Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrophotometry and X-ray powder Diffractometry as Complementary Techniques in characterizing Clay size fraction of Kaolin
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ABSTRACT: This study aimed at demonstrating complementary roles offered by both Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrophotometry and x-ray powder diffraction (XRPD) techniques in characterizing clay size fraction of kaolins. The clay size fraction of kaolin samples obtained from Kgwakgwe, Lobatse and Serule kaolin occurrences and deposits in Botswana were characterized using laser diffraction particle size analyzer (LDPSA), FTIR spectrophotometry and XRPD techniques. More than 70 wt % of the clay size fraction of these samples were < 4 μm. Main peaks in the infrared spectra reflected Al-OH, Al-O and Si-O functional groups in the high frequency stretching and low frequency bending modes. The XRPD results identified kaolinite as the major mineral phase with confirmed presence of quartz, smectite and muscovite as minor quantities in the samples. The findings therefore suggest that XRPD technique could be used as a complementary tool when characterizing the clay fraction of kaolin using FTIR spectrophotometry.

Kaolin is both a rock and clay mineral term consisting of pure kaolinite or related minerals such as halloysite, nacrite and dickite as well as associated mineral assemblages which include quartz, smectites, feldspars and micas. Its deposits could be primary (hydrothermal, residual or mixed hydrothermal and residual deposits) or secondary (erosion and transportation of clay particles and their deposition in lacustrine, paludal, deltaic and lagoon environments) depending on their genesis. Kaolin genesis has a direct bearing on its industrial applications. Kaolin is utilized in the paper, paint, rubber, ceramic and plastic industries. Other applications include pharmaceutics, cosmetics, wine and vegetable clarifiers, insecticides, pesticides, fungicides, crayons and pencils, oil absorbers, fertilizers, polish, cat litter, cosmetics, and iron smelting (Ekosse, 2000, 2001; Murray, 1986). Brick making, pottery chinaware and porcelain, and the construction industry are major consumers of kaolin.

Kaolin is widely utilized for different industrial applications, and as such any of its occurrences is worth proper chemical, mineralogical and technological investigations. Tests for its characterization include FTIR spectrophotometry technique. This technique has been well used for the identification of functional groups in kaolin. The strength of FTIR spectrophotometry applied to clay mineralogy lies in its ability to characterize the functional group and fingerprint regions of very small quantities of samples (Tan, 1998). Unfortunately, due to its sensitivity, FTIR spectrophotometry has drawbacks such as absorption peak interferences whereby two or more minerals share same absorption peaks in the high frequency stretching and/or low frequency bending modes (Ojima, 2003); thereby possibly affecting the interpretation of results. In eliminating ambiguity caused by interferences of peaks, complementary analytical techniques should be applied. In this regard, XRPD technique could be used in the identification and characterization of mineral phase components present in kaolins. Its limitation in identifying phases below instrument detection limit is equally recognized. This study demonstrates the complementary role of both FTIR spectrophotometry and XRPD techniques in characterizing the clay size fraction of kaolins.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples were obtained from four kaolin occurrences and deposits in southeastern Botswana: Kgwakgwe, Lobatse, Makoro and Serule. The Kgwakwe kaolin occurs at latitude 25° 00’ 05” S and longitude 25° 19’ 30” E. Lobatse kaolinitic mudstones are located at latitude 25° 41’ 02” S and longitude 25° 12’ 04” E. Makoro kaolinitic mudstones are found at latitude 22° 39’ 50” S and longitude 25° 12’ 04” E. Serule kaolin occurs at latitude 22° 59’ 06” S and longitude 27° 20’ 16” E.

