Perceived stigma and associated factors among people with epilepsy at Gondar University Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia: a cross-sectional institution based study
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Abstract

Background: Epilepsy is the world’s most common neurological disorder, affecting approximately 69 million people worldwide. Perceived stigma affects many domains of the lives of people with epilepsy. However, in Ethiopia there is dearth of study on perceived stigma specifically among people with epilepsy.

Objective: To assess the prevalence of perceived stigma and associated factors among people with epilepsy (PWE) attending the outpatient department of the University of Gondar hospital, Northwest Ethiopia, 2014.

Methods: Institution based quantitative cross-sectional study was employed among 408 individuals people with epilepsy. Single population proportion formula was used utilized to calculate sample size. The participants were selected using systematic random sampling technique. Perceived stigma was measured using by the modified Family Interview Schedule (FIS) tool. Binary logistic regression analysis and adjusted odds ratio with 95% confidence interval were used to identify the associated factors with perceived stigma.

Results: Overall, the prevalence of perceived stigma was found to be 71.6%. Marital status [single (AOR = 0.23, CI: 0.25, 0.90), widowed (AOR = 0.37, CI: 0.15, 0.90)] duration of illness [2-5 years (AOR = 4.38, CI:1.98,9.62, 6-10 years (AOR =4.29, CI:1.90,9.64, ≥11 years (AOR = 4.31,CI:1.84,10.00)] and seizure frequency of [1-11 per year (AOR=2.34, CI:2.21,3.56), ≥1 per month (AOR = 5.63, CI:3.42,10.32)] were factors associated with perceived stigma.

Conclusion: Overall, the prevalence of perceived stigma was found to be high. Marital status, long duration of illness and seizure frequency were factors associated with perceived stigma.
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Background

Epilepsy is the world’s most common neurological disorder, affecting approximately 69 million people worldwide, and the majority of whom 90% live in resource-poor countries1.

The prevalence of epilepsy in Africa ranges from 2.2 to 58 per 1000 population and it is the second or third most common reason for consultation and hospitalization2.

Unlike many other chronic diseases such as hypertension or diabetes, the symptoms and signs of epilepsy are peculiarly unhidden, unpredictable and not easy to understand by the terrified watchers, that is why and what seemed to be the reason for a normal person to behave in a very strange manner, which makes the seizures look like confusion against the cultural norms3. And people’s belief that epilepsy is contagious and thus hesitate to help or touch the person who has fallen during a seizure. Thus, those suffering from epilepsy are stigmatized and ostracized in the belief that their condition is a demonic possession and believed to be contagious consequently lead epileptic patients to develop different psycho-social problem such as perceived stigma and psychiatric illness which affect epileptic patients more than the seizure itself4-6.

Stigma is typically a social process, experienced or anticipated and characterized by exclusion, rejection and blame or devaluation about a person or group6. Literature revealed that, stigma occurs at three different levels namely the macro, meso and micro level. Institutional stigma refers to the stigma that exists at system (macro) level and was defined as the rules, policies, and proce-
dures of private and public entities in positions of pow-
ner that restrict the rights and opportunities of people
with disabling conditions. Public stigma occurs at the
group (meso) level and can be defined as the phenome-
non of large social groups endorsing stereotypes about
and acting against a stigmatized group. Micro level stig-
ma is the stigma which exists at the individual level. It
can be divided into perceived public stigma/stereotype
awareness (participants' beliefs that in general people
with epilepsy are stigmatized in society), personal stig-
ma/stereotype agreement (participants' personal beliefs
about the illness) and self-stigma is internalization of
perceived public stigma and applies to corresponding
stereotypes and prejudices to the self.

Although the reasons for stigmatization are not con-
sistent across communities or cultures, barriers such
as stigma against people with epilepsy prevents them
from accessing and receiving the help they need to get
and stay well. Thus, most of the persons with epi-
lepsy reach proper health facilities very late with neu-
ron-psychiatric. Different literature reported that
perceived stigma affects many domains of the lives of
people with epilepsy such as poor self-esteem, poor
social relationships, lack of willingness to seek help or
Antiepileptic Drugs (AEDs), difficulties of access to
housing & unemployment. Many studies from the
Western world have reported on stigmatization of peo-
ple with epilepsy and its negative consequences. The
few studies conducted in Africa also reported the wide-
spread existence of stigma among epileptic patients.

