Rapid Diagnosis of Leptospirosis by Multiplex PCR
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Abstract

Background: Traditionally, the most common diagnostic approach used for diagnosing leptospirosis was the demonstration of immune-seroconversion in acute and convalescent patient serum samples. Recently, a variety of molecular techniques, including conventional and real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR), have been developed for the specific detection of pathogenic bacteria from the genus Leptospira. PCR is a sensitive, specific, and rapid technique that has been successfully used to detect several microorganisms; including those of clinical significance.

Methods: In this study, we developed a multiplex PCR (mPCR) assay for detecting Leptospira’s DNA. The mPCR assay detects both the 16S rRNA gene and the major outer membrane lipoprotein gene, which is known as LipL32. Representative serovars were tested from 10 species of Leptospira and 23 other species of bacteria.

Results: A positive result was obtained from all leptospiral serovars. The amplification sensitivity for the multiplex assay was 21.8 pg and 1 × 10^3 leptospires/ml. This mPCR assay has the potential to facilitate a rapid and sensitive diagnosis for acute leptospirosis.

Conclusion: The mPCR assay developed in this study can be used for the early detection of leptospirosis. The LipL32 gene could also serve as another target to aid in the efficient detection of leptospiral infection because using 2 sets of primers in mPCR increases the sensitivity and specificity of the test.
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Introduction

Leptospirosis is one of the most common zoonoses in the world. Recently, leptospirosis has been recognised as a re-emerging infectious disease among animals and humans (1–3). Although leptospirosis is distributed worldwide (4), it is most common in temperate and tropical climates. However, leptospirosis has the potential to become even more prevalent with the anticipated rise in global temperature (2,5,6). It was reported that the whole region of Southeast Asia is an endemic area for leptospirosis (7), including Malaysia as several outbreaks have been recorded. An outbreak of acute febrile illness was reported among the athletes participating in the Eco-Challenge located in Sabah, and the Segama River was found to be the primary source of infection (8). Furthermore, 46 cases were identified in an outbreak in Kampung, Kebatu, Beaufort, Sabah that was associated with swimming in a creek near an oil palm plantation (9) and a few outbreaks involving individuals (10). Lastly, there was a report of a sudden outbreak of acute febrile illness following the heavy rain and floods in the southern state of Johor (11,12).

Leptospirosis is caused by pathogenic bacteria from the genus Leptospira, which is a spirochete of the family Leptospiraceae and the order Spirochatales. Approximately 10 million people are affected by leptospirosis throughout the year with a 5%–25% fatality rate (5). However, with early detection and treatment, leptospirosis is a treatable disease. Nevertheless, early detection and treatment depends on
epidemiological factors, symptoms and laboratory tests. A large array of tests for this disease have been described, including microscopic demonstration, molecular diagnosis, isolation and identification of leptospires, as well as serological diagnosis, such as the microscopic agglutination test (MAT) (3). There are several limitations to MAT, including the false-negative results obtained early in the course of the disease, the cross-reactivity excluding the ability to distinguish between serovars, the highly labour-intensive and time-consuming nature of the technique, and the requirement of a large panel of live leptospires (1). Thus, MAT is a complex test to control, perform, and interpret.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and micro-capsular agglutination test (MCAT) are serological tests that reduce the false-positives associated with vaccinal responses and provide earlier detection. In Australia, it was found that the IgM ELISA test could exhibit notably high sensitivity (100%) for the detection of acute leptospirosis (13). However, the same commercial assay demonstrated poor diagnostic accuracy (sensitivity of 60.9% and specificity of 65.6%) using admission serum in Laos (14). Bacterial culture, MAT, and ELISA can be applied in well-equipped laboratories by trained staff. However, few diagnostic facilities have the capacity and expertise to perform these tests for leptospirosis.

PCR has been used to detect leptospiral DNA in samples obtained from animals (15,16) and human (17–22). In this study, we have developed an mPCR amplification with 2 sets of primers, which will aid in the specific and sensitive detection of Leptospira species. The mPCR targets the well-known 16S rRNA gene (17), and a newly designed primer that targets the LipL32 gene. The LipL32 gene is a major outer-membrane lipoprotein from the genus Leptospira. Furthermore, the LipL32 gene is an important virulence factor confined to pathogenic strains of all Leptospira species. The mPCR could be used as an alternative to the traditional diagnostic methods, such as leptospiral isolation and the MAT serological assay.