Clay size fraction in this study was defined as particles being < 4 μm in particle size. The clay size fraction of the samples for minerals identification and characterization was obtained based on the principle of sedimentation according to Stoke’s law (Gaspe et al., 1994). The particle size distribution (PSD) analyses of the clay size fraction of kaolin samples were carried out using a Beckman Coulter LS 200 laser diffraction particle size analyzer (LDPSA) with a Fraunhofer Rf 780z optical mode. Analyses were
performed in the dry powder module from a free
flowing position, following the procedures described
in the manual. Calculations for particle sizes were
from 0.375 \( \mu m \) to 101.1 \( \mu m \).

The procedure used for FTIR spectrophotometry
analysis is that mentioned by Ojima (2003) and
Madejova et. al. (1997). The infrared spectra were
acquired using a Perkin Elmer system 2000 FTIR
spectrophotometer at a resolution of 4 cm\(^{-1}\). The dried
powdered samples were homogenized in
spectrophotometric grade KBr in an agate mortar and
pressed at 3 mm pellets with a hand press. In order
not to distort the crystallinity of kaolinite in the
samples, the mixing was set to 3 min allowing for
minimal grinding as suggested by Tan (1998). Peaks
were reported based on % transmittance to given
wavelengths.

For XRPD analysis, the dried samples were gently
crushed in an agate mortar to a fine texture in order
not to affect kaolinite crystallinity (Ekosse, 2004).
The powder samples were mounted on the sample
holder with very little pressure, and later scanned in
the Philips PW 3710 XRPD system which was
operated at 40kV and 45 mA, with a Cu-K\(_{\alpha}\) radiation
and a graphite monochromator. A PW 1877
Automated Powder Diffraction, XPERT Data
Collector software package was employed to capture
raw data, and a Philips XPERT Graphics & Identify
software package was used for qualitative
identification of the minerals from both the data and
patterns obtained by scanning at a speed of 1°/20
/ min. Samples were scanned from 2°20 to 40° 20 and
their diffractograms recorded. The interpreted results
were compared with data and patterns available in the
Mineral Powder Diffraction File, data book and the
search manual issued by the ICDD (1986, 2002) for
confirmation.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The PSD curves for Lobatse, Makoro, Kgwakgwe
and Serule kaolins are presented in Figures 1A, 1B,
1C and 1D respectively, and the wt % of the clay size
and silt fractions (silt defined as > 4 \( \mu m \) <20 \( \mu m \)) are
given in Figure 2. The smallest particle size for
Lobatse kaolin was 0.72 \( \mu m \) and biggest one was
15.26 \( \mu m \) with a median of 2.15 \( \mu m \) and a mean of
5.53 \( \mu m \). The Makoro kaolin, being finer than
Lobatse, had 0.52 \( \mu m \) for the smallest particle size
and 5.799 \( \mu m \) for the biggest one, with a median of
1.07 \( \mu m \) and a mean of 2.53 \( \mu m \). The Kgwakwe
kaolin was finer than both Makoro and Lobatse
kaolins. Its smallest particle size was 0.48 \( \mu m \) and
the biggest one was 4.00 \( \mu m \) with a median of 0.81
\( \mu m \) and a mean of 1.62 \( \mu m \). The clay size fraction for
the kaolin samples were as follows: Lobatse = 70 wt
\%, Makoro = 82, Kgwakgwe = 86 and Serule = 90
(Figure 2).

**Fig 1A:** PSD curve of the clay fraction of Kgwakgwe kaolin
sample

**Fig 1B:** PSD curve of the clay fraction of Lobatse kaolin sample

**Fig 1C:** PSD curve of the clay fraction of Makoro kaolin sample
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The infrared spectra of the Lobatse, Makoro, Kgwakgwe and Serule kaolins samples presented in Figures 3A, 3B, 3C and 3D respectively and Table 1 exhibited OH stretching at bands 3620, 3621, 3694, 3695 and 3697 cm\(^{-1}\), and the OH deformation bands were observed at 911, 913 and 915 cm\(^{-1}\). Bands associated with Si-O stretching were 692, 994 and 695 cm\(^{-1}\); 753 and 754 cm\(^{-1}\); and 791, 795 and 796 cm\(^{-1}\), whereas Si-O deformation bands were 1007, 1032 and 1033 cm\(^{-1}\). These bands are close to those obtained for theoretical kaolinite. Peaks for theoretical kaolinite, defined as pure kaolinite with the chemical formula Al\(_2\)Si\(_2\)O\(_5\)(OH)\(_4\), are also given in Table 1. Other peaks corresponding to smectites, muscovite and quartz were equally observed.

