Transplantation and stem cell research in neurosciences: Where does India stand?

Prakash N. Tandon

Emeritus Professor, Neurosurgery, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi and President, National Brain Research Centre, Manesar, Haryana, India

Abstract

The nearly absent ability of the neurons to regenerate or multiply has prompted neuroscientists to search for the mean to replace damaged or dead cells. The failed attempts using adult tissue, initiated nearly a century ago, ultimately brought rays of hope when developing fetal neurons were used for transplantation in 1970s. The initial excitement was tempered by limited success and ethical issues. But these efforts unequivocally established the feasibility of successful neural transplantation provided appropriate tissue was available. The ability to derive embryonic stem cells with their totipotent potential by Thomson in 1998 rekindled the interest in their use for replacement therapy for damaged brain tissue. The present review surveys the current status of this promising field of stem cell research especially in respect to their therapeutic potentials for purposes of neural transplantation. A brief account is provided of the ongoing Indian efforts in this direction.

Key words: Adult neural stem cells, embryonic stem cells, neural transplantation

Address for correspondence: Dr. Prakash N. Tandon, National Brain Research Centre (NBRC), Nainwal More, Manesar - 122 050, Haryana, India. E-mail: tandon@nbrc.ac.in

PMID: *** DOI: 10.4103/0028-3886.59464

Historical Background

While attempts at neural transplantation for repair of damaged/diseased brain started a century ago^[1] the real enthusiasm was stimulated after the pioneering work of Das and Altman (1972),^[2] Bjorklund and colleagues (1971, 1979a,b, 1980),^[3-6] Olson (1970)^[7] and Lund and Hauschka (1976).^[8] These studies heralded an explosion of investigations which established that use of fetal neural tissue provides a reliable method of achieving a successful graft in an adult host. Investigators all over the world initiated experiments dealing with various aspects of neuronal grafting as a strategy to replace damaged areas of the brain in the late 1970s. It has been unequivocally demonstrated that such grafts "take", grow, develop at least limited two-way connections with the host brain, produce appropriate neurotransmitter, and to a variable extent restore functional deficits resulting from disease or damage to the host brain.^[9] Further, these grafts have been found to induce "trophic" effects on the host nervous system. These results in experimental animals were so tantalizing

that for once the neurosurgeons jumped straight from the "rat-to-man" without even waiting for the results of the studies in higher primates. The first neural transplants in humans were performed in Sweden by Backlund and his colleagues in 1982 and 1983.^[10] In 1984-85, a multidisciplinary group was established at the All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi to study the neurobiological and behavioral consequences of the neural transplants in rats and in rhesus monkeys. Successful transplantation could be achieved in 80-85% of adult rats. Recognizing the limitations of transferring information gathered from rat to man, it was decided to study the fate of fetal neural transplants in sub-human primates. Rhesus monkeys were utilized for this purpose. Following a number of trials, ultimately successful transplants were observed in the caudate nucleus in two monkeys.^[11] These studies revealed that the fetal neural transplant in rhesus monkey is successful in 20-30% cases only. At three to four months after transplantation most of the grafts had resorbed completely leaving behind a necrotic cavity heavily infiltrated by lymphocytes and macrophages.

However, in the rodent model the transplanted neurons matured, differentiated and developed phenotypic characteristics, comparable to the normal adult nigral neurons. Electron microscopy revealed characteristic sub-cellular organelles and synapses. Golgi stain clearly demonstrated the growth and branching of neuronal processes up to 1-3 mm. Some of these neurons migrated into the surrounding brain. Neuronal processes could be demonstrated to cross the graft-host interface in either direction. Immunohistochemistry confirmed that these neurons and their processes were positive for tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) implying their capacity to produce the appropriate neuro-transmitter: Dopamine. This remained so for the first three to four months after the implant. Most investigators did not study the fate of these grafts beyond this period. The unique observations of our study were those related to morphological details in long term surviving grafts. At varying intervals, we observed changes compatible with premature aging leading to neuronal loss. These changes worsened with time so that at the end of 18 months and two years majority of the surviving neurons were showing extensive degenerative and ageing changes.^[12] While it is impossible to demonstrate the development of intricate circuitry between the graft and the host, a number of observations would indicate that such circuitry, at best can only be very partial developing contact with neurons in the vicinity.

In spite of the tremendous enthusiasm generated by the reports of the beneficial effect of adrenal medullary transplants in the head of the caudate nucleus of patients of Parkinson's disease by Backlund et al. (1985)^[10] and Madrazo et al. (1987, 1991).^[11,13] It was generally accepted that the neurobiological basis of the observed clinical effects was not clear. Peterson et al. (1989)^[14] could not find any surviving cells at autopsy of a Parkinson's disease patient treated with adrenal to brain transplant. The clinical trials so far conducted have provided enough evidence, at least for patients of Parkinson's disease, there can be mild to moderate improvement in their motor function, though it may be temporary. This necessitate search for alternate sources for transplantable cells, cultured, cryo-preserved or genetically modified. There are already leads in this direction.^[15]

Long before embryonic stem cells were demonstrated to give rise to all types of brain cells, an extensive experience had already accumulated in respect to use of fetal neural tissue, obviously containing neural progenitor cells or even stem cells for repair or replacement of damaged brain.^[4-6,9,15] Similarly, stable clones of neural stem cells (NSCs) isolated from human fetal telencephalon were shown to replace neurons and respond to development clues when transplanted in new born mouse brain.^[16] There has been rapid success in devising *in vitro* protocols for differentiating human ES cells to neuro-epithelial cells. Progress has already been made to guide these neural precursors further to more specialized neural cells such as spinal motor neurons or dopamine-producing neurons (or various types of glia).

