LINEZOLID VANCOMYCIN RESISTANT ENTEROCOCCUS ISOLATED FROM CLINICAL SAMPLES IN TEHRAN HOSPITALS

S. YASLIANI, A. MOHABATI MOBAREZ, R. HOSSEINI DOUST¹, M. SATARI, O. TEYMORNEJAD

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Vancomycin-resistant enterococci pose an emerging health risk. The limitation in therapeutic options has resulted in the development of new drugs such as quinupristin/ dalfopristin and linezolid. AIM, SETTING AND DESIGN: This study investigated the species prevalence and antibacterial resistance among enterococci isolated in selected Tehran hospitals. MATERIALS AND METHODS: Between March 2006 and August 2007, 200 enterococcal isolates from urine, blood, stool and wound were recovered in 2 teaching hospitals of Tehran province. Susceptibility of all isolates was tested against vancomycin, teicoplanin and linezolid antibiotics by disk diffusion and agar dilution method. **RESULTS AND CONCLUSION:** Seventeen (8.5%), 6 (3%) and 4 (2%) of the isolates were resistant to vancomycin, teicoplanin and linezolid, respectively. Within the vancomycin-resistant isolates, 6 (35.2%), 4 (25%) and 1 (5.88%) showed vanA, vanB and vanC genotype patterns, respectively. Four (23.5%) of VRE isolates were resistant to linezolid with minimum inhibitory concentrations between 16 and 32 µg/mL. Two linezolid vancomycin resistant enterococci were E. faecium.

Key words: Linezolid vancomycin resistant Enterococcus, vanA, B, C gene, vancomycin resistant Enterococcus

DOI: 10.4103/0019-5359.55117

INTRODUCTION

Vancomycin resistant enterococci (VRE) are the main sources of infections in humans and carry the transferable vancomycin resistance markers.^[1] This organism has been reported as the second leading cause of urinary

Department of Bacteriology, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Tarbiat Modares University, ¹Department of Microbiology and Research Center of Molecular Biology, Baghyatollah University, Tehran, Iran

Correspondence:

Dr A. Mohabati Mobarez Department of Bacteriology, Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Tarbiat Modares, P.O. Box: 14115-111, Tehran, Iran E-mail: mmmobarez@modares.ac.ir tract infections and the third leading cause of nosocomial bacteremia in hospitalized patients. Bacteremia due to VRE is a significant complication in surgical patients and is associated with mortality rates ranging from 33% to 68%.^[2] Linezolid has been used successfully alone and in combination with gentamicin in treating VRE bacteremia.^[3,4]

Linezolid belongs to the class of oxazolidinones, a new antimicrobials with a unique mechanism of action. They are active against gram-positive organisms, including VRE and methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), with a primarily bacteriostatic mode of action.^[3,5] *In vitro* resistance to linezolid is mediated via mutations in the central region of domain V of 23SrRNA and/ or by as yet unknown mechanisms.^[6,7]

However, resistance in wild-type isolates of *Enterococcus* and *Staphylococcus* is conferred by a single nucleotide transvertion at position 2576 in 23SrRNA.

Linezolid has been used in clinical practice for a relatively short period of time; there are already several reports of linezolid-resistant enterococci.^[8-10]

In this study we compared the *in vitro* activity of linezolid with that of vancomycin and teicoplanin against 200 enterococci isolates. To our knowledge, this is the first report of linezolid vancomycin resistant *Enterococcus* strains isolated in selected Tehran hospitals.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 200 isolates were obtained from different clinical samples between 2006 and 2007 in 2 teaching hospitals in Tehran, Iran. The isolates were cultured from blood, urine, wound and stool. The isolates were identified to the genus and species level by culture characteristic, Gram's stain, catalase test, bile esculin, sorbitol, raffinose, arabinose, motility, pigmentation and SH2 tests.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Disk diffusion method on Muller-Hinton agar^[11] was used to detect resistance to vancomycin (30 μ g) and teicoplanin (30 μ g). Break point zone diameter for vancomycin was \leq 10 mm (\geq 16 μ g/mL); and for linezolid \leq 17 mm (\geq 16

 $\mu g/mL),$ for resistance. Results were read after incubation at 35°C for 24 hours.

MICs for vancomycin and linezolid were determined by the agar dilution method. *Enterococcus faecalis* strain ATCC 29212 was used as a control for susceptibility testing.