The XRPD results of the < 4 μm fraction of the Kgwakgwe, Lobatse, Makoro and Serule kaolin samples are summarized in Table 2, and the diffractograms of the samples are presented in Figures 4A, 4B, 4C and 4D respectively. Minerals identified included kaolinite, muscovite, smectite and quartz. All the samples contained kaolinite with well defined peaks as the dominant mineral. The < 4 μm fraction of the Serule kaolin contained only kaolinite.
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Fig 3D: Infrared spectra of the clay fraction of Serule kaolin sample

Table 1: Wave numbers in cm\(^{-1}\) of main absorption bands for the clay fraction of samples of Kgwakgwe, Lobatse, Makoro and Serule kaolins compared to theoretical kaolinite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theoretical kaolinite</th>
<th>Kgwakgwe kaolin</th>
<th>Lobatse kaolin</th>
<th>Makoro kaolin</th>
<th>Serule kaolin</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3694</td>
<td>3695</td>
<td>3697</td>
<td>3694</td>
<td>3694</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3650</td>
<td>3620</td>
<td>3620</td>
<td>3620</td>
<td>3621</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1114</td>
<td>1635</td>
<td>1029</td>
<td>1033</td>
<td>1032</td>
<td>Quartz interference could occur at 1085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1010</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>911</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>936</td>
<td>913</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>Interference could occur for quartz at 785-820, smectite at 797 and muscovite at 799.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>752</td>
<td>754</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>692</td>
<td>539</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>693</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>692</td>
<td>Quartz interference could occur 695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>537</td>
<td></td>
<td>790</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>539</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>468</td>
<td></td>
<td>791</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>469</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>430</td>
<td></td>
<td>790</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>469</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Minerals identification by XRPD of the < 4 \(\mu\)m fraction of the Kgwakgwe, Lobatse, Makoro and Serule kaolin samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Sample type</th>
<th>kaolinite</th>
<th>muscovite</th>
<th>smectite</th>
<th>quartz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Kgwakgwe</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lobatse</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Makoro</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Serule</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 4A: X-ray diffractogram of the < 4 \(\mu\)m fraction of the Kgwakgwe kaolin sample (k = kaolinite and m = muscovite)
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Fig 4B: X-ray diffractogram of the < 4 μm fraction of the Lobatse kaolin samples (k = kaolinite, m = muscovite, s = smectite and q = quartz)

Fig 4C: X-ray diffractogram of the < 4 μm fraction of the Makoro kaolin sample (k = kaolinite, m = muscovite, s = smectite and q = quartz)

Fig 4D: X-ray diffractogram of the < 4 μm fraction of the Serule kaolin sample (All the peaks depict kaolinite)

Kaolinite consists of a basic unit cell made of a tetrahedral sheet of silica (SiO₂) and an octahedral sheet of gibbsite (Al(OH)₃), both bonded by shared O atoms; of which the units are held by hydrogen bonds. It is classified as 1:1 dioctahedral kaolinite because only 2/3 of the vacant sites in the octahedral sheet are filled (Bailey, 1980; Ekosse, 2005). Layer stacking of kaolinite is controlled by repulsion between highly charged Si and Al cations which tend to avoid superposition (Bailey, 1980; Dixon, 1989).

