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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Tubal ligation is the most common form of contraception in India. We conducted this study to assess the factors associated with post-sterilization regrets. AIMS: This study was designed to assess risk factors that are likely to cause regret following female sterilization in Indian women. SETTINGS AND DESIGN: Questionnaire-based study. MATERIALS and METHODS: This was a questionnaire-based study to assess the level of satisfaction after tubal ligation in women attending family planning clinic at the hospital over a period of 1 year. Evaluation of data obtained through questionnaire from 236 women who had undergone tubal ligation was done. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS: Univariate analysis to determine crude odds ratio was carried out. Subsequently, multiple regression analysis was used to find the adjusted odds ratio (and 95% confidence intervals) for each variable. RESULTS: We found a strong correlation between regrets and young age (less than 30 years), fewer number of children, few or no male children and lack of partner motivation prior to sterilization. Menstrual irregularities and dysmenorrhea did not influence regret to a large extent. CONCLUSION: Fertility-related factors, namely, age at sterilization, family size, number of male offspring, timing of sterilization and non-involvement of partner in decision making played a greater role in post-sterilization regrets than menstrual factors (menstrual irregularities and dysmenorrhoea).
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Female sterilization is the world’s most popular contraceptive method. In India, female sterilization has been the mainstay of National Family Welfare program, accounting for 84% of prevalence among married couples using modern methods.[1] Current statistics reveal that in the year 2000-2001, 4.74 million women underwent sterilization.[2] Age at marriage continues to be low in India, and a vast majority of women complete their families in the early twenties. In the current social milieu of stable marriages, sterilization is the commonest method, even for younger married couples.[3] With growing tendency of more women undergoing tubal ligation at a younger age, there is a potential for post-sterilization regret.[4] Even a small population of women developing post-sterilization regret would translate into a large number of sufferers in later years. Studies on post-sterilization regret are available from developed countries.[5,6] The situation in less developed countries, where tubal occlusions are more commonly performed, is virtually unknown. Moreover, most studies on post-
Timing of sterilization date back to the 70s, and since then there have been social and cultural changes, justifying a recent study on post-sterilization regret. To assess the extent of satisfaction or regret and the factors causative for regrets among Indian women, this follow-up study was conducted.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A questionnaire-based study was conducted to assess the level of satisfaction after tubal sterilization in women attending family planning clinic at the hospital over a period of 1 year. The study period of 1 year included questionnaire planning, interview, data entry and subsequent analysis. In this clinic-based study, women were interviewed using the defined questionnaire [Appendix]. Due to the lack of available database for follow-up, all women were interviewed at one occasion only; regret during later years would be meaningful if the same women were re-interviewed at a later date, which is not possible in our setup as we do not maintain a database of our sterilized women. The study protocol was approved by the Departmental Expert Board, and an informed consent was obtained from every couple participating in the study. Those who agreed to respond to the questionnaire were recruited for the study. Two hundred seventy-seven women were enrolled in the study, of which 57% women came for follow-up after sterilization in the same hospital and the remaining 43% were followed up after sterilization done at other hospitals. The women were interviewed by the same investigators (NM and PKG) and confidentiality was ensured. Variables considered during interview included age at sterilization, time since sterilization, parity, number of living children, gender distribution and timing of sterilization (postpartum, interval, concurrent abortion or cesarean section). They were further interviewed on use of previous contraceptive methods, knowledge of reversibility of procedure and involvement of male partner in decision making.

Satisfaction with tubal ligation was considered in response to questions such as ‘Do you think tubal ligation as a permanent method of birth control was a good choice?’ Those who answered ‘no’ were further asked, ‘Would you choose tubal ligation as a method of birth control if given another option?’ If the answer was a consistent ‘no,’ then this group was considered to have regretted the decision. Further questioning continued to seek reasons for regret. This included interrogation regarding menstrual irregularities, dysmenorrhea and psychosocial complaints, besides medical problems.

Women with loss of a child; sterilization failure; breakup of marital union with subsequent remarriage, where women would request reversals, were excluded (n = 24) from the analysis to prevent any bias and increased rate of regret that would arise from these factors. Further, women with ambivalent thoughts were also excluded (n = 27). This left 236 women in the final analysis.

