In vitro and in vivo activity of meglumine antimoniate produced at Farmanguinhos-Fiocruz, Brazil, against Leishmania (Leishmania) amazonensis, L (L.) chagasi and L (Viannia) braziliensis
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The leishmanicidal activity of four batches of meglumine antimoniate, produced in Farmanguinhos-Fiocruz, Brazil (TAMs), was assessed and compared to Glucantime®-Aventis Pharma Ltd. Using the amastigote-like in vitro model, the active concentrations of Sb⁵⁺ varied from 10µg/ml to 300 µg/ml for L. (L.) chagasi and from 50µg/ml to 300µg/ml for L. (L.) amazonensis, with no statistically significant differences among the four batches of TAMs and Glucantime®. The inhibitory concentrations (IC₅₀) determined by the amastigote-infected macrophage model for TAM01/03 and Glucantime® were, respectively: 26.3µg/ml and 127.6µg/ml for L. chagasi, 15.4µg /ml and 22.9µg/ml for L. amazonensis, and 12.1µg/ml and 24.2µg/ml for L. (V.) braziliensis. The activities of the four batches of TAMs were confirmed in an in vivo model by assessing, during eight weeks skin lesions caused by L. braziliensis in hamster that were treated with 20mg Sb⁵⁺/Kg/day for 30 consecutive days. The meglumine antimoniate produced by Farmanguinhos was as effective as the reference drug, Glucantime®-Aventis, against three species of Leishmania that are of medical importance in Brazil.
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The protozoan parasite Leishmania causes a variety of clinical diseases that afflict 12 million people worldwide. Organic salts of pentavalent antimony have been used for the treatment of all clinical forms of leishmaniasis for more than 60 years. Antimonials are thought to act by inhibiting the enzymes of glycolysis and other metabolic pathways (Berman 1988). Two formulations of pentavalent antimonials are currently used: sodium stibogluconate (Pentostam®) and meglumine antimoniate (Glucantime®). Both treatments are given intravenously or intramuscularly and demonstrate similar efficacy when used in equivalent doses. The Brazilian Ministry of Health recommends a once-daily injection of 20mg Sb⁵⁺/Kg for 20 to 40 days for the treatment of visceral leishmaniasis (VL) and 15mg/Kg/day for 20 days for the treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis (MS 2006a, b). The cost per treatment, including delivery and clinical monitoring, reaches approximately US$ 200. This is a relatively high expenditure for public health as about 38,000 patients are treated yearly. The cost of Glucantime® is about US$80, depending on the clinical form and schedule. Cheaper generic formulations of sodium stibogluconate have recently become available. Studies conducted in the Sudan, Kenya, Ethiopia, Bolivia, and Colombia showed that generic sodium stibogluconate (Albert David Ltd, Calcutta, India) was equivalent to Pentostam® and Glucantime® for the treatment of leishmaniasis in terms of both safety and efficacy (Veeken et al. 2000, Moore et al. 2001, Ritmeijer et al. 2001, Soto et al. 2004, Bermúdez et al. 2006). The reported cost of the generic pentavalent antimony stibogluconate is approximately 7% that of Pentostam® (~ US$ 13/treatment; Ritmeijer et al. 2001), and 20% that of meglumine antimoniate in the form of Glucantime® (Soto et al. 2004).

In 2002, due to the menace of an unsupplied market of meglumine antimoniate, Farmanguinhos-Fiocruz (TAMs), a pharmaceutical company that belongs to the Brazilian Ministry of Health, developed an alternative process to produce this API (Active Pharmaceutical Ingredient) in its R&D laboratory. This study presents the evaluation of the activity of the TAMs against the Leishmania species of medical importance in Brazil, compared to the reference drug, Glucantime®-Aventis Pharma.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The leishmanicidal activities of four batches of TAMs were assessed and compared to Glucantime®-Aventis using the amastigote-like in vitro model. Next, the inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) of one of the batches of meglumine antimoniate (TAM 01/03-Farmanguinhos) was determined using the amastigote-infected macrophage model. Additionally, an in vivo study was conducted to evaluate the efficacy of the four batches of TAMs against L. (Viannia) braziliensis.

Drugs - Four batches of TAMs were prepared in the R&D laboratories of Farmanguinhos-Fiocruz, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil (batches: TAM 01/03, TAM 02/03, TAM
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03/03 and TAM 021/02) according to Gailliot (1941). An alternative one-pot procedure was employed, and synthesis was initiated using N-methylglucamine (USP CAS 6.284-40-8 Spectrum Chemical Mfg. Corp. USA, lot PG 0147) in aqueous diethyl-amine in the presence of antimony pentachloride (Chemical & Metal Industries, Inc, USA). The target compound was then precipitated antimony pentachloride in aqueous diethylamine in the presence of CAS 6.284-40-8 Spectrum Chemical Mfg. Corp. USA, synthesis was initiated using N-methylglucamine (USP 03/03 and TAM 021/02) according to Gailliot (1941). Glucantime®-Aventis Pharma Brazil (300mg/ml, 81mg/ml Sbv-batch 300063) and Amphotericin B (AmB) deoxycholate (Fungizone®-Bristol-Meyers Squibb Pharmaceuticals Ltda, Bedford, USA) were used as reference and control drugs, respectively.