Neural Stem Cells

In February 2001, only 15 months after the publication of two seminal papers by Thompson and Snyder and McKay in Science on isolation of human embryonic stem cells (ESC), the author published a general article "Neural Stem cell research: A revolution in the making"^[17] A decade after the Science papers, the prediction made in 2001 has been validated beyond imagination by the voluminous literature that has already accumulated, the number of specialized centers, departments, laboratories established globally, the scientific conferences held and the socio-political, ethical implications debated all over the world and even a large number of clinical trials initiated.

At the same time the conclusion arrived at in the 2001 paper,^[17]"it could be safely stated NSC (neural stem cell) research needs to be pursued with vigor for clinical use. While there is a lot of hope one should not be carried away by the hype and prematurely raise the expectations among those most in need of it" has continued to be echoed even today by a large number of distinguished researchers from all over the world. This has prompted a prestigious journal like Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society to devote a complete issue in January 2008 on the subject of "Stem Cells and Brain Repair". I could do no better than to quote Magnus and colleagues in this volume,"Stem Cells, although difficult to define, hold great promise as tools for understanding development and as therapeutic agents. However, as with any new field, uncritical enthusiasm can outstrip reality".^[18]

Having followed the subject keenly during the past decade, having observed the interest it has generated among colleagues, both basic scientists and clinicians and concerned about the inadequate knowledge, often verging on gross misconceptions amongst some of them, has prompted this review of the existing knowledge on the subject primarily restricted to aspects of interest for neuroscientists.

Grafting cells for therapeutic purposes has been ongoing for a long time in the case of bone marrow or skin transplantation. The recent advances in stem cell biology have opened up unprecedented possibilities to cure many hitherto untreatable diseases.^[19]

The first experimental demonstration that stem cells exist goes back to the early 1960s when the hematopoietic

system was shown to harbor single cells responsible for the renewal of the circulating blood.^[20]

The pioneering work of Weissman and his colleagues on biology of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells,^[21,22] the purification and characterization of mouse hematopoietic cells,^[23] clonal analysis of hematopoietic stem cell differentiation *in vivo*^[24] as also the isolation of a candidate human hematopoietic stem cell population^[25] helped a great deal in understanding the basic biology of hematopoietic stem cells which has important lessons for stem cell biology in general.^[26,27]

Embryonic Stem Cells

The discovery of the embryonic stem cell constitutes one of the greatest achievements of modern biotechnologies. It was observed that, at the blastocyst stage, each embryonic cell is essentially as totipotent as the egg itself. Already in 1981 Evans and Kaufman^[28] achieved establishment in culture of pluripotential cells from mouse embryo. However, it was only in 1998 when Thompson et al. published that they had been able to derive embryonic stem cell lines (ES) from human embryo that the technology attracted global attention.^[17] In the same year it was shown that germ cells isolated from gonads of older human embryos can also give rise to permanent lines of embryonic stem cells. The stem cells that result from germ cell proliferation are designated EG cells in order to distinguish them from the classical ES cells.^[29]

Definitions

Stem cells

Cells able to reproduce themselves throughout the life span of the animal and able to give rise to differentiated cells. They have the ability to divide for indefinite periods in culture and give rise to specialized cells.

Embryonal stem cells

Cell derived from embryo-pre or post implantation-prior to their differentiation into specific cell types.

Totipotent cells

Cells which have the potential to differentiate into derivatives of all three embryonic germ layers i.e. ectoderm, mesoderm and endoderm. In addition they can also specialize into extraembryonic membranes and tissues.

Pluripotent cells

Cells which can give rise to different types of cells representing derivatives of two different germ layers e.g. skin (ectoderm) and muscle (mesoderm).

Neural stem cell

Cells which can generate neural tissue, either one or both neuron and glia, (astrocytes, oligodendrocytes). The term is also used for stem cells derived from embryonic or adult nervous system which normally differentiates into nervous tissue. These cells remain undifferentiated for long periods of time while retaining potentials to differentiate into nervous tissue.

Progenitor cells

Cells with a more restricted potential than a stem cell, and are generally destined to give rise to a specific cell type.

A vast amount of new information has accumulated on human stem cell biology. The generation of human embryonic, fetal and adult stem cell lines has been standardized. It is proposed that the cells obtained from these different sources could contribute different but, perhaps, equally important properties of therapeutic relevance.^[30]

Stem cells from different sources have unique attributes that will differentially affect their suitability for use in therapeutic strategies.

Sources of stem cells

Human embryonic stem cells

Derived from the blastocyst inner cell mass of excess embryos generated by *in vitro* fertilization can provide an unlimited source of cells for transplantation and can be directed into neural precursor which can generate neurons, oligos and glia both in culture and *in-vivo*.^[31-33] Park *et al.* 2005 and Perrier *et al.* 2004^[34,35] demonstrated *in-vitro* and *in-vivo* differentiation of human embryonic stem cells into dopamine neurons. It may be mentioned that over the years, culture conditions that rely on the use of various cytokines and growth factors, have made it possible to induce the differentiation of a high proportion of ES cells into selected cell types such as neurons, pancreatic islet cells, cardiomyocytes etc.^[19]

Fetal neural stem cells

Harvested from the post-mortem human fetal brain maintain a normal karyotype for a significant number of passages in culture and can produce a large number of neurons and astrocytes.^[36] These posses a relatively high proliferative capacity and yet do not generate tumor following transplant. These are really mostly progenitor cells and not true ESCs. Seth at National Brain Research Centre, Manesar has established a fetal brain derived cell culture system to obtain CNS stem/ progenitor cells. These could be selectively differentiated to astrocytes and neurons by providing appropriate growth factors and defined media conditions.

