Can we predict agitation in patients with suicide attempts in the emergency department?
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Abstract

Background: The agitation in patients presenting to the emergency department (ED) after suicide attempts is common and an important problem.

Objective: To establish whether we can predict agitated patients among suicide attempt patients in ED.

Methods: This is a cross-sectional observational study of adult suicide attempt events in ED. Information was collected prospectively on a specially designed data-collection form. Patients aged 16 years old and above who presented to the ED for care due to suicide attempts were included in the study. Suicide attempts were grouped as aggressive and non-aggressive attempts.

Results: A total of 533 patients were included. Forty-three of these patients had agitation in ED (8%). Non-aggressive suicide attempts were referred to psychiatry services more than aggressive ones (73.6%, n=345 vs 32.8%, n=21, P<0.0001). Agitation in ED and being male increased aggressive suicide attempt risk 3.5 (95% CI:1.6-7.6) and 3.2 times (95% CI:1.8-5.5), respectively. Agitation was statistically more frequent among these patients: those on antidepressant overdose, with previous suicide attempt; with aggressive suicide attempt; and those with confusion; and unconsciousness (P<0.05).

Conclusion: Patients who attempted suicide and whose risk of harm to others included those with: antidepressant overdose, aggressive suicide attempt and the unconscious. Response teams should be prepared for these subgroups.
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Introduction

Suicide attempt is a common health issue which is poorly understood and arouses ambivalent feelings in health professionals¹. Emergency departments (EDs) can serve as an important link to mental health care and to the ED doctors who are at the frontline in the assessment of suicide attempts²³. Furthermore, agitated patients are uncooperative or unable to give a relevant history, forcing clinicians to make decisions based on limited information⁵. Therefore, it is important to determine which of these patients will be agitated in EDs, as this will affect resistance to treatment, as well as safety of medical personnel.

The primary problem that requires a response from response teams for behavioural emergencies in EDs is deliberate self-poisoning or self-harm, with a rate of 38%⁴. The primary purpose of this cross-sectional study was to determine whether we can foresee which patients will show agitation among those who seek care in EDs due to suicide attempts. Our second purpose was to analyse patients according to suicide type. We analysed patients according to the time series in a second article, as our data in that four-year study is extensive and detailed.

Methods

All aspects of the study protocol were authorized by the medical ethics committee and the local health authorities prior to initiation of this study (Date: 21.04.2009; No:
Informed consent was obtained from each patient.

Study site: This was a cross-sectional observational study of adult suicide attempt events brought to a 1,300-bed university hospital located in Erzurum in the Eastern region of Turkey between May 2008 and January 2012. The population of the city centre of Erzurum is 383,000, and the population of the province is 769,000. Although our hospital primarily provides health services for individuals who live in the city centre, it also provides services for individuals from the counties and villages of Erzurum and 11 neighbouring cities, comprising a total of four million individuals. The hospital provides healthcare and emergency treatment for all illnesses and accidents. Our hospital did not have a self-harm team at the time of the study.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria: Patients aged 16 years and above and who presented to the ED for care due to suicide attempts by oral ingestion, or suicidal self-injury, were included in the study. Patients younger than 16 years of age who were brought to the paediatric ED for care due to suicide attempts by oral ingestion, drug intoxication, non-suicidal accidents and self-injuries were excluded. Patients who engaged in mutilation without suicidal intent and repetitive superficial bodily harm without suicidal intent were excluded. Patients who were unable to fill out the study form at the time of arrival at the ED, those for whose data could not be obtained via relatives, and those who left the ED without filling out the study form were also excluded.

Study design: Information was collected prospectively on a specially designed data collection form. It included age, gender, address, stated date and time of overdose, time of admission, type of suicide attempt, time of discharge, type of the ingested drug, whether or not other drugs had been co-ingested, chief complaints, any history of psychiatric illnesses in the patient or his/her first-degree relatives, previous suicidal attempts by the patient or his/her first-degree relatives, and previous psychiatry polyclinic admission in the last six months.