Methods
Study design
Institution based cross-sectional study design was used.

Study area and period
The study was conducted at the University of Gond-
ar hospital from January first to end of February, 2014.
The University of Gondar hospital is located in Gon-
dar town, 748 kilometers away from the capital city of
Ethiopia, Addis Ababa. Gondar University hospital
serves about 5 million people in the catchment area. It
has 400 beds in five different inpatient departments and
14 wards.

Participants
Participants of this study were individuals with epilepsy
receiving follow-up care at the outpatient department
of the University of Gondar hospital. Single population
proportion formula (with a 5% margin of error, 95%
confidence level and 50% proportion) was used to cal-
culate sample size; and it was found to be 422 (including
10% non-response rate). The total number of patients
who visited the hospital for the last 12 months were
taken from patient records and then the average num-
ber of patients per day calculated and participants were
selected by systematic random sampling technique.
All patients consented to participate in the study. Only in-
dividuals with a clinical diagnosis of epilepsy returning
for follow-up care with an age greater than or equal to
18 years were selected. Individuals with epilepsy who
were critically ill (couldn’t give a response), who were
unable to speak and hear were excluded from the study.

In Ethiopia, epilepsy is a major public problem, which
affects about 29.5 per 1000 population. The existing studies revealed that people with epilepsy
(PWE) suffer from a number of social, psychological and physical problems. For example a study conducted by Shibru B. in Ethiopia revealed that 60% of the study
participants face different social, psychological and
physical problems as a result of their illness such as stig-
ma by 24%, inability to find partner by 31%, problems
with education by 17%, and problems of employment
by 9%, serious physical injuries by 9% and 10% suffered
from burns. In Ethiopia there is a widespread belief
about the cause of epilepsy as demon possessions, be-
witchment by evil spirits, ancestors' spirits or the evil
eyes. As a result, affected individuals and/or their fam-
ilies often seek help from religious and traditional heal-
ers rather than health facilities. Regarding perceived
stigma there is no published study among PWE. The
only published study included both the patient and their
family member. This study revealed that 81% of the
study subjects experienced stigma. Because of these
problems, in a recently released Ethiopian Mental
Health Strategic Plan, was suggested that strategic em-
phasis be given to the care of epileptic patients as they
require special consideration.

However, the available studies from Western and some
African country demonstrated high perceived stigma.
In Ethiopia there is dearth of study on perceived stig-
ma specifically among people with epilepsy. Therefore,
looking at the diverse problem related to perceived
stigma, assessing the prevalence and predictors of per-
ceived stigma that are important and hopefully design
some effective treatment programs for the manage-
ment and prevention of its consequences. Thus, the
main purpose of this study was to assess the prevalence
and associated factors of perceived stigma among peo-
ple with epilepsy at the University of Gondar hospital,
Northwest Ethiopia, 2014.
Instruments
For the assessment of perceived stigma the modified Family Interview Schedule (FIS) developed by World Health Organization was used. These questionnaires were previously used in Ethiopia among similar study subjects and their family. FIS has 14 stigma items, but only thirteen stigma questions was used from previous study to reduce redundancy after translation into Amharic (local language). In this study we also used the thirteen stigma questions. Each stigma item was rated on a 4-point scale from “not at all” (rated =0) to “a lot “(rated=3) with respect to perceived stigma. The presence of perceived stigma was defined by the respondent’s score of at least one positive item on the thirteen FIS items. In this study, FIS had an internal consistence of Cronbach’s alpha 0.890 for the total score.