Adult neural stem cells

Contrary to earlier belief neural stem cells persist throughout life, not just in the two now well-known sites of adult neurogenesis e.g. the sub-ventricular zone and hippocampus but also at the other sites.^[37-39] It has been claimed that adult neural stem cells can be harvested from brain tissue, post-mortem or through biopsy and expanded in culture both in rodents and human. Lie *et al.* (2002) described the existence of progenitor cells with neurogenic potential in the adult substantia nigra.^[40] Young and Black (2004) have provided a detailed review of adult stem cells. However, their proliferative capacity is somewhat limited.^[41]

Non-neural adult stem cells

A number of recent studies have challenged the traditional view that stem cells present in somatic tissues are restricted to producing that tissue's cell types. The first such observation was in respect to bone-marrow derived stem cells (BHMSC), which could develop into liver cells^[42,43] muscle,^[44-46] bone^[47] and neural tissue.^[48-51] Woodbury *et al.* (2000)^[52] claimed that adult rat and human bone marrow stromal cell differentiate into neurons. Zhao *et al.* (2002) demonstrated neural differentiation and functional recovery after transplanting human bone marrow stem cells into the ischemic brain of rats.^[53] Sanchez *et al.* (2001) observed expression of neural markers in human umbilical cord blood.^[54]

Recently there was great excitement about the possibility of generating neural progenitor cells from such diverse sources as mesenchymal cells derived from skin, bonemarrow, or adipose tissue.^[55-58] It may be mentioned that reproducible technique to convert them into authentic CNS cells has not yet been accomplished. The skin derived precursors (SKPs) display multi-lineage differentiation potential, producing both neural and mesodermal progeny *in vitro*.

This had led to much sensationalism as evident from such titles of reports as, "Blood to Bone" or "Bone to Brain" etc. However, there are many questions on the validity of a number of these studies, in a large part owing to loose criteria, which have been used to describe 'neural differentiation'.^[59]

However, differentiation of SKPs under neurogenic conditions resulted in the production of cells that fulfil most, but not yet all, criteria for neuronal differentiation.^[60] Neuronal marker studies revealed that SKP-derived neurons are probably peripheral in nature. One type of stem cell that definitely differentiates into mature terminally differentiated and functional neural cells *in vitro* are NSC.^[61-63] Criteria used to define differentiation of NSCs to mature neural cells should be used as the gold standard to gauge whether somatic

stem cells of non-neuronal origin, such as HSCs or MSCs, can give rise to neural progeny. A series of criteria have been described. These include expression of neuronal or glia-specific markers at the RNA or protein level and that the differentiated cells should display function characteristics consistent with neurons and glia such as voltage-gated sodium channels, depolarizing response to neurotransmitters and release of neurotransmitters on depolarization.

However, more recent studies have demonstrated that at least NSC-generated neural progeny have functional characteristics consistent with neurons.^[64,65] Pluchino *et al.* (2003) using syngenic culture derived adult NSCs in an animal model of multiple sclerosis demonstrated promotion of multifocal remyelination.^[66] It needs to be kept in mind that the functional benefit following transplantation of such cells may not be due to integration of donor neural cells but due to trophic effects elaborated by the donor cells that promote endogenous neural cells to repair the deficit.^[67-69]

Induced pluripotent stem cells

In November 2007, two groups headed by James Thompson at the University of Wisconsin-Madison and Shinya Yamanaka and his post doctoral fellow Kazutoshu Takahasi at Kyoto University, Japan, described methods to reprogram adult human cells from skin to a pluripotent state using genetic engineering techniques. These cells, called induced pluripotent stem cells (iPS) were found to be similar to human embryonic stem (ES) cells in morphology, proliferation, surface antigens, gene expression, epigenetic status of pluripotent cell-specific genes and telomerase activity. Further, these cells could differentiate into cell types of the three germ layers.^[70] This technique could help generate patient and disease specific cells, which cannot be generated from ES cells. Excellent reviews on the subject are available.^[71,72] Reprogramming protocols that exclude cancer-associated gene c-myc have been developed.^[73] However, at the moment iPS cells remain a research tool and not a potential therapeutic agent.^[74] David Cyranoski (2008) has pointed out that the greatest challenge still exists: The generation of high-purity, clinically relevant cell populations.^[75] It is not only going to be very time consuming but also extremely costly.

Zhang (2005)^[76] has provided detailed accounts and comparison of methods for neuro-epithelial differentiation from human ES cells. Ideally the method should be simple, efficient, chemically defined, scalable and reproducible, and the end product should be enriched or purified homogeneous neural progenitor population.

Neuroepithelial cells produced from human ES

cells using different methods may appear similar in morphology and expression of certain neural precursor markers. However, they in fact differ significantly from each other depending upon the culture conditions. Carefully designed strategies will be needed in order to direct ES cells to the vast array of neuronal subtypes that are harbored in the primate forebrain.

The ability to differentiate into versatile neuronal subtypes in a neurogenic environment places human ES cell-derived neuroepithelial cells as a useful source of cells for neural replacement therapy in multiple neurological disorders. Recognizing the therapeutic potentials of stem cells a number of public and private organizations like International Stem Cell initiative, UK Stem Cell Bank, ES Cell International in Singapore, Cellartis in Sweden, Millipore in USA have developed ES cell lines to be made available to researchers.^[74]

Despite significant progress in an efficient differentiation of neuroepithelial cells (70-90%), and a few neural subtypes, such as dopamine neurons (approx 30%), spinal motor neurons (approx 20%) and oligodendrocytes more than 90%, protocols for generating many neuronal subtypes needs to be established.