For the in vitro assays, the four batches of TAMs were suspended in deionized water at 80°C and sterilized by passage through 0.2 µm membrane filters. AmB was reconstituted by rapidly adding 10 ml of water into the lyophilized cake and shaking the vial until the colloidal suspension became clear, as per the manufacturer's instructions. All subsequent dilutions were prepared in fresh RPMI 1640 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) culture medium on the day of the assay. Glucantime® and TAMs were stored at room temperature in the dark until use. AmB was maintained at 2-8°C and was used for a maximum of 30 days (manufacturer’s instructions).

**Parasites and cultivation of amastigote-like forms - Leishmania (Leishmania) chagasi strain HMOM/BR/70/BH46, L. (Leishmania) amazonensis strain IFLA/BR/1967/PH-8, and L. braziliensis strain WHO-MHOM/BR/75/M2903 were used throughout the study. The strains were maintained by successive passages in golden hamsters (Mesocricetus auratus) and amastigotes were harvested from spleen (L. chagasi) or skin lesions (L. amazonensis and L. braziliensis) of infected animals.**

Amastigote-like forms were transformed from stationary-phase promastigotes cultivated in Schneider’s insect medium (SIGMA-ALDRICH, St. Louis, USA) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS; Gibco, Grand Island, USA) at pH 7.2, 26°C. Promastigotes were centrifuged (10 min/1000 g), resuspended in Schneider’s medium, and transformed into amastigote-like forms according to Teixeira el al. (2002), with modifications: L. chagasi, 35°C, pH 7.2, 10% FCS, for 6 days; L. amazonensis, 32°C, pH 6.0, 5% FCS, for 7 days; and L. braziliensis, 32°C, pH 6.0, 5% FCS, for 5 days. These parameters yielded the highest transformation rates as assessed by previous comparisons using different culturing conditions for each species (data not shown).

**Amastigote-like model for L. chagasi and L. amazonensis - The leishmanicidal activities of the four batches of TAMs were tested by using the amastigote-like model. The amastigote-like forms were added to the Schneider’s medium in concentrations of 5x10⁷/ml for L. chagasi, and 2x10⁷/ml for L. amazonensis and incubated with TAM 01/03, TAM 02/03, TAM 03/03, TAM 021/02 and Glucantime® (concentrations of Sb²⁻: 5, 10, 50, 150 and 300 µg/ml) and AmB (0.2 µg/ml). Samples were seeded into 96-well flat-bottom microtrays, in triplicate, and were incubated at 35°C or 32°C. After 72h, parasites were counted using a Neubauer™ chamber. Three independent experiments were performed in triplicate on different days. The number of parasites counted in wells without drug was set as 100% parasite survival (parasite controls).**

Amastigote-macrophage assay for L. chagasi, L. amazonensis, and L. braziliensis - Balb/c mice were injected intraperitoneally with 1.5 ml of 3% tioglicolate medium (Biorás, Brazil). After 96 h, the peritoneal macrophages were harvested by peritoneal lavage using cold RPMI-1640 medium. Cells were counted, centrifuged, and resuspended at a concentration of 4x10⁴/ml in RPMI-1640 medium without supplements. Sterile round glass coverslips (13 mm) were placed in each well of 24-well culture plates. Macrophages were pipetted in a volume of 500 µl/well and allowed to attach to the coverslips for 2 h at 37°C in 5% CO₂. After 2 h, the medium was removed from the wells and replaced with 500 µl of warm (37°C) RPMI containing 10% FCS and penicillin (50 U/ml) and streptomycin (50 µg/ml). The following day, a suspension of 4x10⁴ amastigote-like L. chagasi, L. amazonensis, or L. braziliensis was added to each well in 500 µl of RPMI (macrophage:parasite ratio of 1:10). The plates were incubated for 4 h at 37°C in 5% CO₂, and the medium was aspirated to remove free-floating parasites. Fresh RPMI (1 ml) with or without the drugs TAM 01/03, Glucantime®, or AmB (0.2µg/ml) at the appropriate concentration of Sb²⁻ (250µg to 7.8µg for L. chagasi and 40µg to 1.2µg for L. amazonensis and L. braziliensis) was added to wells in triplicate. The plates were incubated for 72 h at 37°C in 5% CO₂. The medium was aspirated and the coverslips were removed, air-dried, and glued to microscope slides. After staining with Giemsa, the cells were counted. The assays were considered valid if at least 80% of the macrophages in the control wells were infected. Three independent experiments in triplicate were performed for each concentration to determine the meglumine antimoniate efficacy. The results are presented as the ratio of infected (number of amastigotes) proportions between treated and non-treated macrophage cultures.