Statistical analysis

Data from questionnaires was entered into a personal computer and analyzed using the statistical package SPSS (version). Data was examined on univariate basis to determine crude odds ratio for each variable of interest. Statistical significance was established by means of Chi-square test with Yates’s correction or Fischer’s exact test for small expected frequencies. The confidence limits of the odds ratio were calculated by means of the method of Cornfield. All variables with a probability of an association value less than 0.05 in the crude analysis were assessed together by use of multiple logistic regression model to determine their importance after simultaneous adjustment of other variables. The adjusted odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals were evaluated for these variables.
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RESULTS

The mean age of women at the time of ligation in our study was 28 ± 4.87 years [Table 1]. Of the 236 women, 22 regretted their decision of sterilization with a regret rate of 9.3%. The mean time interval between sterilization and regret was 5 ± 2.31 years.

The risk factors likely to cause regret as assessed on regression analysis are suggested in Table 2. Different proportions of regret were noted in different age groups. Regret declined as age increased. A significant difference between women regretting versus those not regretting was found in those less than 30 years of sterilization with a regret rate of 9.3%. The mean time interval between sterilization and regret was 5 ± 2.31 years.
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As was anticipated in the Indian society, no or fewer number of male offspring was responsible for regret in a large number of women. The women who had two or three and more sons were less likely to regret decision of sterilization in comparison to women with no male issues ($P = 0.013$, 0.029 respectively). Also it was seen that women with less number of children (<2) at time of ligation had an increased likelihood to regret their decision. Involvement of the male partner in decision making influenced regret and caused fewer women to regret their decision.

Few women reported changes in their menstrual patterns, and some had dysmenorrhoea after sterilization. But regret due to this cause was not statistically significant.

The results point towards the fact that fertility-related reasons contributed significantly towards regrets after sterilization in contrast to menstrual reasons.

**DISCUSSION**

There is an overall global estimate of 2-13% women regretting sterilization from 6 months to 6 years post-sterilization. Regret at sterilization has varied with its definition and society. Definition of regret after sterilization varied considerably in previous studies. Questions asked to measure regret included whether respondents were satisfied, pleased, dissatisfied or sorry about sterilization. Some studies asked if those who expressed regret would want reversal, but none expressed this desire in our study.

Studies about post-sterilization regret have been mostly from developed societies; studies regarding situation from less developed societies have been limited even though tubal ligations are performed more commonly in less developed countries.

Our study suggested fertility-related factors to be stronger predictors of regret as compared to menstrual reasons, as found in other studies. Age less than 30 years was the strongest risk factor in our study, as reported previously. Women <30 years were 1.8 times more likely to regret sterilization. Women under 30 years need greater counseling as compared to older women. Also such women need to be offered alternative methods of contraception. Even though MIRENA and Implanon are currently not available in the national family planning program, other temporary methods as copper T and oral pills should be offered before a decision is taken for sterilization in women less than 30 years.

Parity and interval since sterilization were not concurrent factors for sterilization regret. Whether parity is or not a risk factor for regret probably depends on the family size standard in a society. India is fast adopting a smaller family size, and this could modify our observation. The desire for a male child was an important factor predicting post-sterilization regret. Son preference is stronger as women’s status is low and girls are devalued in most northern states of India. Therefore, regret is expected more among those who have fewer or no sons. Regrets were lesser when husbands were involved in decision making, in contrast to some studies from developing countries, where women were more likely to regret sterilization when husband recommended the procedure.

Knowledge of risk factors which have a strong association with regret and are easily identifiable before sterilization is definitely useful in counseling to prevent regrets. Motivators who may not be doctors or paramedics all the time in our society need to be aware of the risk factors prior to counseling women for sterilization. Women undergoing interval sterilization were less likely to regret in comparison to those who had the procedure concurrent with cesarean section.

This observation of ours was contrary to the crest study in which the researchers found that although young age at sterilization was an important factor for regret, the timing of sterilization did not alter the incidence of regret after the sterilization procedure. The implications of such results have been reported earlier and mean that sterilization as a contraceptive choice should be discussed in the antenatal period rather than being forced on the woman.

Though post-sterilization regret is not extremely avoidable, certain groups such as younger women <30 years, those undergoing sterilization at cesarean section and women who are not fully motivated or do not have support of husbands before taking such a major decision like sterilization need special counseling.
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Appendix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questionnaire</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Religion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at sterilization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervall since sterilization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of ligation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interval/postpartum/cesarean/post-abortion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place of sterilization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husband/partner motivated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of children</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of male issues</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menstrual complaints</td>
<td>Yes/no - if yes, details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regret decision</td>
<td>Yes/no - if yes, details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wants reversal</td>
<td>Yes/no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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