Demonstration of functional integration of human (ES derived) neural cells in the brain is still awaited (our experience with fetal neural transplant-lessons learnt are probably valid for ES derived cells also).^[77] While functional improvement occurs it is obvious that intricate integration in host circuitry was not unequivocally observed. The functional improvement could be partially attributed to protective role of the graft on endogenous neurons. Under most circuitry. As a consequence the release of neurotransmitters is uncontrolled. This may be the reason for dyskinesia observed in patients who received foetal neural transplants as reported by Olanow *et al.*^[78]

Nine myths about stem cells

In a review, Magnus *et al.* (2008) have listed nine common myths that affect our approach to evaluating stem cells for therapy.^[18] These myths include some of the well entrenched beliefs like -

- i) Stem cells are immortal
- ii) Asymmetrical divisions are required to define stem cells
- iii) Stem cells are like fetal cells, only better
- iv) Cells similar to embryonic stem cells exist in adult tissue
- v) Stem cells are more plastic than other cells

- vi) The most primitive stem cell for tissue or organ is the best stem cell to use
- vii) Stem cells can home and migrate to sites of injury
- viii) Stem cells do not provoke an immune response and
- ix) The stem cell therapy for any other organ will mimic strategies used in bone marrow therapy

While in general these beliefs are true to a varying extent but there are a number of observations challenging these.^[49,50,77,79-92]

Other uses of stem cells

Human ES cell-generated neural cells provide a tool for screening pharmaceuticals that may have therapeutic values in neurological disorders as also for toxicity screening and possibly drug discovery. The availability of human ES cells with natural diseases^[90] (Verlinsky *et al.* 2005), via somatic nuclear transfer or through genetic alterations in laboratories, will provide not only disease-specific neural cells for drug screening but also a tool to unveil some fundamental pathological processes underlying individual neurological disorders.

Expansion of HSC

The number of HSC that one can isolate from mobilized blood or from umbilical cord, or from bone marrow limits the full application of HSC transplantation in man. Attempts to expand HSC with the known cytokines, stem cells factor, steel factor (SLF), thrombopoietic (TPO), interleukins 1, 3, 6, 11, plus or minus the myeloerythroid cytokines and erythropoietin have never resulted in a significant expansion of HSC.

Weissman (2007)^[93] reported, "We and others have attempted to reproduce the demonstration of production of critical neurons from marrow or hematopoietic stem cells precursors and have failed". Nevertheless, these experiments have led to extensive clinical trials in humans^[94-97] primarily for myocardial regeneration and more recently for patients with stroke. Unfortunately the claims made in this regard have not been universally accepted.^[98,99] Using strict criteria, the author concluded, "We do not believe there is sufficient evidence for any of the transplant claims of trans-differentiation. In fact, most if not all, reports of donor markers in unexpected tissues are the result of cell fusions and the rarity of cell fusions makes it questionable that such events are regeneration rather than reflect the functions of post-injury phagocyte cells.

In addition to hematopoietic stem cells, the following stem cells have been prospectively isolated to homogeneity: Peripheral nervous system stem cells, central nervous system stem cells.^[100,101]

In the case of human CNS stem cells, extensive experiments of transplanting them into the lateral ventricle, into the brain or into the spinal cord of SCID mice have shown that they contributed in a robust way to engraftment of the neurogenerative cells. These robust regenerations stand in contrast to microglial contributions and the rare Purkinje cell fusion derived from bone marrow and hematopoietic stem cells.

Stem Cell Research in India

Soon after the publication of the paper in Current Science^[17] as Chairman of the Medical Biotechnology Task Force of DBT, a meeting was called to take stock of the interest in the field among biomedical scientists in the country and to prompt them to initiate studies in this emerging field by providing research grants. This had progressively emerged as a major national effort primarily steered by DBT. The key components of the strategy are-creation of centers of excellence (CoE); virtual network of centers; generation of adequate human embryonic stem cell (hESC) lines; human resources development through training; short and long-term overseas fellowships; study the biology of all types of adult stem cells and in parallel evaluate its safety and efficacy in animal models. Over 30 institutions, hospitals and industry are involved in SCR in the country. The government has invested about 8.0 million US\$ for SCR in last two years. Draft guidelines for SCR in the country have been formulated and the same are currently being placed for public debate. The major ongoing programs include among others: Establishment of hESC lines, use of limbal stem cells to repair damaged cornea, isolation, purification and characterization of hematopoietic, mesenchymal and liver stem cells; differentiation of stem cells into neural, cardiac, β cell lineages, etc. Studies have been supported to explore the potential applications of adult stem cells in stroke, cardiac, pancreatic, spinal cord injury, use of lectins for hematopoietic stem cell preservation etc. Reliance Life Sciences, Mumbai has characterized several stem cell lines including two neuronal cell lines, Dopamine producing neurons and neurons for patients of stroke. One cell line has been deposited in the National Center for Cell Science (NCCS), Pune. Interaction between clinicians and basic scientists already exists in several centers in India such as the Christian Medical College, Vellore; L.V. Prasad Eye Institute (LVPEI), Hyderabad; National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences (NIMHANS), Bangalore, All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), New Delhi. At CMC, Vellore a technology has been established for collection, isolation and purification of HSC for haploidentical hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. The first, haploidentical

hematopoietic transplantation was carried out at CMC, Vellore in April 2003.

The phase I multi-centric clinical trial and a pilot study using bone marrow mononuclear cells have been initiated in the country for myocardial infarction and stroke, respectively. A 'CMC-DBT Center for Stem Cell Research' has been created at CMC, Vellore. In India, some companies have started establishing the repositories of cord blood banking. Reliance Life Sciences, Mumbai, has a repository of 3,000 cord blood samples. Life Cell is a Chennai-based company and has a license agreement and knowledge-sharing tie up with Cyro-Cell International, USA. They have a repository of 1,000 cord blood samples and are offering to preserve stem cells for 30 years. The Department of Biotechnology, Ministry of Science and Technology and Indian Council of Medical Research have formulated draft guidelines for SCR in India jointly. National Brain Research Centre, Manesar has actively pursued basic science investigations on both commercial ES cells and neural precursor cells derived from human abortuses [Table 1].