Detection of vancomycin – resistance determinants by PCR

The presence of the *vanA*, B and C resistance genes was assessed by PCR using specific primers described by Khan *et al.*^[11] The primers were vanA Forward- 5'-AAT ACT GTT TGG GGG TTG CTC-3' and vanA Reverse-5'-CTT TTT CCG GCT CGA CTT CCT-3'; vanB forward- 5-GGG GGG A GG ATG GTG GGA TAGAG-3 and vanB Reverse-5-GGA AGATACCGT GGC TCA AAC-3; vanC Forward- 5-TTG ACC CGC TGA AAT ATG AAGTAA-3 and vanC Reverse- 5-TAG AAC CGT AAG CAA AAG CAG TGG-3.

The amplification mixture consisted of 5 μ L of 10 x PCR buffer (100 mM Tris / HCl, pH 8.4 500 mM KCl; 20 mM MgCl₂), 220 μ M each dNTP, 22 U mL⁻¹ recombinant Taq DNA polymerase, 5 μ L bacterial DNA and 5 μ L primer, 6 μ L H2O. An Ependroff thermocycler was programmed for 30 cycles with the following parameters: denaturation at 97°C for 1 minute, annealing at 52°C for 55 seconds, extension at 72°C for 1.5 minutes and final extension at 72°C for 10 minutes. Amplified products were detected by agarose gel electrophoresis using 1.5% agarose (w/v) in TAE buffer for 2 hours at 70 V.

E. faecium ATCC 51559, *E. faecalis* ATCC 51299 and *E. gallinarum* ATCC 49573 (kindly

provided by Dr M. Feyzabady) were used for standardizing the PCR amplification of vanA, vanB, vanC. Vancomycin-sensitive strain, *E. faecalis* ATCC 29212, was used as the negative control in the PCR experiments.

RESULTS

Susceptibility to antimicrobial agents

A total of 200 enterococcal isolates were obtained from different clinical samples in 2 teaching hospitals in Tehran. The majority of them were obtained from urine [95 (47.5%)], followed by blood [63 (31.5%)] and wound swabs [42 (21%)]. The isolates were identified as *E. faecalis* (80%), *E. faecium* (11%), *E. casseliflavous* (6.5%), *E. gallinarum* (2%) and *E. avium* (0.5%). High level of resistance to vancomycin (MIC >64 µg/mL) was detected in 17 (8.5%) of the isolates were resistant to teicoplanin and linezolid, respectively [Table 1].

The linezolid minimum inhibitory concentrations for linezolid vancomycin resistant enterococci isolates were between 16 and 32 μ g/mL [Table 2].

Two (50%), 1 (25%) and 1 (25%) of the VLRE

isolates were *E. faecium*, *E. gallinarum* and *E. casseliflavous*, respectively. All of linezolid vancomycin resistant enterococci were isolated from blood samples.

Detection of van genotype in vancomycin resistant (VRE) and linezolid vancomycin resistant *Enterococcus*.

The 17 vancomycin resistant enterococci (VRE) and 4 linezolid vancomycin resistant enterococci were investigated for their van genotypes by PCR. VanA, B and C were detected in 6 (35.2%), 4 (23.5%) and 1 (5.88%) VRE isolates, respectively.

All of the linezolid vancomycin resistant enterococci (VLRE) gave positive results for the presence of the vanA or vanB genotype [Figure 1].

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we determined the species prevalence, vancomycin and linezolid susceptibility of clinical enterococcal isolates from 2 teaching hospitals in Tehran. The majority of the isolates were either *E. faecalis* (80%) or *E. faecium*

Antimicrobial resistance	E. faecalis	E. faecium	E. galinarum	E. cassliflavus
Vancomycin	5 (2.5)	8 (4)	2 (1)	2 (1)
Teicoplanin	1 (0.5)	3 (1.5)	1 (0.5)	1 (0.5)
linezolid	0	2 (1)	1 (0.5)	1 (0.5)

Figures in parentheses are in percentage

Table 2: Linezolid vancomycin resistant enterococci and their genotypes

Enterococcus spp.	Linezolide	vancomycin	teicoplanin	vanA	vanB	vanC	MIC	MIC
							Linezolide (µg/mL)	Vancomycin (μg/mL)
E. cassliflavus	R	R	R	+	+	-	16	1024
E. gallinarum	R	R	R	+	+	+	16	1024
E. faecium	R	R	R	+	-	-	32	64
E. faecium	R	R	R	+	-	-	32	512

