Objective: We present our experience of treating nine consecutive cases of rheumatoid arthritis involving the craniovertebral junction by atlantoaxial joint manipulation and attempts towards restoration of craniovertebral region alignments. Material and results: Between November 2001 and March 2004, nine cases of rheumatoid arthritis involving the craniovertebral junction were treated in our department of neurosurgery. Six patients had basilar invagination and ‘fixed’ atlantoaxial dislocation and three patients had a retroodontoid process pannus and mobile and incompletely reducible atlantoaxial dislocation. The patients ranged from 24 to 74 years in age. Six patients were males and three were females. Neck pain and spastic quadriparesis were the most prominent symptoms. Surgery involved attempts to reduce the atlantoaxial dislocation and basilar invagination by manual distraction of the facets of the atlas and axis. Reduction of the atlantoaxial dislocation and of basilar invagination and stabilization of the region was achieved by placement of bone graft and metal spacers within the joint and direct inter-articular plate and screw method of atlantoaxial fixation. Following surgery all the patients showed symptomatic improvement and restoration of craniovertebral alignments. Follow-up ranged from four to 48 months (average 28 months). Conclusion: Manipulation of the atlantoaxial joints and restoring the anatomical craniovertebral alignments in selected cases of rheumatoid arthritis involving the craniovertebral junction leads to remarkable and sustained clinical recovery.
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Introduction

Atlantoaxial dislocation and basilar invagination are both commonly associated with rheumatoid arthritis involving the craniovertebral region. Numerous methods to achieve decompression and stabilization of the region have been described in the literature. In this report, we wish to put on record the feasibility of an alternative technique of craniovertebral region bone alignment, distraction of the facets of atlas and axis and direct lateral mass plate and screw atlantoaxial fixation for management of both basilar invagination and atlantoaxial dislocation secondary to rheumatoid arthritis. We had discussed these methods of treatment for congenital craniovertebral anomalies earlier.

Materials and Methods

Between November 2001 and March 2004 nine seropositive cases with rheumatoid arthritis affecting the craniovertebral region were treated in our neurosurgical unit. Follow-up ranged from four to 48 months (average 28 months). All patients were investigated with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), computerized tomography (CT) scanning and dynamic plain radiology.

Results

The clinical features of the cases are presented in Table 1. The criteria for pain and neurological assessment were as per the classification provided by Ranawat et al. The duration of symptoms related to craniovertebral region pathology ranged from 12 days to 8 years.

Radiographic studies: The results of the radiological studies are shown in Table 1. Six patients had moderate to severe basilar invagination. In these patients there was no clear radiographic presence of mobile subluxation with flexion resulting in an increase in the atlantodental or clivodental interval, increased compromise of the canal diameter, or reduction in the girth of the brainstem. As per the defined parameters there was an element of ‘fixed’ atlantoaxial dislocation in these six patients. Three patients had retroodontoid pannus and mobile and incompletely reducible atlantoaxial dislocation.

Surgical technique of interarticular atlantoaxial fixation and intraoperative joint distraction and reduction of basilar invagination and fixed or incompletely reducible atlantoaxial dislo-
Table 1: Table elaborating the clinical and radiological features of the patients before and after surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (yrs.)</th>
<th>Ranawat classification (9)</th>
<th>Pre ADI (mm.)</th>
<th>Post ADI (mm.)</th>
<th>Chamberlain's line (mm.) Pre</th>
<th>Post</th>
<th>Wackenheim line (mm.) Pre</th>
<th>Post</th>
<th>Omega angle (degrees) Pre</th>
<th>Post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>IIIA</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>IIIA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Na: Neurological assessment; Pre:Preoperative; Post:Postoperative; yrs.:years; mm.:millimeters; ADI: Atlantodental interval.

Discussion

Seropositive rheumatoid arthritis has been identified in approximately 0.9 percent of the white adult population of the United States and 1.1 percent of the adult population in Europe. Of these, as many as 10 percent patients may need an operation for atlantoaxial subluxation and basilar invagination. Such figures are not available from India, but the incidence of rheumatoid arthritis affecting the craniovertebral junction region appears to be significantly less and in our Institute congenital anomalies form the major bulk of craniovertebral pathology.