Neural progenitor cells

The pre-requisite of a purified, continuous and sufficient population of well characterized human neural precursor cells (hNPCs) with ability to differentiate into glial cells and neurons remains the biggest hurdle. Several investigators claim success in isolation of purified population of hNPCs, but the procedure is typically difficult with ill-defined protocols. A detailed description of protocols for isolation, expansion and differentiation of hNPCs as well as characterization of glial and neuronal cells differentiated from hNPCs is largely unavailable. Seth and his team at National Brain Research Centre, Manesar has designed a standardized three week protocol that describes an ethically approved stepwise process for isolation, maintenance, expansion, differentiation and characterization of undifferentiated as well as differentiated hNPCs from human fetal brain samples collected from aborted material. Their work has yielded a unique resource in the country of human neural stem cells that can be used for various basic and transplantation studies.

Use of *ex-vivo* expanded stem cells has been identified as new drug as per FDA, USA, i.e. investigational new drug (IND). This would require information about the source, number, purity, appropriate stage, optimum condition and criteria for harvesting stem cells; also standardization of doses in terms of concentration and number of stem cells for each application and minimal manipulation of cells for clinical use. Good animal models are required to address the issues of safety and efficacy before attempting clinical applications of stem cells.

Tandon: Neural transplantation and stem cell research

Embryonic stem cell research	National Institute for Research in Reproductive Health, Mumbai
	National Centre for Biological Sciences, Bangalore
	National Centre for Cell Sciences, Pune
	National Brain Research Centre, Manesar
	Rajiv Gandhi Centre for Biotechnology, Thiruvanthapuram
	Centre for Human Genetics, Bangalore
	 Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research, Bangalore
Hematopotic stem cells and bone marrow mononuclear cells	Christian Medical College, Vellore
	Sanjay Gandhi Post Graduate Institute for Medical Sciences, Lucknow
	 Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh
	Manipal Hospital, Bangalore
	All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi
	National Centre for Cell Sciences, Pune
	National Institute of Immunology, New Delhi
	Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore
	Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi
	Research and Referral Hospital, New Delhi
Limbal stem cells	L.V. Prasad Eye Institute, Hyderabad
	R.P. Centre, AlIMS, New Delhi
	Regional Institute of Opthalmology, Kolkata
Neural stem cells	National Brain Research Centre, Manesar
	 National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences, Bangalore
	National Centre for Cell Sciences, Pune
	University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad
Mesenchymal stem cells	Christian Medical College, Vellore
	Sanjay Gandhi Post Graduate Institute for Medical Sciences, Lucknow
	Manipal Hospital, Bangalore
Liver stem cells	Centre for Liver Research and Diagnostics, Hyderabad
	Centre for DNA Fingerprinting and Diagnostics, Hyderabad
Pancreatic progenitor cells	National Institute of Nutrition, Hyderabad
	National Centre for Cell Science, Pune
Cardiac stem cells	Sree Chitra Tirunal Institute for Medical Sciences and Technology, Thiruvanthapuram
Muscle stem cells	Centre for Cellular and Molecular Biology, Hyderabad
Cancer stem cells	Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore
CMC-DBT centre for stem cell research	Christian Medical College, Vellore
Stem cell research facilities	 Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh
Clean room facilities for stem cell research	 Sanjay Gandhi Post Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow
	KEM Hospital, Mumbai
	L.V. Prasad Eye Institute, Hyderabad
Cord blood bank	Reliance Life Sciences, Mumbai
	Life Cell, Chennai

Source : (information provided by Dr. Alka Sharma, Joint Director, Department of Biotechnology, Government of India)

The basic requirements for clinical trial are: Adequate infrastructure, i.e. good manufacturing practices (GMP), clinical grade reagents, trained manpower, proper documentation, standard operating procedures (SOPs), quality control etc.

Acknowledgment

The author acknowledges the help provided by Dr. (Mrs.) Alka Sharma of the Department of Biotechnology, Government of India, Dr. Pankaj Seth and Dr. Shyamala Mani of National Brain Research Centre, Manesar in preparation of this review.

References

- 1. Thompson G. An Hypothesis for the So-called Encroachments of the Sea upon the Land. Science 1890;15:333.
- 2. Das GD, Altman J. Studies on the transplantation of developing neural tissue in the mammalian brain. I. Transplantation of cerebellar slabs into the cerebellum of neonate rats. Brain Res 1972;38:233-49.
- 3. Björklund A, Katzman R, Stenevi U, West KA. Development and growth

of axonal sprouts from noradrenaline and 5-hydroxytryptamine neurones in the rat spinal cord. Brain Res 1971;31:21-33.

- Björklund A, Segal M, Stenevi U. Functional reinnervation of rat hippocampus by locus coeruleus implants. Brain Res 1979;170:409-26.
- Björklund A, Stenevi U. Reconstruction of the nigrostriatal dopamine pathway by intracerebral nigral transplants. Brain Res 1979;177:555-60.
- Björklund A, Dunnett SB, Stenevi U, Lewis ME, Iversen SD. Reinnervation of the denervated striatum by substantia nigra transplants: Functional consequences as revealed by pharmacological and sensorimotor testing. Brain Res 1980;199:307-33.
- Olson L. Fluorescence histochemical evidence for axonal growth and secretion from transplanted adrenal medullary tissue. Histochemie 1970;22:1-7.
- 8. Lund RD, Hauschka SD. Transplanted neural tissue develops connections with host rat brain. Science 1976;193:582-4.
- 9. Tandon P. Neural Transplantation: Promises and problems. Proc Indian Natl Sci Acad 1992;B58:1-16.
- Backlund EO, Granberg PO, Hamberger B, Knutsson E, Mårtensson A, Sedvall G, et al. Transplantation of adrenal medullary tissue to striatum in parkinsonism. First elinical trials. J Neurosurg 1985;62:169-73.
- Madrazo I, Drucker-Colín R, Díaz V, Martínez-Mata J, Torres C, Becerril JJ. Open microsurgical autograft of adrenal medulla to the right caudate nucleus in two patients with intractable Parkinson's disease. N Engl J Med 1987;316:831-4.
- 12. Gopinath G, Shetty AK, Tandon PN. Ageing changes in the transplants

of fetal substantia nigra grafted to striatum of adult rat. Neuroscience 1991;40:429-43.