Definitions: Agitation is a group of disruptive, verbal, and/or motor behaviours that jeopardize the safety of a subject, his/her relatives, and/or the response team, thereby hindering medical care. The Agitated Behaviour Scale (ABS) was used to define agitation by emergency physicians. A score of 22 or more was identified as agitated.

A suicide attempt is defined as an act with a non-fatal outcome in which an individual deliberately ingests a substance in excess of the prescribed or generally recognized therapeutic dosage. Suicide attempts are divided into two groups, namely those including and those excluding violence. Violent methods (aggressive suicides) include hanging, jumping off a tall building, self-burning, driving a car off a cliff, and using sharp objects or firearms to harm oneself. Nonviolent methods (non-aggressive suicides) include attempts made through drug ingestion and the use of gas. Table 1 presents patients’ suicide attempt methods. The co-ingestion of drugs from different classes was accepted as multi-drug ingestion. The co-ingestion of alcohol or tetrahydrocannabinol was not accepted as multi-drug ingestion. In the study form used for each patient, there were 35 questions. Six of these included sociodemographic data, and five of them included psychological data. The rest included medical data of the patient. Answering the sociodemographic section of the patient lasted at most five minutes.

Data analysis: SPSS 19 (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) for Mac (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) program was used to analyse the data. The mean values were shown together with standard deviations and expressed as means and 95% confidence intervals (CI). Statistical analysis of the categorical variables was performed using the chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test, and the analysis of the numerical variables was performed using the t-test or the Mann-Whitney U test. The variables were tested for normality using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The data were divided into groups, and regression analysis was performed. A p-score of <0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

Results
Baseline socio-demographic and clinical characteristics
Of the 533 patients enrolled in the study, 66.8% (n=356) were female, and patients’ mean age was 25.7± 9.9 years (min 14, max 88). This represented 0.24% of all ED admissions for the study period. As noted previously, the suicide types are shown in Table 1.
The distribution of the patients who were brought in due to drug intoxication is presented in Table 2 according to drug type. A psychiatric consultation was requested for 366 (68.3%) patients in the ED. There was a history of psychiatric clinic admission within the previous six months in 121 (22.7%) patients. Of the 533 patients, 396 (74.3%) were hospitalized, 82 (15.4%) were discharged from the ED, and 55 (10.3%) left the hospital. Moreover, 6.2% (n=4) of aggressive suicide attempt victims and 10.9% (n=51) of non-aggressive suicide attempt victims did not agree to the evaluation.

Table 1. The patients according to the suicide attempt methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of suicide</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aggressive attempt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanging</td>
<td>9 (1.7%)</td>
<td>0.9-3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutting</td>
<td>23 (4.3%)</td>
<td>2.9-6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firearm</td>
<td>8 (1.5%)</td>
<td>0.7-2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug overdose + Cutting</td>
<td>8 (1.5%)</td>
<td>0.7-2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas inhalation + Cutting</td>
<td>2 (0.4%)</td>
<td>0.1-1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jumping</td>
<td>18 (3.4%)</td>
<td>2.2-5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinking Corrosives</td>
<td>4 (0.8%)</td>
<td>0.3-1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-aggressive attempt</td>
<td>461 (86.5%)</td>
<td>83.3-89.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug overdose</td>
<td>459 (86.1%)</td>
<td>82.9-88.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas inhalation*</td>
<td>2 (0.4%)</td>
<td>0.1-1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Natural gas or liquefied petroleum gas

The distribution of the patients who were brought in due to drug intoxication is presented in Table 2 according to drug type. A psychiatric consultation was requested for 366 (68.3%) patients in the ED. There was a history of psychiatric clinic admission within the previous six months in 121 (22.7%) patients. Of the 533 patients, 396 (74.3%) were hospitalized, 82 (15.4%) were discharged from the ED, and 55 (10.3%) left the hospital. Moreover, 6.2% (n=4) of aggressive suicide attempt victims and 10.9% (n=51) of non-aggressive suicide attempt victims did not agree to the evaluation.

