New pneumococcal conjugate vaccine introductions in four sub-Saharan African countries: a cross-country analysis of health systems’ impacts
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Abstract
Background: Pneumonia is a main cause of under-five mortality in low-income settings. The pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV) has been introduced in many countries as a tool in the disease’s prevention. Although PCV’s effectiveness has been established, less is known about the effects of introducing additional injectable vaccines into routine immunisation programmes, particularly in the context of resource-constrained settings.

Objectives: To explore the effects of PCV introduction on the immunisation programmes and health systems in four low-income countries.

Methods: This study was carried out in Cameroon, Ethiopia, Kenya and Mali. Three to four regions and nine to 10 districts were selected within each country. Semi-structured interviews were carried out at national, regional and district levels (n=173). Researcher-administered questionnaires were completed with facility staff (n=124). Routine data on monthly vaccination activities were collected at district and facility levels.

Results: PCV was generally well integrated into existing routine immunisation. Little or no impact was found in most areas of the health systems. Some minor effects were found on immunisation programmes, particularly in areas with either planning activities or investments e.g. staff skills were strengthened and there were limited improvements in surveillance. Although health sector workers perceived increases in the coverage of other vaccines following the introduction of PCV, routine service data did not confirm this claim. No substantial impacts were seen in health system management, service delivery or performance.

Conclusions: The introduction of PCV had marginal impacts on the Expanded Programme for Immunisation and little to none on broader health systems.
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Introduction
Pneumonia is a main cause of under-five mortality in low-income countries around the world; an estimated 540,000 children died from the disease in 2010 in sub-Saharan Africa1. The Streptococcus pneumoniae bacterium is a major cause of pneumonia2, and of other illnesses such as meningitis3. The effectiveness of pneumococcal conjugate vaccines (PCV) in preventing pneumonia has been established4,5 and the World Health Organization recommends the adoption of PCV into vaccination programmes in developing countries with high pneumonia mortality rates6. With Gavi Alliance
support, over 25 countries introduced PCV since 2010 and as many as 50 others had been approved for introduction by October 2014.

Children are offered three doses of PCV at the same time as the diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis, hepatitis B, Haemophilus influenzae type b vaccine (pentavalent vaccine). PCV13 is offered in one-dose vials whilst PCV10 is provided in two-dose vials without preservative, raising concerns about the risk of Adverse Events Following Immunisations (AEFIs) when first introduced in low-income settings.

As more vaccines are added to routine immunisation schedules, questions have been raised regarding whether Expanded Programmes on Immunisation (EPI) would become overburdened. Previous studies either focused on demonstrating the health impact of PCV, or explored how new vaccines affected specific health system functions or vaccination coverage. No research has evaluated the effects of adding PCV into EPI nor how it, as one component of the Integrated Global Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of Pneumonia and Diarrhoea, may have affected wider health systems.

This paper aims to explore the impact of PCV introductions on EPI and health systems in four countries in sub-Saharan Africa. The research was part of a larger study, exploring the impact of introducing four vaccines in six low- and middle-income countries.

Methods
Cameroon, Ethiopia, Kenya and Mali were selected because they were early adopters of PCV. See Table 2 for background information on each country.