- 13.Madrazo I, Franco-Bourland R, Aguilera M, Ostrosky-Solis F, Cuevas C, Castreión H. et al. Development of human neural transplantation. Neurosurgery 1991;29:165-76 discussion 176-67.
- 14. Peterson DI, Price ML, Small CS. Autopsy findings in a patient who had an adrenal-to-brain transplant for Parkinson's disease. Neurology 1989:39:235-8
- Tandon PN. Clinical use of neural transplant: The unresolved problems 15.in Neural Transplantation, CNS Neuronal Injury and Regeneration Recent Advances(eds). Florida: Marwah J, Teitelbaum H, Prasad KN CRS Press, 1994.
- 16. Flax JD, Aurora S, Yang C, Simonin C, Wills AM, Billinghurst LL, et al. Engraftable human neural stem cells respond to developmental cues, replace neurons, and express foreign genes. Nat Biotechnol 1998;16:1033-9.
- 17. Tandon P. Neural stem cell research: A revolution in the making. Curr Sci 2001;80:507-14.
- Magnus T, Liu Y, Parker GC, Rao MS. Stem cell myths. Philos Trans R Soe Lond B Biol Sci 2008;363:9-22.
- 19. Le Douarin N. Introduction in stem cell technology and other innovative therapies. Vetican City: Scripta Varia, The Pontifical Academy of Sciences, 2007.
- 20.Till JE, McCulloch EA. Hemopoietic stem cell differentiation. Biochem Biophys Acta 1980;605:431-59
- 21.Ezine S, Weissman IL, Rouse RV. Bone marrow cells give rise to distinct cell clones within the thymus. Nature 1984;309:629-31.
- Muller-Sieburg CE, Whitlock CA, Weissman IL. Isolation of two 22.early B lymphocyte progenitors from mouse marrow: A committed pre-pre-B cell and a clonogenic Thy-1-lo hematopoietic stem cell. Cell 1986;44:653-62.
- 23. Spangrude GJ, Heimfeld S, Weissman IL. Purification and characterization of mouse hematopoietic stem cells. Science 1988;241:58-62.
- Smith LG, Weissman IL, Heimfeld S. Clonal analysis of hematopoietic 24. stem-cell differentiation in vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1991;88:2788-92.
- 25. Baum CM, Weissman IL, Tsukamoto AS, Buckle AM, Peault B, Isolation of a candidate human hematopoietic stem-cell population. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1992;89:2804-8.
- 26 Weissman IL. Translating stem and progenitor cell biology to the clinic: Barriers and opportunities. Science 2000;287:1442-6.
- 27. Manz M, Akashi K, Weissman IL. In:Biology of hematopoietic cell and progenitor cells in Thomas hematopoietic cell transplantation third ed: Blackwell Publishing, 2004.
- Evans MJ, Kaufman MH. Establishment in culture of pluripotential 28.cells from mouse embryos. Nature 1981;292:154-6.
- 29.Shamblott MJ, Axelman J, Wang S, Bugg EM, Littlefield JW, Donovan PJ, et al. Derivation of pluripotent stem cells from cultured human primordial germ cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1998;95:13726-31.
- 30. Ormerod BK, Palmer TD, Caldwell MA. Neurodegeneration and cell replacement. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 2008;363:153-70.
- 31. Tabar V, Panagiotakos G, Greenberg ED, Chan BK, Sadelain M, Gutin PH, et al. Migration and differentiation of neural precursors derived from human embryonic stem cells in the rat brain. Nat Biotechnol 2005;23:601-6.
- 32.Keirstead HS, Nistor G, Bernal G, Totoiu M, Cloutier F, Sharp K, et al. Human embryonic stem cell-derived oligodendrocyte progenitor cell transplants remyelinate and restore locomotion after spinal cord injury. J Neurosci 2005:25:4694-705.
- 33 Zhang SC, Wernig M, Duncan ID, Brüstle O, Thomson JA. In vitro differentiation of transplantable neural precursors from human embryonic stem cells. Nat Biotechnol 2001;19:1129-33.
- 34. Park CH, Minn YK, Lee JY, Choi DH, Chang MY, Shim JW, et al. In vitro and in vivo analyses of human embryonic stem cell-derived dopamine neurons. J Neurochem 2005;92:1265-76.
- 35. Perrier AL, Tabar V, Barberi T, Rubio ME, Bruses J, Topf N, et al. Derivation of midbrain dopamine neurons from human embryonic stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004;101:12543-8.
- Svendsen CN, ter Borg MG, Armstrong RJ, Rosser AE, Chandran S, 36.