For the assessment of depression Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II) was used. BDI is one of the most widely used self-report measures of depression. It is a reliable and valid measure of depression in a range of cultural groups and has been validated with psychiatric and non-psychiatric populations in most of the countries including Africa. It consists of 21 items, and each of its items describes a specific behavioural manifestation of depression. A more recent version of the instrument (BDI-II) was used to correspond to DSMIV criteria for depression. BDI-II used for screening of recent (during past two weeks) depression symptoms in persons with epilepsy. Each statement is scored on a 4-point scale (0 - 3) and a total score is obtained by summing the ratings for each statement. The prevalence of depression was defined using a cutoff point ≥10 on BDI-II.BDI-21 as having depression. A score from 0 - 9 is considered to be within the normal range or asymptomatic; a score of 10 - 18 indicates mild-to-moderate depression; a score of 19 - 29 indicates moderate-to-severe depression and a score of 30 or more indicates extremely severe depression. The questionnaires were translated into Amharic (local language) by an Amharic speaking linguist. The back-translation was performed by mental health specialist into English and then a consensus version was developed in a group discussion involving the research team. This was compared with the original version, and confirmed to be satisfactory for use. The questionnaires were tested on 21 patients to make it easier for the participants to understand and complete. In this study, BDI-II had an internal consistence of Cronbach’s alpha 0.855 for the total score.

For the assessment of stress perceived stress scale (PSS) was used. The Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) is the most widely used psychological tool for measuring the perception of stress. It is a measure of the degree to which situations in one’s life are appraised as stressful. The questions in the PSS asked about the feelings and thoughts of the patients during the past month. Each item is rated on a 5-point scale ranging from never (0) to almost always (4). Positively worded items are reverse scored, and the ratings are summed, with higher scores indicating more perceived stress. PSS-10 scores are obtained by reversing the scores on the four positive items: For example, 0=4, 1=3, 2=2, etc. and then summing across all 10 items. Items 4, 5, 7, and 8 are the positively stated items. The presence of perceived stress was defined using a cutoff point ≥20 on PSS-10. PSS had an internal consistence of Cronbach’s alpha for the total score of PSS=0.793 (21). Furthermore, we asked one question on antiepileptic medication adherence. The antiepileptic medication adherence question asked about history of non-adherence with antiepileptic medications with yes/ no response. Specifically, we asked “Have you ever discontinued your antiepileptic medication?

Data collection and analysis
Data was collected by face-to-face interview using a semi-structured questionnaire with the Amharic version of the socio-demographic, clinical factors, BDI-II, PSS and FIS questionnaires.

Data was coded and entered into EPI info version 3.5.3 statistical software and then exported to SPSS windows version 16 program for analysis. Descriptive statistics (frequencies, tables, percentages, means and standard deviation) were used for the socio-demographic, clinical variables, BID-II, PSS including individual’s response to FIS.Binary logistic regression and adjusted odds ratio with 95% confidence interval were used to identify the associated factors of outcome variable. All factors with a p-value <0.2 in the bivariate logistic regression were entered into the multivariate model. Statistical significance was accepted at the 5% level (p < 0.05).

Ethical consideration
The study proposal was initially approved by the ethical review board of The University of Gondar. A formal letter of permission was obtained from the University of Gondar hospital and submitted to the respective out-
The information about the study was given to the participants. Verbal and then written informed consent was sought from each participant who agreed to participate in the study and full filled the inclusions criteria. Only anonymous data collected in private rooms.

Results

A total of 408 participants participated in this study with a 96.7% response rate. Fourteen questionnaires were not filled properly.

Table 1. Distribution of participant by their socio-demographic and clinical characteristics at University of Gondar Hospital, 2014 (n=408)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;=24</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>30.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;=45</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Educational status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can’t read and write</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>48.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College and above</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Religion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthodox</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>91.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muslim</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>60.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced/Widowed</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amhara</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>94.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tigre</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Residence</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>47.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>52.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social support</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>61.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perceived stress</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>46.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>53.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration of the disease</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>35.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;=11</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seizure frequency per year</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-11/year</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>71.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;=1/month</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Therapy(number of drug)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mono-therapy</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly-therapy</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Difficulties of adherence to AEDs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>32.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>67.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The mean age of disease onset was 19.80 ± 10.15 years. The mean duration of disease was 7.99 ± 5.98 years. Out of 408 patients, 293 (71.8%) had seizure frequency range from 1-11 times per year. Three hundred and ten (76%) of the participants were treated with one AED (mono-therapy) and 264 (67.2%) had a history of non-adherence to AEDs (Table 1).