Data collection started between 5-13 months following introduction. Three to four regions were chosen in each country based on vaccination coverage (low, average and high compared to a national average). In Ethiopia four regions were selected to cover the range of health administration directorates (urban, agrarian and pastoral) as well as remote areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>PCV type</th>
<th>Date of vaccine introduction</th>
<th>Data collection period</th>
<th>Introduction strategy</th>
<th>Catch up strategy</th>
<th>Other relevant features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>PCV13</td>
<td>July 2011</td>
<td>May – June 2012</td>
<td>Across the whole country at once</td>
<td>None, but lack of understanding led some regions to vaccinate outside of the target group</td>
<td>Upgraded cold chain using French debt relief programme funding. Pentavalent changed from 2 to 10 dose vial in March 2011. Meningitis A vaccination campaigns conducted in some regions in 4th quarter 2011 (not in study regions). Introduction occurred at a time of suspension of GAVI Alliance’s reward for performance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>PCV10</td>
<td>November 2011</td>
<td>December 2012 – January 2013</td>
<td>Across the whole country at once</td>
<td>All &lt;1 year old</td>
<td>Health information systems were being reconfigured at time of introduction. Integrated Management of Childhood Illness training carried out a few months prior to introduction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>PCV10</td>
<td>February 2011</td>
<td>July – August 2011; March – April 2012</td>
<td>Across the whole country at once</td>
<td>All &lt;1 year old</td>
<td>Additional health workers recruited (before and after introduction). Ongoing modernisation of cold chain. Reach Every District data quality trainings carried out (shortly before introduction). Pentavalent changed from 2 to 10 dose vials around the time of the PCV introduction. Polio and measles outbreaks led to vaccination campaigns during PCV planning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>PCV13</td>
<td>March–December 2011</td>
<td>March – June 2011; January 2012</td>
<td>Phased over 9 months (March to November 2011)</td>
<td>No catch up</td>
<td>Numerous health campaigns conducted (14 in 2010 and 11 in 2011). Political unrest from March 2012. Introduced meningococcal A vaccine through campaigns around the same time as PCV.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Countries’ health and vaccination indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two to three districts were selected per region based on vaccination coverage and to represent a spectrum of urbanisation and rurality. Health facilities were selected based on increasing distance from the district centre. We aimed to sample at least three facilities per district, although more were sampled in Kenya districts and fewer in some Ethiopian districts. (Table 3). Government, private and faith-based health facilities were included.

A mixed methods approach was used, including interviews, questionnaires and the collection of routine health service data. Semi-structured interviews were carried out with 173 key informants including EPI staff and health service managers at national, regional and district levels who had been involved in, or were knowledgeable of, the PCV introduction. Researcher-administered questionnaires were completed with vaccination staff in 124 facilities (see Table 3). Interviews were recorded, transcribed and, when necessary, translated. Routine data was collected on monthly PCV and pentavalent vaccine uptake from facilities and districts.

An adaptation of the WHO’s health systems building blocks framework16,17 was used to structure the data collection tools. However the findings will be presented according to a framework adapted from the World Health Report 200018, since this was felt to be more accessible.
tional for countries introducing PCV or similar vaccines, as well as providing a more holistic perspective of health systems levers (inputs, management, delivery, and performance)\textsuperscript{19}. The questionnaire was adapted from the post introduction evaluation methodology\textsuperscript{20}. Semi-structured interviews were analysed using thematic content analysis with the software ‘Open Code’\textsuperscript{21}. Questionnaire data was analysed using descriptive statistics in SPSS software. Segmented regression analyses were conducted on the routine data to test statistical significance of changes in trends of utilisation of other vaccinations following the introduction\textsuperscript{22}.

Ethical approval was obtained from LSHTM and relevant national research ethics committees in each study country. Signed consent was obtained prior to data collection.

Results
PCV was generally well integrated into existing routine immunisation in study countries. Some interviewees explained that because PCV was added to the routine vaccination programme (as opposed to delivered through campaigns), it had an inherently positive impact, providing more focus on EPI. Little or no impact was found in most health system areas. Some minor and short-term effects were found on the immunisation programmes. These are discussed in more detail below.

Effects on health system inputs
The introduction had no effect on most inputs. Some positive effects were reported, particularly in staff strengthening and financial resource availability. Minor and temporary negative effects were found in staff workload and cold chain availability.

Staff
Informants reported no changes in the number and distribution of staff during and following the PCV introductions. The proportion of facility respondents reporting temporarily increased workload ranged from 46\% in Ethiopia to 93\% in Kenya. Reasons for this included; increased demand and greater time spent on sensitisation activities. There were also varying perceptions on whether time spent on general vaccination activities since introduction had increased; from 12\% in Ethiopia to 63\% in Cameroon (Table 4).