- Ostenfeld T, et al. A new method for the rapid and long term growth of human neural precursor cells. J Neurosci Methods 1998;85:141-52.
- 37. Gage FH, Coates PW, Palmer TD, Kuhn HG, Fisher LJ, Suhonen JO, et al. Survival and differentiation of adult neuronal progenitor cells transplanted to the adult brain. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1995;92:11879-83.
- 38.McKay R. Stem cells in the central nervous system. Science 1997;276:66-71.
- 39.Gage FH. Brain, repair yourself. Sci Am 2003; 289: 46-53.
- 40. Lie DC, Dziewczapolski G, Willhoite AR, Kaspar BK, Shults CW, Gage FH. The adult substantia nigra contains progenitor cells with neurogenic potential. J Neurosci 2002;22:6639-49.
- 41. Young HE, Black AC Jr. Adult stem cells. Anat Rec A Discov Mol Cell Evol Biol 2004;276:75-102.
- 42. Petersen BE, Bowen WC, Patrene KD, Mars WM, Sullivan AK, Murase N, et al. Bone marrow as a potential source of hepatic oval cells. Science 1999;284:1168-70.
- 43. Grompe M. The role of bone marrow stem cells in liver regeneration. Semin Liver Dis 2003;23:363-72.
- Ferrari G, Cusella-De Angelis G, Coletta M, Paolucci E, Stornaiuolo A, 44. Cossu G, et al. Muscle regeneration by bone marrow-derived myogenic progenitors. Science 1998;279:1528-30.
- 45. LaBarge MA, Blau HM. Biological progression from adult bone marrow to mononucleate muscle stem cell to multinucleate muscle fiber in response to injury. Cell 2002;111:589-601.
- 46. Palermo AT, Labarge MA, Doyonnas R, Pomerantz J, Blau HM. Bone marrow contribution to skeletal muscle: A physiological response to stress. Dev Biol 2005;279:336-44.
- 47. Olmsted-Davis EA, Gugala Z, Camargo F, Gannon FH, Jackson K, Kienstra KA, et al. Primitive adult hematopoietic stem cells can function as osteoblast precursors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003;100:15877-82.
- Weimann JM, Charlton CA, Brazelton TR, Hackman RC, Blau HM. 48.Contribution of transplanted bone marrow cells to Purkinje neurons in human adult brains. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003;100:2088-93.
- 49.Mezey E, Chandross KJ, Harta G, Maki RA, McKercher SR. Turning blood into brain: Cells bearing neuronal antigens generated in vivo from bone marrow. Science 2000;290:1779-82.
- 50.Brazelton TR, Rossi FM, Keshet GI, Blau HM. From marrow to brain: Expression of neuronal phenotypes in adult mice. Science 2000;290;1775-9.
- 51. Cogle CR, Yachnis AT, Laywell ED, Zander DS, Wingard JR, Steindler DA, et al. Bone marrow transdifferentiation in brain after transplantation: A retrospective study. Lancet 2004;363:1432-7.
- 52.Woodbury D, Schwarz EJ, Prockop DJ, Black IB. Adult rat and human bone marrow stromal cells differentiate into neurons. J Neurosci Res 2000;61:364-70.
- Zhao LR, Duan WM, Reyes M, Keene CD, Verfaillie CM, Low WC. 53.Human bone marrow stem cells exhibit neural phenotypes and ameliorate neurological deficits after grafting into the ischemic brain of rats. Exp Neurol 2002;174:11-20.
- Sanchez-Ramos JR, Song S, Kamath SG, Zigova T, Willing A, 54.Cardozo-Pelaez F, et al. Expression of neural markers in human umbilical cord blood. Exp Neurol 2001;171:109-15.
- 55. Joannides A, Gaughwin P, Schwiening C, Majed H, Sterling J, Compston A, et al. Efficient generation of neural precursors from adult human skin: Astrocytes promote neurogenesis from skin-derived stem cells. Lancet 2004;364:172-8.
- 56Crain BJ, Tran SD, Mezey E. Transplanted human bone marrow cells generate new brain cells. J Neurol Sci 2005;233:121-3.
- Kokai LE, Rubin JP, Marra KG. The potential of adipose-derived adult 57.stem cells as a source of neuronal progenitor cells. Plast Reconstr Surg 2005;116:1453-60.
- 58.Toma JG, McKenzie IA, Bagli D, Miller FD. Isolation and characterization of multipotent skin-derived precursors from human skin. Stem Cells 2005;23:727-37.
- 59.Vogel G. Developmental biology. More data but no answers on powers of adult stem cells. Science 2004:305:27.
- Fernandes KJ, Kobayashi NR, Gallagher CJ, Barnabé-Heider F, 60. Aumont A, Kaplan DR, et al. Analysis of the neurogenic potential of multipotent skin-derived precursors. Exp Neurol 2006;201:32-48.
- 61. Palmer TD, Takahashi J, Gage FH. The adult rat hippocampus contains

primordial neural stem cells. Mol Cell Neurosci 1997;8:389-404.

