution in Ganga River sediment U. P.: A preliminary report. In I. B. Singh (Ed.), Gangetic Plain: Terra Incognita. Lucknow: Geology Department, University of Lucknow, 59–66.

Le Chevellier, M.W and Mc Feters, G.A. (1985). Interaction between heterotrophic plate count bacteria

and coliform organism. Applied Environmental Microbiology **49**, 1338-41.

Legendre, P., Baleux, B. and Troussellier, M.,(1984). Dynamics of pollution indicator and heterotrophic bacteria in sewage treatment lagoons', Applied Environment Microbiology **48**, 586–593.

Lynch, J.M. and Hobbies, J.E. (EDS), (1978). Microorganism in action: concepts and application in microbial ecology, Blackwell Scientific Publication, London.

Mathur, R.P. and Ramanathan, K.N. (1966). Significance of enterobacteria as pollution indicator. Environment Health. **8(1)**, 1-5.

Mcdaniels, A. E., Bordner, R. H., Gartside, P. S., Haines, J. R., Conner, K. P. and Rankin, C. C., (1985). Holding effects on coliform enumeration in drinking water samples, Applied Environmental Microbiology **50**, 755–762.

Mortimer, C.H. (1942). The exchange of dissolved substances between mud and water in lakes. J. Ecol, **30**, 147-201.

Muller, G., Grimmer, G. and Bohnke, H. (1977). Sedimentary record of heavy metals and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon in Lake constance, Naturwissenschaften. **64**, 427-31.

Ramanibai, R. (1996). Seasonal and spatial abundance of pollution indicator bacteria in Buckingham canal madras', Indian J. Environ. Prot. **17(2)**, 110–114.

Ramteke, P. W., Pathak, S. P., Bhattacherjee, J. W., Gopal, K. and Mathur, N., (1994). Evaluation of the presenceabsence (P-A) test. A simplified bacteriological test for detecting coliform in rural drinking water of India', Environ. Monit. Assess. **33**, 53–59.

Singh, K.P. Malik, A. and Sinha, S. (2005). Water quality assessment and apportionment of pollution sources of Gomti river(India) using multivariate statistical techniques- a case study, Analytica Chemica Acta **538**, 355-374.

Sinha, S. N., and Banerjee, R. D. (1995). Pollution indicators and impact assessment of pollutants discharged into the River Ganga. International Journal of Environmental Studies, **48**, 231–244.

Voznaya, N.F.(1983).Chemistry of Water and Microbiology, Mir Publishers, Moscow Quality of Domestic Water Supplies, *Assessment Guide 1*, 2nd ed., Department of Water Affairs & Forestry, Department of Health and Water Research Commission.