Kaolinite is an aluminosilicate formed by the alteration of K-feldspar such as microcline and micas such as muscovite as shown in equations 1 and 2.

\[
\text{2KAlSi₃O₈(OH)₂ + 2H}^+ + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 3\text{Al}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4 + 2\text{K}^+ \quad (1)
\]

K-feldspar kaolinite

\[
\text{2KAlSi₃O₈ + 2H}^+ + 9\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Al}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4 + 2\text{K}^+ + 4\text{H}_4\text{SiO}_4
\]

muscovite kaolinite

From the chemical composition of a kaolinite unit cell, and the product of the reactants as illustrated in equations 1 and 2, it could be observed that Si-O, Al-O and OH play functional roles in its identification and characterization. Unfortunately these functional groups are equally present in several other aluminosilicates; such that absorption peak interferences using FTIR technique are often misinterpreted in characterizing the mineral phases (Tan, 1998; Madejova et al., 1997).

Peak values obtained for highest frequencies observed in the kaolinite samples were the stretching OH vibrations occurring for these samples between 3620 cm⁻¹ to 3697 cm⁻¹, but only Lobatse kaolin exhibited a peak at 3426 cm⁻¹ which is attributed to smectite (Ojima, 2003). There was no interference of peaks occurring at these highest frequencies where stretching OH vibrations occur, similar to the observations of Lanson et al. (2002). Interferences of peaks were however observed at lower frequencies (between 114 cm⁻¹ and 430 cm⁻¹) of bending vibrations, and another peak which pertains to smectite was observed at 1635 cm⁻¹. Within this range of wavelength, main functional groups were SiO and Al-OH. Muscovite and possibly quartz interference could be observed at 1029 cm⁻¹ for Lobatse kaolin. The Al-OH absorption peak was identified for Kgwakwge kaolin at 913 cm⁻¹, Makoro at 915 cm⁻¹ and Serule at 911 cm⁻¹ whereas for theoretical kaolinite it is at 912 cm⁻¹. Possible peak interferences of quartz in the region of 785 cm⁻¹ – 820 cm⁻¹; smectite at 797 cm⁻¹ and muscovite at 799 cm⁻¹ could only be inferred.

In order to eliminate the uncertainty of minerals identification based on functional grouping using FTIR spectrophotometry, XRPD technique was employed as both a complementary and confirmatory tool. Results obtained firmly confirmed the presence of kaolinite for all the samples; muscovite, smectite and quartz in the Lobatse and Makoro samples, and muscovite for the Kgwaqwge sample. The perfect confirmatory result was that of the Serule sample in which there were no other minerals identified by
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XRPD technique except kaolinite, supporting results also obtained by FTIR spectrophotometry.

In concluding, this study aimed at demonstrating complementary roles of FTIR spectroscopy and XRPD techniques in characterizing the clay fraction of kaolin samples obtained from Kgwagwke, Lobatse, Makoro and Serule kaolin occurrences and deposits in Botswana. The results revealed that Lobatse kaolin was the coarsest of all the studied samples with 70 wt % of particles being < 4 μm followed by Makoro kaolin with 82 wt % being < 4 μm. Infrared Absorption peaks obtained for their clay fractions depicted values at the functional group region that could possibly correspond to those of smectite, muscovite and quartz. However, it was not possible to pinpoint these minerals from the infrared spectra because the peaks at the fingerprint region reflected those for kaolinite, and possibly quartz. Using XRPD as complementary technique, these mineral phases were identified. Serule kaolin was the finest of the four studied kaolin samples with 90 wt % of particles being < 4 μm followed by Kgwagwke kaolin with 86 wt % being < 4 μm. Infrared Absorption peaks obtained for the clay fraction of the Serule kaolin were very similar to those obtained for theoretical kaolinite, and the XRPD results depicted kaolinite as the monomeric phase. A similar trend of FTIR peaks was obtained for Kgwagwke. However, the XRPD results depicted not only kaolinite but muscovite as well. It is not certain why muscovite absorption peaks could not have been detected by FTIR analysis. However, the findings confirm that both techniques complement one another in characterizing clay fractions of kaolin.
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