Materials and Methods

Organisms and growth conditions

Leptospiral serovars were obtained from Universiti Putra Malaysia, Selangor. Leptospira were grown in Ellinghausen, McCullough, Johnson, and Harris (EMJH) medium (Becton-Dickinson Biosciences, Detroit, US) at 28 °C and were periodically subcultured in fresh medium. Commonly encountered commensal and pathogenic bacteria cultures from our own stock culture were used as controls.

Genomic DNA isolation and purification

Using an in-house genomic extraction protocol, DNA extraction was carried out on the cell pellets of leptospiral and bacterial cultures. Briefly, 1.5 mL of culture isolate was centrifuged at 13 000 g for 5 minutes to obtain approximately 0.1 µg of pellet. A solution of 200 µL of 26% sucrose, 50 mM Tris–HCl, 1% SDS, 0.2 N NaOH, 25 mM EDTA, and 0.1 M NaCl was added and the mixture was inverted gently. The mixture was subsequently incubated at room temperature for 5 minutes. For protein precipitation, 200 µL of 3 M NaOAc (pH 5.2) was added and the mixture was gently inverted. The mixture was subsequently spun down at 13 000 g for 5 minutes at 4 °C to separate the supernatant containing the DNA. The clear supernatant was subsequently placed into a fresh tube and 600 µL of ice-cold 95% ethanol was added to precipitate the genomic DNA. The mixture was incubated in liquid nitrogen for 15 minutes and spun down at 13 000 g for 15 minutes at 4 °C to pellet the DNA. Next, the pellet was washed with 1 mL of 80% ethanol, air-dried, and resuspended in 50 µL of sterile distilled water. The concentration of the DNA extract was measured using a spectrophotometer and stored at −20 °C.

Primers design and PCR amplification

Oligonucleotide sequences of Lep F, Lep R, Lau01, and Lau02 used for the mPCR amplification of the Leptospira species are listed in Table 1. Lep F and Lep R primer (17) amplified a 330 bp sequence from the 16S rRNA gene. The Lau01 and Lau02 primer pair was designed by aligning the LipL32 gene (accession number: NC 005823) using the ClustalW programme (EMBL, UK) (23), and primers were selected using the Primer3 programme (Whitehead, US) (24). Lau01 and Lau02 primer pair amplifies a 660 bp sequence of the LipL32 gene. The specificity of the primers was bioinformatically verified using the Primer-Blast programme (NCBI, MD).

The final optimised conditions for the mPCR were as follows: PCR was performed with the 1X Colorless GoTaq® Flexi Buffer (Promega, US), 200 µM (each) deoxynucleoside triphosphates, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 1U of GoTaq® Flexi DNA Polymerase (Promega, US), 5 µM of Lau primers, and 10 µM of Lep primers in
Detection of amplified DNAs

PCR products were analysed by gel electrophoresis. Next, 10 µL with 2 µL of gel loading buffer of each sample was loaded onto a 1.2% electrophoresis-grade agarose gel (Bio-Rad, California, US) and run in 1X TAE buffer at 100 V for 45 minutes. Gels were stained with ethidium bromide and visualised using a UV transilluminator (UVP Inc., CA).

Detection limits and specificity of primers

The detection limits and sensitivity of the mPCR was determined using a serial dilution of leptospiral DNA mix and by urine-spiking experiments. *L. interrogans* serovar Icterohaemorrhagiae was used for the spiking of urine sample. Leptospiral cell concentration was measured by spectrophotometry at an optical density (OD) of 0.14 at 420 nm (approximately 5 × 10^8 cells/ml) (33). Next, 400 µl of the cultured *Leptospira* in liquid EMJH (number of cells as 2 × 10^8 cells) was added to 1.6 ml of urine from a healthy human (final concentration of cells is 1 × 10^8 cells). A 10-fold serial dilution was subsequently prepared by diluting the spiked urine sample with urine of a healthy human. Next, 1 ml of each dilution was used for DNA extraction. Leptospires in each 1 ml dilution were concentrated by centrifugation at 13 000 g for 15 minutes and washed once with 1 ml PBS. Next, 500 µL of chelex slurry (10% 200–400 mesh) (Bio-RAD, US) was added and the solution was vortexed for 15 seconds and spun at 13 000 g for 20 minutes to ensure that all contents were in the bottom of the microcentrifuge tube. Lastly, 5 µL of the supernatant was used for the mPCR.