Prevalence of perceived stigma
Overall, the prevalence of perceived stigma was found to be 71.6%. Regarding the proportion of perceived stigma toward each item, 156 (38.2%) of the participants agreed with the item “Felt guilt or depression because of epilepsy (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>Sometimes</th>
<th>Often</th>
<th>A lot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Worried to be treated differently.</td>
<td>285(69.9)</td>
<td>66(16.2)</td>
<td>45(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Worried people would know out about it.</td>
<td>282(69.1)</td>
<td>66(16.2)</td>
<td>48(11.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Felt the need to hide this fact.</td>
<td>276(67.6)</td>
<td>63(15.4)</td>
<td>57(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Helping other people to understand what it is to have a family member with psychiatric problems.</td>
<td>279(68.4)</td>
<td>105(25.7)</td>
<td>18(4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Worried to be avoided</td>
<td>257(63)</td>
<td>87(21.3)</td>
<td>43(10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Explaining to others that -(name)- isn't like their Picture of &quot;crazy&quot; people.</td>
<td>306(75)</td>
<td>84(20.6)</td>
<td>15(3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Worried that people would blame you for his or her problems.</td>
<td>304(74.5)</td>
<td>59(14.5)</td>
<td>36(8.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Worried that a person looking to marry would be reluctant to marry into your family.</td>
<td>315(77.2)</td>
<td>48(11.8)</td>
<td>30(7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Worried about taking him or her out.</td>
<td>318(77.9)</td>
<td>75(18.4)</td>
<td>9(2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Felt ashamed or embarrassed about it.</td>
<td>276(67.6)</td>
<td>78(19.1)</td>
<td>42(10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Sought out people who also have a family member who has had psychiatric problems.</td>
<td>345(84.6)</td>
<td>42(10.3)</td>
<td>12(2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Felt guilt or depression because of it.</td>
<td>252(61.8)</td>
<td>102(25)</td>
<td>45(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Felt that somehow it might be your fault.</td>
<td>282(69.1)</td>
<td>90(22.1)</td>
<td>21(5.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Factors associated with perceived stigma
From the bivariate analysis: age, sex, marital status, employment, perceived stress, the onset of the illness, duration of the illness, seizure frequency, poly-therapy, and depression were factors associated with perceived stigma at p-value < 0.20 and thus excluded from further analysis. From the multivariate analysis; marital status [single (AOR = 0.23, CI: 0.25, 0.90), widowed ( AOR = 0.37, CI: 0.15, 0.90), duration of illness [2-5 years (AOR =4.38,CI:1.98,9.67), 6-10 years (AOR =4.29,
Discussion
The aim of this study was to assess the prevalence of perceived stigma and associated factors among people with epilepsy at the University of Gondar hospital. Overall, the prevalence of perceived stigma was found to be 71.6%. This result is similar with the study carried out in Benin (68.7%)\(^{11}\). The results of this study support the study carried out elsewhere as perceived stigma is a common problem among epileptic patients. The result of this study (71.6%) is lower as compared to the study carried out in Iran (86%) among 100 epileptic patients using Jacoby’s stigma scale\(^{14}\) and the study conducted in Ethiopia (81%) among epileptic patient and their family member using the same tool\(^{12}\). The possi-
ble explanations for the variation may be due to use of different tools, geographical areas, sample size and cultures of the study subject with the Iranian and for the Ethiopia study it may be due to the addition of family member as a study subject and the study setting. This may indicate that family members of the patient also suffer more perceived stigma. Study shows the moral weight attached to epilepsy not only applied to the affected person, but also threatened family members through a process of courtesy stigma because of fear of family disgrace, people with epilepsy were typically kept at home and their diagnosis was kept secret.