- Song H, Stevens CF, Gage FH. Astroglia induce neurogenesis from adult neural stem cells. Nature 2002;417:39-44.
- Seaberg RM, van der Kooy D. Stem and progenitor cells: The premature desertion of rigorous definitions. Trends Neurosci 2003;26:125-31.
- 64. Dezawa M, Kanno H, Hoshino M, Cho H, Matsumoto N, Itokazu Y, et al. Specific induction of neuronal cells from bone marrow stromal cells and application for autologous transplantation. J Clin Invest 2004;113:1701-10.
- Wislet-Gendebien S, Hans G, Leprince P, Rigo JM, Moonen G, Rogister B. Plasticity of cultured mesenchymal stem cells: Switch from nestin-positive to excitable neuron-like phenotype. Stem Cells 2005;23:392-402.
- Pluchino S, Quattrini A, Brambilla E, Gritti A, Salani G, Dina G, et al. Injection of adult neurospheres induces recovery in a chronic model of multiple sclerosis. Nature 2003;422:688-94.
- Lu P, Jones LL, Snyder EY, Tuszynski MH. Neural stem cells constitutively secrete neurotrophic factors and promote extensive host axonal growth after spinal cord injury. Exp Neurol 2003;181:115-29.
- Chopp M, Li Y. Treatment of neural injury with marrow stromal cells. Lancet Neurol 2002;1:92-100.
- Yan J, Welsh AM, Bora SH, Snyder EY, Koliatsos VE. Differentiation and tropic/trophic effects of exogenous neural precursors in the adult spinal cord. J Comp Neurol 2004;480:101-14.
- Takahashi K, Okita K, Nakagawa M, Yamanaka S. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from fibroblast cultures. Nat Protoc 2007;2:3081-9.
- Yamanaka S. Strategies and new developments in the generation of patient-specific pluripotent stem cells. Cell Stem Cell 2007;1:39-49.
- Jaenisch R, Young R. Stem cells, the molecular circuitry of pluripotency and nuclear reprogramming. Cell 2008;132:567-582.
- 73. Costello JF. Stem cells: Tips for priming potency. Nature 2008;454:45-6.
- 74. Blow N. Stem cells: In search of common ground. Nature 2008;451:855-8.
- Cyranoski D. Stem cells:5 things to know before jumping on the iPS bandwagon. Nature 2008;452:406-8.
- Zhang SC. Neural Specification from human embryonic stem cells. New York, NY: BIOS Scientific, 2005.
- 77. Englund U, Fricker-Gates RA, Lundberg C, Björklund A, Wictorin K. Transplantation of human neural progenitor cells into the neonatal rat brain: Extensive migration and differentiation with long-distance axonal projections. Exp Neurol 2002;173:1-21.
- Olanow CW, Goetz CG, Kordower JH, Stoessl AJ, Sossi V, Brin MF, et al. A double-blind controlled trial of bilateral fetal nigral transplantation in Parkinson's disease. Ann Neurol 2003;54:403-14.
- Akiyama Y, Radtke C, Kocsis JD. Remyelination of the rat spinal cord by transplantation of identified bone marrow stromal cells. J Neurosci 2002;22:6623-30.
- Morshead CM, Benveniste P, Iscove NN, van der Kooy D. Hematopoietic competence is a rare property of neural stem cells that may depend on genetic and epigenetic alterations. Nat Med 2002;8:268-73.
- Cao Q, Benton RL, Whittemore SR. Stem cell repair of central nervous system injury. J Neurosci Res 2002;68:501-10.
- Kassem M, Kristiansen M, Abdallah BM. Mesenchymal stem cells: Cell biology and potential use in therapy. Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol 2004;95:209-14.
- Bailey KJ, Maslov AY, Pruitt SC. Accumulation of mutations and somatic selection in aging neural stem/progenitor cells. Aging Cell 2004;3:391-7.

- Kopen GC, Prockop DJ, Phinney DG. Marrow stromal cells migrate throughout forebrain and cerebellum, and they differentiate into astrocytes after injection into neonatal mouse brains. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1999;96:10711-6.
- Galli R, Borello U, Gritti A, Minasi MG, Bjornson C, Coletta M, et al. Skeletal myogenic potential of human and mouse neural stem cells. Nat Neurosci 2000;3:986-91.
- Wagers AJ, Sherwood RI, Christensen JL, Weissman IL. Little evidence for developmental plasticity of adult hematopoietic stem cells. Science 2002;297:2256-9.
- Leehner A, Yang YG, Blacken RA, Wang L, Nolan AL, Habener JF. No evidence for significant transdifferentiation of bone marrow into pancreatic beta-cells *in vivo*. Diabetes 2004;53:616-23.
- Al Nimer F, Wennersten A, Holmin S, Meijer X, Wahlberg L, Mathiesen T. MHC expression after human neural stem cell transplantation to brain contused rats. Neuroreport 2004;15:1871-5.
- Luskin MB. Restricted proliferation and migration of postnatally generated neurons derived from the forebrain subventricular zone. Neuron 1993;11:173-89.
- Verlinsky Y, Strelchenko N, Kukharenko V, Rechitsky S, Verlinsky O, Galat V, et al. Human embryonic stem cell lines with genetic disorders. Reprod Biomed Online 2005;10:105-10.
- Hofstetter CP, Schwarz EJ, Hess D, Widenfalk J, El Manira A, Prockop DJ, et al. Marrow stromal cells from guiding strands in the injured spinal cord and promote recovery. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2002;99:2199-204.
- Bjornson CR, Rietze RL, Reynolds BA, Magli MC, Vescovi AL. Turning brain into blood: A Haematopoietic fate adopted by neural stem cells in vivo. Science 1999;283:534-7.
- Weissman I. Stem cell lesson from the past, lesson for the future. In Stem Cell Technology and other Innovatine Therapies. Pontifical Acad Sci 2007;49-88.
- Orlie D, Kajstura J, Chimenti S, Jakoniuk I, Anderson SM, Li B, et al. Bone marrow cells regenerate infarcted myocardium. Nature 2001;410:701-5.
- Stamm C, Westphal B, Kleine HD, Petzsch M, Kittner C, Klinge H, et al. Autologous bone-marrow stem-cell transplantation for myocardial regeneration. Lancet 2003;361:45-6.
- Bick-Forrester J, Lee MS, Makkar RR, Forrester JS. Partial restoration of myocardial function and perfusion by cell therapy following myocardial infarction. Curr Opin Cardiol 2004;19:631-7.
- 97. Venugopal P. In. (Personal Communication)
- Bel A, Messas E, Agbulut O, Richard P, Samuel JL, Bruneval P, et al. Transplantation of autologous fresh bone marrow into infarcted myocardium: A word of caution. Circulation 2003;108: II247-52.
- Balsam LB, Wagers AJ, Christensen JL, Kofidis T, Weissman IL, Robbins RC. Haematopoietic stem cells adopt mature haematopoietic fates in ischaemic myocardium. Nature 2004;428:668-73.
- 100. Uchida N, Buck DW, He D, Reitsma MJ, Masek M, Phan TV, et al. Direct isolation of human central nervous system stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2000;97:14720-5.
- 101. Jiang Y, Jahagirdar BN, Reinhardt RL, Schwartz RE, Keene CD, Ortiz-Gonzalez XR, et al. Pluripotency of mesenchymal stem cells derived from adult marrow. Nature 2002;418:41-9.

Accepted on 15-12-2009 Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.