Cold chain
Interviewees reported that existing cold chain was sufficient to accommodate PCV. Some explained that prior cold chain assessments and improvements had been critical to facilitate the introduction. Overall, key informants in Mali, Ethiopia and Cameroon (and 74\% of facility respondents across the four countries) said they coped with additional cold chain requirements. Some difficulties with cold chain were reported at district levels in Mali and Cameroon, and at regional level in Ethiopia. These problems were resolved with adaptive strategies, such as more frequent deliveries from the regional level, or facilities picking up the vaccines from the district cold store on the delivery day.

Some stock-outs of PCV were reported in the first 1-3 months after introduction. These were likely due to unclear directives on planning around catch-up strategies and target populations; initially some facilities reportedly gave out the vaccine to infants beyond the age range which had been planned for, depleting existing stocks quickly. The proportion of facilities reporting stock-outs varied: 8\% in Ethiopia, 25\% in Mali, 39\% in Cameroon and 51\% in Kenya. The introductions were not thought to have caused stock-outs of other vaccines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cameroon</th>
<th>Ethiopia</th>
<th>Kenya</th>
<th>Mali</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% of facility respondents who reported experiencing temporary increases in workload around the time of introduction</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of facility respondents who felt time spent on vaccination activities increased since PCV was introduced</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Changes in the presentation of the pentavalent vaccine (from 2-dose to 10-dose vials) around the time of the PCV introductions created space for the new vaccines in Cameroon, Kenya and Mali.

**Financing**
Key informants in Mali, Ethiopia and Kenya reported substantial increases in domestic allocation of resources to support PCV introductions. No concrete effect on financing other health programmes was reported. Long-term financial sustainability was mentioned as a concern in Kenya, Cameroon and Ethiopia.

“With the new vaccines, the state will have to invest much more because these vaccines are expensive. The share of co-financing by the government increases with Gavi reducing its financing... that is why it is important to advocate for the government to continue to invest in the vaccination programme…” National-level interviewee, Cameroon

Introduction funds were generally deemed sufficient. However, in Mali interviewees speculated that financial constraints delayed implementation, resulting in a phased introduction. All countries allocated additional funds for training. Some minor additional transportation and social mobilisation costs for outreach were reported in Ethiopia and Cameroon at sub-national and facility levels, which were covered by local budgets.

**Skills**
Most interviewees and 75% of facility respondents said that training for PCV had strengthened staff skills, notably injection administration skills, knowledge of AEFIIs and social mobilisation techniques. Key informants in all countries stated that training covered broader topics than PCV, acting as a general EPI refresher and, in Ethiopia, also covering pneumonia prevention. However, at the facility-level about half of respondents perceived the training to have been focused narrowly on PCV. The training was not reported to have disrupted routine activities.

**Effects on health system management**
There were largely no effects reported on management as a result of the PCV introduction. Some positive effects were seen in improved integration of EPI and pneumonia prevention in Ethiopia and, to a lesser extent, in regulatory processes in Kenya.

**Management and planning**
The planning processes for the introductions did not appear to have affected other health service activities in Cameroon, Ethiopia or Mali. In Kenya, the planning process coincided with a polio vaccination campaign following an outbreak, which was said to have created challenges because the same officers were needed for both activities. In Ethiopia there was alignment between PCV introduction planning activities and other health systems planning. Input from sub-national levels at the planning stage was reported in Ethiopia and Kenya. In Ethiopia the inter-agency coordination committee (ICC) was reported to have been strengthened by the introduction, notably because of active thematic sub-committees which continued to meet after PCV was introduced. There were no operational technical advisory committees on immunisation in the four countries at the time.