Table 2. The distribution of the drug intoxicated patients according to the drugs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drugs</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organophosphate</td>
<td>61 (11.4%)</td>
<td>9-14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidepressant</td>
<td>135 (25.3%)</td>
<td>21.8-29.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analgesic*</td>
<td>108 (20.3%)</td>
<td>17-23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antihypertensive</td>
<td>26 (4.9%)</td>
<td>3.4-7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salicylate</td>
<td>19 (3.6%)</td>
<td>2.3-5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracetamol</td>
<td>91 (17.1%)</td>
<td>14.1-20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotic</td>
<td>68 (12.8%)</td>
<td>10.2-15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multidrug</td>
<td>304 (57%)</td>
<td>52.9-61.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol in blood</td>
<td>10 (9.1%)</td>
<td>1-3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THC** in urine</td>
<td>11 (2.1%)</td>
<td>1.2-3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzodiazepine in urine</td>
<td>11 (25.3%)</td>
<td>1.2-3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Analgesics other than Paracetamol; ** tetrahydrocannabinol
Suicide attempts by antidepressant ingestion were more common among females (30.9% n=110, vs. 19.8% n=35, p=0.007), and ethanol ingestion was more common among males (4.5% n=8, vs. 0.6% n=2, p=0.002).

Fatigue, nausea, vomiting, stomach aches, and stupor were significantly higher among the non-aggressive suicide attempt patients. Loss of consciousness and agitation were significantly higher among the aggressive patients (p<0.05 for each). Psychiatric consultation was requested more frequently for the non-aggressive suicide attempt patients at the ED (73.6% n=345, vs. 32.8% n=21, p<0.0001).

According to the results of the analysis of loss of consciousness, agitation, and psychiatric admission within the previous six months with the enter method using logistic regression analysis, men were 3.2 times more likely to have aggressive suicide attempts (95% CI: 1.8-5.5) and 3.5 times more likely to be agitated in the ED (95% CI: 1.6–7.6).

### In which patients is agitation more frequent among those brought to the ED following a suicide attempt?

Agitation was found in 43 of 533 patients (8.06%). The ABS scores of the agitated and non-agitated patients were 31.2 ± 8.7 and 15.1 ± 6.6, respectively (p<0.001). It was more frequent in females than males who were brought due to drug intoxication only (n=28 8.6%, vs. n=3 2.1%, p=0.010). The differences between agitated patients and non-agitated suicide attempt patients are presented in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Agitation (+) n (%)</th>
<th>Agitation (−) n (%)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antidepressant overdose</td>
<td>22 (15.2%)</td>
<td>21 (5.4%)</td>
<td>P&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous suicide attempt</td>
<td>11 (13.6%)</td>
<td>32 (7.1%)</td>
<td>P=0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggressive suicide attempt</td>
<td>12 (18.8%)</td>
<td>31 (6.6%)</td>
<td>P&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confusion</td>
<td>22 (11.6%)</td>
<td>21 (6.1%)</td>
<td>P=0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unconsciousness</td>
<td>12 (21.4%)</td>
<td>31 (6.5%)</td>
<td>P&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Agitated Behaviour Scale*

Of these variables, when antidepressant ingestion, previous suicide attempt, suicide type, and loss of consciousness were analysed with the ‘Enter method’ using logistic regression analysis, the parameters increasing the likelihood of agitation at the ED are shown in Table 4.