The specificity of the primers was evaluated with 9 serogroups identified in Malaysia and 23 strains of commensal and pathogenic bacteria (non-*Leptospira*) commonly encountered in clinical specimens.

**Results**

**Optimisation of mPCR amplification**

Because primer-dimers and other nonspecific products may interfere with the specific products of mPCR, the reaction often requires extensive optimisation. Amplification specificity is also influenced by other factors, such as the MgCl_2 concentration in the PCR buffers and primer concentration. We split our mPCR optimisation strategy into 3 main steps: annealing temperature, amount of primers used, and concentrations of MgCl_2 in the PCR reaction. We began the optimisation strategy by performing the mPCR in standard 20 µL reactions (consisting of 200 mM dNTP, 1.5 mM MgCl_2, 10 pmole each of primers, 1X PCR buffer, 1 unit Taq polymerase, and 218 ng of template DNA from a mixture of leptospiral genomic DNA).

**Optimisation of annealing temperature**

The annealing temperature in a PCR usually depends directly on the length and composition of the primer(s). In our mPCR, we chose to detect 2 targets: 16S rRNA and the leptospiral *LipL32* gene. For the 16S rRNA target, we took advantage of previously reported primers (17). Concerning the *LipL32* gene, we extracted the sequence from genebank and designed new primers. Recently, the *LipL32* gene has been suggested to be a target for nested and real-time PCR (25–27).

We first optimised the multiplex PCR amplification conditions using both the primer sets of Lep-F-Lep-R and Lau01-Lau02 with the genomic DNA mixture from the extracted DNA.
A gradient PCR was carried out to determine the best annealing temperature using a BioRAD MyCycler Thermocycler. Figure 1a shows the result of the gradient PCR at an annealing temperature of 50 °C–60 °C. The annealing temperature of 58 °C was chosen as the optimal annealing temperature for the mPCR.

**Optimisation of primer concentration**

The quantity of DNA primer(s) supplied to the PCR may influence the results of the mPCR. Extremely high or low primer amounts should be avoided because high primer concentrations may inhibit the multiplex reaction, whereas low amounts may not be sufficient. In order to amplify all of the specific PCR products with equal efficiency, the concentrations of the individual primer pairs were optimised. Figure 1c shows that the best combinations of primers were 5 µM and 10 µM for Lau01-Lau02 and Lep-F-Lep-R primers, respectively.

**Optimisation of MgCl₂ concentration**

Finally, optimisation was carried out to determine the best amount of MgCl₂ to be added to each reaction. The optimal MgCl₂ concentration yields maximal amplification of a specific target with minimal non-specific products and primer-dimer formation. In order to find the optimal amount, MgCl₂ concentration was varied between 1.5 mM–4.0 mM. As shown in Figure 1b, 1.5 mM of MgCl₂ was selected as the optimal concentration.

**Detection limits of mPCR**

The sensitivity and detection level of the mPCR was determined using a serial dilution of genomic DNA mix from leptospiral strains and by urine-spiking. The PCR was performed using the optimised conditions, as mentioned previously. The lowest limit of detection using genomic DNA of *Leptospira* in this assay was found to be 21.8 pg, as shown in Figure 2. However, the sensitivity of the test using urine samples spiked with serial dilutions of a pure culture of leptospires was $1 \times 10^3$ cells/ml urine (Figure 3).