Limited involvement of stakeholders outside EPI was reported. There were some elements of an integrated approach to the control of pneumonia in Ethiopia, where interviewees mentioned specific collaboration between those planning Integrated Management of Childhood Illnesses (IMCI) strategies and EPI. A national IMCI training was implemented before the PCV introduction. In Mali a national integrated pneumonia control workshop was organised but not followed up. Some limited collaboration was reported between health promotion, HIV and EPI in Kenya.

There were no changes reported in the frequency or organisation of supervision. In Cameroon and Ethiopia additional supervisions were carried out in some regions immediately after the introductions. In Mali and Cameroon, targeted supervision activities were planned but not carried out because of lack of funds. Integrated supervision of immunisation and other primary care activities was the norm in all countries.

There were no major changes reported on the accuracy or timeliness of health information management systems apart from some initial delays in rolling out updated forms in all countries.

**Regulatory processes**
Changes to regulatory processes were reported by key informants in Kenya and Mali. In Kenya, the Pharmacy and Poisons Board was said to have been strengthened by the process of registering the new vaccine and was seen as beneficial for future introductions. On the contrary, the national regulatory process was bypassed in Mali which, some argued, undermined domestic licensing regulatory processes.
Effects on service delivery
In general, service delivery was unchanged by the introductions. PCV was delivered as part of routine childhood immunisation services. Ninety-five percent of facility respondents stated that there had been no change in the number of vaccination sessions offered, 92% stated that the pattern of outreach vaccination sessions remained unchanged, and 85% explained that the type of service package delivered alongside vaccination services had not changed.

Effects on health system performance
In general, no effect was seen on health system performance, although some improvements in staff morale were reported.

Health service coverage
There was a stark contrast between perceptions of the effect of the new vaccine on uptake of other routine vaccines and findings from the routine data. Many key informants and 78% of health facility respondents perceived changes in the total number of children vaccinated for other diseases following the PCV introduction. These views were particularly strong in Kenya. However, segmented regression analyses of routine data showed no changes in the monthly number of children vaccinated with the first dose of the pentavalent vaccine in Kenya, Ethiopia and Cameroon before and after the introduction. An increase in coverage of the first dose of the pentavalent vaccine was initially observed in Mali, although only in the short term (see Figure 1).

Interviewees, and 54% of facility respondents, believed that offering PCV had enabled them to better trace vaccine defaulters.

“It strengthens the coverage of other vaccines... because when they were mobilising for PCV, it opened a door for finding defaulters when the health extension workers went house to house. So they bring them.” District-level interviewee, Ethiopia

“We were able even to get children who...were not immunised with other vaccines because, as you know, in Kenya pneumonia is feared.” District-level interviewee, Kenya

Adverse Effects Following Immunisation reporting
Despite global interest in AEFIs related to PCV, as well as attention paid to surveillance and AEFI training, no changes in the number of registered AEFIs for any vaccine was reported. Some key informants explained that AEFIs were routinely underreported.

Many interviewees stated that the PCV introductions placed a strong emphasis on AEFI surveillance, particularly at the national level in Ethiopia and Kenya, where preservative-free PCV10 was introduced. The introduction was seen as a catalyst for AEFI surveillance in Ethiopia, where key informants mentioned that four sentinel sites were set up for a year post-introduction. In Cameroon, the PCV introduction resulted in additional funding for surveillance, including laboratory strengthening and training of laboratory staff.

Vaccine acceptance
All countries benefited from strong political support and social mobilisation activities during PCV introduction.

Figure 1: Number of children vaccinated with the first dose of the pentavalent vaccine before and after PCV introductions

![Figure 1](image-url)

Note: The vertical dotted line shows the date when the new vaccine was introduced into the facility.
Messages were said to have focused narrowly on PCV in Cameroon and Ethiopia while addressing wider benefits of vaccination in Kenya and Mali. Facility staff reported strong parental acceptance of PCV in all countries because pneumonia was known and feared. Health worker and parental concerns about injecting the child with both pentavalent and PCV in the same visit were reported at the time of introduction, but subsided rapidly.