### Table 3. The significant differences between agitated and non-agitated suicide attempt patients.

### Table 4: Multivariate logistic regression analysis for the risk of agitation in ED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antidepressant overdose</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>4.170</td>
<td>1.955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous suicide attempt</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>1.765</td>
<td>0.818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of suicide (aggressive vs. nonaggressive)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>5.365</td>
<td>2.270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unconsciousness</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>2.631</td>
<td>1.218</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Discussion**

Classification for suicidal patients may be aggressive and non-aggressive suicide attempts. The causes for suicide attempts also differ in studies performed with these groups. In general, intentions behind superficial self-mutilation (such as self-cutting) differ from those in self-poisoning\(^1\). For adolescent patients, the most common reasons given were “escape” for self-poisoning and “depression” for self-cutting\(^1\). In one study, half of the female cutters wanted to punish themselves, while adults with superficial self-mutilation gave reasons such as emotional distress\(^12\). We consider aggressive suicide attempts to have a higher risk\(^1\). In our study, we determined two factors that increased the likelihood of aggressiveness among suicide attempt patients brought to the ED: being male and being agitated. Mortality, morbidity, and risk of suicide re-attempts may also be higher in these patients. Another issue is the need to prevent high-risk suicide attempt patients from being overlooked at the ED. In light of our findings, we suggest that males who make non-aggressive suicide attempts, such as drug ingestion or gas poisoning, should have their self-inflicted injuries examined after all clothes have been removed if they are agitated in the ED, when a medical history cannot be obtained, or when the history is not reliable, and they must also be examined for trauma (for aggressive suicide).

In England, individuals who had not been assessed by a psychiatrist were more likely to have been “difficult,” to have presented at night, to have injured rather than poisoned themselves, and to have previously harmed themselves\(^1,13,14\). In our study, a psychiatric consultation was requested more frequently for non-aggressive suicide attempt patients at the ED. We attribute this condition to the fact that either the general condition of aggressive suicide attempt patients is poorer or that they are unconscious, and psychiatric assessments are made in the clinics in which they are hospitalized. The clinics in which patients with impaired general conditions are hospitalized usually treat the patients for problems related to the clinics’ areas of expertise but neglect the psychiatric assessment, and then discharge the patients. We believe the addition of a routine psychiatric assessment to the clinics’ treatment algorithms would decrease repeated and more risky suicide attempts.

Agitated patients are also the primary source of injury for themselves, other patients and healthcare providers during ambulance transport\(^17\). Peroral or IV administration of sedating agents to the patients in the aforementioned risk groups may prevent potential injuries during their transport. Furthermore, it is possible to prevent the physical trauma-related complications that may develop at an ED if we can predict patients with suicide attempts who carry the risk of agitation, and we can then prepare healthcare providers and security staff. In our study, agitation was found to be more frequent in patients who had antidepressant intoxication, a history of previous suicide attempts, an aggressive suicide attempt, and loss of consciousness. Therefore, more attention must be paid when approaching these patient groups.

In our study, multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that antidepressant ingestion increased the likelihood of agitation fourfold, admission to the ED following an aggressive suicide attempt fivefold, and unconsciousness at the time of arrival to the ED threefold. We believe that increasing the number of security staff at the ED prior to examination and treatment of these patients,
taking these patients into an isolated room, and taking a
more careful approach by informing healthcare personnel
before examination are necessary measures to be taken.

Limitations
Our study was based on self-reported data that was as-
sumed to be accurate. The data was obtained from the pa-
tients and their relatives or friends. Therefore, incorrect
data may have been obtained. Some cases who did not
give a correct anamnesis to conceal their suicide attempts
may have been overlooked. Patients’ psychiatric diagno-
ses and mood disorders were not analysed in detail, as this
study investigated suicide patients from the perspective
of ED physicians. Agitation was evaluated by emergen-
cy physicians; thus if the Agitated Behaviour Scale was
completed by psychiatrists, it might have influenced our
results. In our patients, agitation may be associated with
delirium as a result of overdose or drug toxicity, including
alcohol, rather than an acute psychiatric pathology. We
did not examine the aetiology of agitation in our patients.

Conclusion
Categorizing suicide attempt patients who are brought
to an ED as aggressive and non-aggressive attempt pa-
tients, may be a logical option. Suicide attempt patients
likely to harm themselves and others include those with:
antidepressant overdose, aggressive suicide attempt and
the unconscious. Response teams should be prepared for
these subgroups.
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