In contrast, the prevalence of perceived stigma in this study is higher than the study carried out in ten European countries (Belgium, France, Germany, Holland, Italy, Poland, Portugal, Spain, Turkey, and the U.K.) that shows overall 17% of perceived stigma, another study from Eastern Europe (Estonia) revealed that 51% of the study subject reported perceived stigma and in Ethiopia (41.3%). The possible explanations for the variation may be due to use of different tools, geographical areas sample size and cultures of the study subject.

Regarding the associated factors, those patients with single marital status were about seventy seven times [AOR=0.23, CI:0.25, 0.90] less likely to develop perceived stigma and those divorced/widowed were about sixty three times [AOR=0.37, CI:0.15,0.89] less likely to develop perceived stigma than those patients who are married. These results were consistent with the previous study. This is due to the fact that those patients who are married might be concealing their illness from their spouse before marriage because of fear from unfair discrimination in various respects. A Study showed that people with epilepsy usually do not inform their spouses about their illness before marriage because of fear from unfair discrimination that help them to cope up with different cultural belief, social stigma and the impact of the illness that contributed to perceived stigma. This result indicates that those patients who had longer duration of disease in their life had more perceived stigma compared to those who had shorter duration of the disease.

Those patients who had 2-5 year duration of illness were more than four times [(AOR = 4.38, CI:1.98,9.67)] more likely to develop perceived stigma than those patients who had less than one year duration of illness. Those patients who had 6-10 years duration of illness were more than four times [(AOR=4.29, CI :1.90,9.68)] more likely to develop perceived stigma than those patients who had less than one year duration of illness and those patients who had duration of illness greater than or equal to eleven year were more than four times (AOR=4.31,CI:1.86,10.00) more likely to develop perceived stigma than those patients who had less than one year duration of illness. These results were consistent with the previous studies. This may be due to the chronicity of the illness, lack of coping strategies to different seizure effect such as perceived negative social attitude as a result of unaccepted sign of seizure, or the subjects may not develop stigma resistance ability through their life that help them to cope up with different cultural belief, social stigma and the impact of the illness that contributed to perceived stigma. This result indicates that those patients who had longer duration of disease in their life had more perceived stigma compared to those who had shorter duration of the disease.

Those patients who had seizure frequency of one and above per months were more than five times [(AOR = 5.63, CI: 3.42, 10.32)] more likely to develop perceived stigma than those patients who had no seizure frequency and those patients who had seizure frequency of one and above per year were more than two times [(AOR=2.34, CI: 2.24, 3.56)] more likely to develop perceived stigma than those patients who had no seizure frequency. These results were consistent with the previous studies and a study carried out in Europe also revealed that 45 percent of those patients who experiencing continuing seizures reported perceived stigma. The possible reason may be because of the signs of
epilepsy are peculiarly unhidden, unpredictable and not easy to understand. These difficulties together with the association of seizure with socially unacceptable sign such as loss of stool, urine, foaming and tongue biting lead epileptic patient to develop stigma. A study carried out in Boston, Massachusetts, and Atlanta, Georgia, also reviled that those patients with longer epilepsy duration, seizures in the past year, and perception of less seizure control and negative expectation for seizures outcomes, decreased patient satisfaction predict perceived stigma 28.

Strength of the study
This study is the first of its kind in the study area to show the prevalence of perceived stigma among individuals with epilepsy.

Limitations of the study
The lack of enough published literature in Ethiopia limits the comparison (discussion) of the findings. Recall and response biases might have occurred when completing the questionnaire. In addition, some of the independent variables were assessed with single questions. For example, difficulties of adherence to their AEDs that may lead some patients to respond indecently.

Conclusion
Overall, the prevalence of perceived stigma was found to be high. Marital status (single and widowed), long duration of illness and seizure frequency were factors associated with perceived stigma. These findings add important evidence to the existing scant study in sub-Saharan Africa and other developing countries on the psychological aspect of individual people with epilepsy. Therefore different social relationships (such as befriending programs, family and peer support groups) are recommended particularly for those patients with single and widowed. Building up of patients’ stigma resistance ability is also suggested for those who had early onset of the illness and has frequent seizures in the clinical care setting. Additional researches with qualitative and quantitative study methods are also suggested, in order to explore the relationship of socio-demographic and clinical factors.
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