The term 'basilar invagination' in cases with rheumatoid ar-
Arthritis has been used synonymously with the terms ‘cranial setting’ or ‘vertical odontoid migration’. Basilar invagination is commonly associated with atlantoaxial dislocation and the complex results in a significant degree of neck pain and myelopathy adding considerably to the disability secondary to affection of other joints. Occipitocervical fixation has been observed to provide stabilization to the craniovertebral region and the clinical outcome has been uniformly reported to be satisfactory. A variety of instrumentation and methods have been adopted to secure the occipitocervical fixation. Recently, a number of authors have reported success after trans-articular atlantoaxial fixation. Some reports have indicated that atlantoaxial fixation is the treatment of choice even in the presence of retroodontoid process pannus. Some authors have reported arrest of the vertical migration of the basilar invagination and regression of the size of the retroodontoid pannus after posterior fixation. For basilar invagination, transoral decompression and subsequent posterior fixation has been the most accepted treatment protocol. Menezes et al observed that traction in cases with basilar invagination and atlantoaxial subluxation results in a significant improvement in the craniovertebral alignments. They suggested the use of Halo traction for maintaining the distracted and reduced state of both basilar invagination and atlantoaxial subluxation during positioning for surgery to avoid cord injury.

We had described a technique of interarticular plate and screw method of atlantoaxial fixation in the year 1994 and had recently discussed distraction and realignment of the atlantoaxial joint for basilar invagination and fixed atlantoaxial...
dislocation for cases with congenital malformations of the region.\textsuperscript{5,6} In the latter technique, the atlantoaxial joint was opened widely after sectioning of the C2 ganglion and the two facets were then distracted and the distraction was maintained with metal spacers and bone graft. Plate and screw fixation of the joint was subsequently done by the interarticular plate and screw method. The operation is technically relatively difficult and the direction of the screws has to be precise. The anatomy of the vertebral artery in relationship to the atlantoaxial joint complex has to be evaluated on the basis of preoperative radiological studies.\textsuperscript{20,21} In all our presented nine cases, craniovertebral realignment and stabilization without any bone decompression was successfully employed. Wide removal of atlantoaxial joint capsule and articular cartilage by drilling and subsequent distraction of the joint by manual manipulation provided a unique opportunity to obtain reduction of the basilar invagination and of atlantoaxial dislocation. Maintenance of the joint in a distracted and reduced position with the help of bone graft and metal spacers and subsequent fixation of the joint with the help of interarticular screws and a metal plate provided a biomechanically firm fixation and sustained distraction. Multi-holed titanium spacers were chosen in order to allow bone incorporation and fusion across the distracted joint space. The fixation was seen to be strong enough to sustain the vertical, transverse and rotatory strains of the most mobile region of the spine. Following surgery, the alignment of the odontoid process, anterior arch of the atlas and the clivus and the entire craniovertebral junction improved towards normality. The tip of the odontoid process receded in relationship to the Wackenheim’s clival line and Chamberlain’s line suggesting reduction in the basilar invagination. The posterior tilt of the odontoid process, as evaluated by modified omega angle, was reduced after the surgery. The segmental nature of fixation in our cases was seen to provide additional scope for local stabilization and assist bone fusion and permit near complete neck movement in the postoperative period. Biomechanical advantage afforded by our technique is suggested by our successful fusion results in the present series and in the series presented by us earlier. Many surgeons advise incorporation of the occipital bone and cervical vertebrae up to C3 and C4 in the occipitocervical fusion and permit near complete neck movement in the postoperative period. Many authors in their similar cases,\textsuperscript{13,14,22} The patients showed a remarkable and sustained neurological and radiological improvement. However, it was not possible to assess the extent of regression of pannus in our cases as stainless steel metal plates were used for fixation. In the postoperative phase, the patients used a hard cervical collar and were advised to limit activities related to neck movements for a period of three months. However, activities like assisted walking in the house and performing of essential household activities were permitted. Some authors advise a more rigid post-operative immobilization protocol and some recommend the use of Halo-traction after occipitocervical instrumentaion. During the average follow-up of 28 months, all patients had shown neurological recovery and none of the patient had a delayed neurological worsening related to craniovertebral cord compression or had any indication of implant failure, suggesting the effectiveness of the operation.
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