**Staff morale**
All countries reported increased staff morale resulting from the PCV introduction; the expected decrease in pneumonia was considered a motivational factor.

**Discussion**
Our findings show remarkable consistency across the four countries. PCV was generally well integrated into existing routine immunisation programmes. Overall no impact was seen on most aspects of health system inputs, although some positive effects were reported on staff skills and the availability of financial resources. Some temporary negative effects were reported on staff workload and cold chain. Generally no effect was reported on health system management, although there were some country-specific positive effects in disease prevention integration and regulatory procedures. Service delivery largely remained unchanged. There was generally no effect on health system performance, although some positive effects on staff morale and AEFI surveillance were reported.

There was a perception in all four countries that the new vaccine enhanced the coverage of other vaccines. However, routine data did not confirm any lasting change in vaccination coverage. This is in line with previous findings on the impact of pentavalent vaccine on vaccination coverage. Perceptions of increased uptake might have been observed because catch-up strategies were deployed in Kenya, Ethiopia and in some regions of Cameroon where the target population had been unclear, meaning that more children had come to be vaccinated for PCV in the period immediately after introduction. The main differences between Mali and other countries were that it had a phased introduction and involved no catch-up strategy.

Similarities between the four countries may reflect common goals in their EPIs, a shared Gavi Alliance application process and standard introduction preparations. Stronger emphasis was placed on a more integrated approach to pneumonia prevention and control in Ethiopia, where child health and vaccination activities were incorporated into each geographical directorate of the Ministry of Health, leading to more integrated activities. It has previously been argued that without more emphasis on other strategies to combat pneumonia beyond vaccination, countries will not achieve expected reductions in child mortality.
Limited or no effects were seen in programme areas that received no additional funding and/or were left out of technical planning. These included changes in delivery models and general collaborative activities beyond EPI (e.g. integrated approach to pneumonia control, co-delivery of interventions). These findings suggest that if policymakers want to see greater positive impacts of introducing new vaccines, more long-term engagement, collaboration and financing is needed. It is unlikely that a new vaccine introduction, which is focused on achieving targeted high population coverage, may foster such structural changes, unless a deliberate strategy is developed. Those hoping to use introductions as opportunities to strengthen EPI should consider this in the early planning stages of introduction, as outlined by WHO in their recently updated guidance on new vaccine introductions.

Although our findings suggest that there were no long-term negative effects to the PCV introduction, questions remain about the limits of health systems to absorb new interventions. As new vaccine introductions continue apace, further research will be needed to better gauge the limits of the systems’ absorptive capacities.

**Strengths and limitations**
This study used several methods, enabling triangulation of findings. This was particularly useful in the case of pentavalent vaccine coverage. However the study was also limited by poor quality, or absent, routine data and the inability to gather post-introduction data for a full year in all countries. These findings might not be generalisable for all vaccine introductions; effects of introductions depend on the delivery methods, target populations and general context of the EPI and health system.

Data collection tools were structured around the WHO’s health systems building blocks framework. Although advantageous for its wide scope, the framework lacks a health services demand component. This study focused on the impact on the health system and did not include the impact of PCV introductions on communities’ acceptance and perceptions of other vaccines or confidence in the health system. Further work in this area is needed.

**Conclusion**
The introduction of PCV had no overall effect on the EPI or health system levers. However there were some positive effects, such as a boost to staff morale, staff training and financial resource availability. Although there were some negative impacts, such as increased workload, these tended to be only temporary. This lack of long-term negative impacts is noteworthy, given previous questions about the risks of overburdening already weak health systems. The greatest effects were felt in areas with either planning activities and/or investments. Those hoping for greater positive impacts of new vaccine introductions should use the planning process to leverage broader approaches that may lead to greater effects both within and beyond EPI.
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