**Specificity of multiplex PCR**

10 leptospiral serovars representative of different serogroups commonly isolated in Malaysia were analysed to determine the specificity of the mPCR. Our results showed that each strain produced a positive signal for both targeted genes (a 330 bp band and a 660 bp band) (Figure 4). The specificity of the oligonucleotide primer sets were also tested against a number of commensal and
pathogenic bacteria (*Yersinia enterocolitica*, *Methicillin Resistance Staphylococcus aureus*, *Psuedomonas aeruginosa*, *Enterococcus species*, *Burkholderia pseudomallei*, *Shigella flexneri*, *Enteropathogenic Escherichia coli polyval*, *Shigella boydii*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella paratyphi B*, *Corynebacterium diphtheria*, *Shigella dysenteriae*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Morganella morganii*, *Enteropathogenic Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*, *Diphteroid*, *Vibrio cholera*, *Providencia stuartii*, *Treponema pallidum*, *Flovobacterium species*, *Shigella sonnei*, and *Klebsiella species*) commonly encountered in clinical specimens. All of the non-*Leptospira* bacteria commonly encountered in clinical specimens were negative with both of the primer sets used in the multiplex PCR (results not shown).

**Discussion**

Detection of the pathogenic *Leptospira* species is important for the early diagnosis of leptospirosis because it provides unequivocal evidence of active infection. However, the application of this strategy was hampered by the limitation of conventional methods. As a result, clinical diagnosis is often based on serological tests, despite the fact that antibodies begin to appear 8–10 days after the onset of the illness.

The use of PCR is now gaining popularity for the diagnosis of fastidious organisms,
including *Leptospira*. This method offers several key advantages over the gold standard techniques of culture and MAT, such as a faster turn-around time, less contamination, and elimination of the need for the production of reference hyperimmune antisera to determine the identity of the cultured organism. To date, most of the reported conventional or nested PCR diagnosis are based on the detection of a single target, such as the 16S rRNA gene (17,18,28) or the 23S rDNA (29), or using G1/G2 primer pairs (13,30).

In this report, we described the development of an mPCR for the rapid detection of leptospiral infection based on the amplification of 2 genes: 16S rRNA gene and *LipL*32 gene. The mPCR used in this study offers further advantage over that of conventional PCR because it uses 2 sets of primers that increase the specific amplification (330 bp and/or 660 bp). Therefore, pathogenic strains can be detected rapidly for diagnostic purposes. It was also shown that the mPCR is a notably sensitive test, detecting up to 21.8 pg of genomic DNA and $1 \times 10^3$ leptospires/ml in seeded urine. Although real-time PCR has increasingly gained popularity (due to the elimination of the agarose gel electrophoresis step), the method is still relatively expensive for a small laboratory, especially in the third-world countries where the disease is predominant. Therefore, conventional PCR is still a better choice because this methodology is not technically demanding and uses only commercially available reagents. Therefore, this technique can be applied to diagnostic laboratories to help in identifying pathogenic leptospires, especially in developing countries.

The mPCR uses 2 sets of primers, which are based upon amplification of the *Leptospira* 16S rRNA gene that is conserved throughout the bacterial kingdom, as well as another set of primers detecting the leptospiral major outer-membrane lipoprotein *LipL*32 gene. The *LipL*32 gene is highly specific and is absent from nonpathogenic *leptospira* or any other commensal pathogenic bacteria. The leptospiral major outer-membrane lipoprotein (*LipL*32) is expressed during infection by all pathogenic strains and can prove to be an important candidate antigen for the development of a sensitive and specific test for leptospirosis (31,32).

Even though the study started with the detection of *Leptospira* species using extracted genomic DNA, the results were notably encouraging, and we believe that this method can be used as a valuable tool in the early diagnosis of human leptospirosis. However, clinical evaluation to confirm the potential of the PCR protocol is needed. To monitor the effectiveness of DNA extraction and the presence of any inhibitory factors in the PCR, an internal
control that will be co-amplified is to be included in this multiplex PCR. The conversion of the current method into a thermo-stabilised PCR will be undertaken in future work.

Conclusion

In summary, the mPCR was shown to be a promising adjunct to the early diagnosis of leptospirosis. The LipL32 gene can be another target for the efficient detection of leptosporial infection because the 2 sets of primers in an mPCR increases the sensitivity and specificity of the test. On the basis of its sensitivity and specificity, the mPCR method described here should be a useful tool for the early diagnosis of leptospirosis, irrespective of serovar.
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