(11%), while *E. casseliflavus*, *E. gallinarum* and *E. avium* accounted for only 9% of the isolates, which was comparable to the distribution of enterococcal species in other studies.^[13,14] Although we found 8.5%, 3% and 2% of isolates were resistant to vancomycin, teicoplanin and linezolid, respectively, diverse patterns of enterococci resistance have been reported from many countries.^[13-15]

In vitro resistance to linezolid is mediated via mutations in the central region of domain V of 23SrRNA and/ or by as yet unknown mechanisms.^[7,6]

However, resistance in wild-type isolates of *Enterococcus* and *Staphylococcus* is conferred by a single nucleotide transvertion at position 2576 in 23SrRNA. Isolates for which the MICs are more than 8 mg/L are defined as resistant.^[18]



Figure 1: PCR amplification of vanA, vanB, vanC genes **Lane1:** Linezolid-resistant *E. faecium* isolated from blood, MIC= 512 µg/mL. Lane 4: 100 bp DNA marker. Lane 5: Linezolid-resistant *E. gallinarum* isolated from blood, MIC= 1024 µg/mL. Lane7: Vancomycin-resistant *E. gallinarum*. All of the vanA, vanB, vanC positive isolates generated a 734, 530 and 420 bp PCR product, respectively.

In this study, 4 out of 17 VRE isolates were also resistant to linezolid that was recovered from blood samples. This is the first report of a linezolid-resistant enterococci (VLRE) strain in Tehran hospitals. Two of the isolates were *E. faecium* and 1 was *E. gallinarum*. The MIC of linezolid for the resistant isolates was between 16 and 32 μ g /mL in each case, where the susceptibility break point for linezolid was less than 4 μ g/mL.

It is useful to identify the VRE isolates and also the vanA and vanB distributions by means of genotype. This linezolid vancomycin resistance has been classified as vanA, vanB, vanC cross resistance to teicoplanin. All linezolid resistant isolates were positive for vanA genes, and 2 of them were found to have vanB genotype by PCR. VLRE isolates were found to have MICs between 16 and 32 mg/L.

The emergence of linezolid vancomycin resistant enterococci (VLRE) in blood samples is a dangerous fact. Additional concern is the risk of nosocomial spread of linezolid resistant organisms. Aukland *et al.*^[19] recommended susceptibility testing of clinically significant gram-positive pathogens before starting linezolid therapy so as to shorten the course of linezolid treatment.

Worldwide surveillance programs should closely monitor all linezolid resistance reports in order to trace any trend in the development of resistance.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank the medical personnel at the laboratories of Baghyatollah and Sharyaty hospitals. We would also like to thank Tarbiat Modares University for providing grant for this research.

REFERENCES

- Huyke MM, Sham DF, Gilmore MM. Multiple drug resistance enterococci: The nature of the problem and the agenda for the future. Emerg Infect Dis 1998;4:239-49.
- Fleenor-Ford A, Hayden MK, Weinstein RA. Vancomycin-resistant enterococci: Implication for surgeons. Surgery 1999;125:121-4.
- Noskin GA, Siddiqui F, Stosor V. Successful treatment of persistant vancomycin resistant *Enterococcus faecium* bacteremia with linezolid and gentamicin. Clin Infect Dis 1999;28:689-90.
- Athanassopoulos G, Pefanis A, Sakka V, Dimitrios H, Despina P, Giamarellou H. Linezolid in prophylaxis against experimental aortic valve endocarditis due to *Streptococcus orali* and *Enterococcus faecalis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2006;50:645-57.
- Jorgensen JH, Mc Elmeed ML, Trippy CW. *In vitro* activities of the oxazolidinone antibiotics U-100592 and U-100766 against *Staphylococcus aureus* and coagolase-negative *Staphylococcus* species. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1997;41:465-7.
- Michael L, Rebecca HT, Steve M, Louis B. Recombination proficiency influences and locus of mutational resistance to linezolid in *Enterococcus faecalis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2003;47:3318-20.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Nosocomial Enterococci resistant to vancomycin United States. 1989 -1993. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 1993;42:597-9.
- Bersos Z, Maniati M, Kontos F, Petinaki E, Maniats AN. First report of a linezolid – resistant vancomycin – resistant *Enterococcus faecium* strain in Greece. J Antimicrob Chemother 2004;53:685-6.
- Johnson AP, Tysall L, Stockdale MV, Woodford N, Kaufmann ME, Warner M, et al. Emerging linezolid-resistant Enterococcus faecalis and Enterococcus faecium isolated from two Austrian patients in the same intensive care unit. Eur J Clin

Microbiol Infect Dis 2002;21:751-4.

- Marra AR, Major Y, Edmond MB. Central venous catheter colonization by linezolid - resistant, vancomycin-susceptible *Enterococcus faecalis*. J Clin Microbiol 2006;44:1915-6.
- National committee for clinical laboratory standards. Performance standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibility tests approved standard, 7th Ed (M2-A7). Villanova: National committee for clinical laboratory standards 2000.
- 12. Khan SA, Nawas MS, Khan AA, Hopper SL, Jones RA, Cerniglia CE. Molecular characterization of multidrug- resistant *Enterococcus* spp. from poultry and dairy farms: Detection of virulence and vancomycin resistance gene markers by PCR. Mole Cell Probes 2004;20:1-8.
- Udo EE, Al Sweih N, Phillips OA, Chung TD. Species prevalence and antibacterial resistance of enterococci isolated in Kuwait hospitals. J Med Microbiol 2003;52:163-8.
- 14. Simnosen GS, Smabrekke L, Monnet DL, Sorensen TL, Moller JK, Kristisoon KG, et al. Prevalence of resistance to ampicillin, gentamicin and Enterococcus faecalis and E. faecium isolated from clinical specimens and use of antimicrobials in five Nordic hospitals. J Antimicrob Chemother 2003;51:323-31.
- 15. Kuriyama T, Williams DW, Patel M, Lewis MA, Jenkins LE, Hill DW, et al. Molecular characterization of clinical and environmental isolates of vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecalis and E. faecium from a teaching hospital in Wales. J Med Microbiol 2003;52:821-7.
- Alyacin A, Mohabati Mobarez A, Sadeghizadeh M, Hosseini Doust R, Khoram Abadi N. Resistance to vancomycin in *Enterococcus faecium* and *E. faecalis*. Pac J Med Sci 2007;23:390-3.
- Teimournejad O, Mohabati Mobarez A, Hosseini Doust R, Yaslian S, Mohajer B, Hsibi M. Incidence of vanA, B, C, D, E in vancomycin resistant Enterococcus isolated from fecal flora in Tehran. Modares Med J 2009;12:17-24.
- 18. Ament PW, Jamshed N, Horne JP. Linezolid: Its

role in the treatment of Gram – positive, drug – resistant bacterial infections. Am Fam Physician 2002;65:663-70.

 Aukland C, Teare L, Cook F, Kaufmann ME, Warner M, Jones G, *et al.* linezolid-resistant enterococci: Report of first isolats in the United Kingdom. J Antimicrob Chemother 2002;50: 743-6.

Source of Support: Tarbiat Modares University. Conflict of Interest: None declared.

Author Help: Online submission of the manuscripts

Articles can be submitted online from http://www.journalonweb.com. For online submission, the articles should be prepared in two files (first page file and article file). Images should be submitted separately.

1) First Page File:

Prepare the title page, covering letter, acknowledgement etc. using a word processor program. All information related to your identity should be included here. Use text/rtf/doc/pdf files. Do not zip the files.

2) Article File:

The main text of the article, beginning with the Abstract to References (including tables) should be in this file. Do not include any information (such as acknowledgement, your names in page headers etc.) in this file. Use text/rtf/doc/pdf files. Do not zip the files. Limit the file size to 400 kb. Do not incorporate images in the file. If file size is large, graphs can be submitted separately as images, without their being incorporated in the article file. This will reduce the size of the file.

3) Images:

Submit good quality color images. Each image should be less than **1024 kb (1 MB)** in size. The size of the image can be reduced by decreasing the actual height and width of the images (keep up to about 6 inches and up to about 1200 pixels) or by reducing the quality of image. JPEG is the most suitable file format. The image quality should be good enough to judge the scientific value of the image. For the purpose of printing, always retain a good quality, high resolution image. This high resolution image should be sent to the editorial office at the time of sending a revised article.

4) Legends:

Legends for the figures/images should be included at the end of the article file.