**In vivo evaluation: golden hamster model for L. braziliensis - WHO-MHOM/BR/75/M2903 L. braziliensis was isolated from fragments of cutaneous lesions present in the paws of previously infected hamsters. The fragments were excised, macerated in saline solution, and quantified in a Neubauer™ chamber. Fifty-four male hamsters, weighing 100 to 110 g, were infected with L. braziliensis amastigotes (8x10⁴/100 µl) by subcutaneous injection in the hind footpad. Treatment by intramuscular injection of each formulation of TAMs (20 mg Sb²⁻/kg/day) was initiated five days after infection and was given over the course of 30 consecutive days as follows: TAM 01/03 (group B), TAM 02/03 (group C), TAM 03/03 (group D), TAM 021/02 (group E), Glucantime® (drug-reference group F). Group A is the untreated control group, which received intramuscular injections of distilled sterile water. The lesions were examined weekly for eight weeks by measuring the size of the infected footpad with a vernier caliper. The animals were euthanized 30 days after the end of the treatment.
Data analysis - The data were processed using MINITAB V. 13.1 or Graph Prism 4 software. The active drug concentrations were compared by analysis of variance (ANOVA) or Kruskal-Wallis tests, depending on the distribution of the variables and paired comparisons done by the Dunn’s or Tukey tests. IC₅₀ values were calculated by linear regression analysis (MINITAB V. 13.1) or linear interpolation (Microsoft Office Excel 2003) (Huber & Koella 1993). Linear regression was used when the distribution was normal (parametric method) and linear interpolation (non-parametric) was applied when the distribution was not normal.

Ethics - Animals were handled according to local and federal regulations, and the research protocols were approved by the Fiocruz Committee on Animal Research (protocol P-0321/06; licence L-0024/8).

RESULTS

Activity of the four batches of TAMs using the amastigote-like model - The leishmanicidal activities against amastigote-like forms of L. chagasi and L. amazonensis of the four batches of TAMs, as well as meglumine antimoniate, Glucantime®-Aventis, AmB, and the control, are shown in Fig. 1. Activity was detected for concentrations that varied from 10 µg/ml to 300 µg/ml for L. chagasi (Fig. 1A) and from 50 µg/ml to 300 µg/ml for L. amazonensis (Fig. 1B). No statistically significant differences were observed among the four batches of TAMs at any concentration. The mean value of parasite inhibition observed with the control drug (0.2 µg/ml AmB) was 94.6% for L. chagasi and 80% for L. amazonensis.

Activity of TAMs using the amastigote-infected macrophage model - Peritoneal macrophages infected with amastigote-like forms of L. chagasi, L. amazonensis, and L. braziliensis were treated with different concentrations of Glucantime® and one batch of TAMs: TAM 01/03. The Table summarizes the comparative inhibitory concentrations.

In the amastigote intracellular assay of L. chagasi, the IC₅₀ was 26.3 µg/ml for TAM 01/03 and 127.6 µg/ml (CI = 106.7-148.9 µg/ml) for Glucantine®, respectively, as determined by linear interpolation and linear regression (r² = 75.8%). These IC₅₀ values were statistically different (p = 0.0000), with the IC₅₀ of TAM 01/03 lower than that observed for Glucantine®. The IC₅₀ values of 15.4 µg/ml (CI = 10.1-20.7 µg/ml) for TAM 01/03 and 22.9 µg/ml (CI = 18.2-27.5 µg/ml) for Glucantine® for the activity against intracellular amastigotes of L. amazonensis, were determined by linear regression (r² = 67.0% and 83.7%). No statistical difference was observed between these IC₅₀ values (p = 0.092). The IC₅₀ values for L. braziliensis were 12.1 µg/ml (CI = 8.6-15.6 µg/ml) for TAM 01/03 and 24.2 µg/ml (CI = 21.1-27.4 µg/ml) for Glucantine®, both of which were estimated by linear regression (r² = 85.3% and 92.9%). These values were statistically different (p = 0.021), with the lower IC₅₀ value for TAM 01/03.

Comparison of the IC₅₀ of Glucantine®-Aventis among Leishmania species showed statistical differences between L. chagasi and L. amazonensis (p < 0.01), and L. chagasi and L. braziliensis (p < 0.01). The values were not statistically different (p > 0.05) between L. amazonensis and L. braziliensis. In contrast, the IC₅₀ of TAM 01/03 were similar (p = 0.368) among the studied species. The mean value of parasite inhibition observed with the control drug (0.2 µg/ml AmB) was 99.7% for L. chagasi, 99.5% for L. amazonensis, and 98% for L. braziliensis.

In vivo activity of TAMs and Glucantine®-Aventis against L. braziliensis - Hamsters were infected with an amastigote suspension of L. braziliensis and treated with 20 mg Sb/ Kg/day of the four batches of TAMs or Glucantine® beginning at five days post-infection and continued for 30 consecutive days. The progress of the lesions was assessed weekly for eight weeks (Fig. 2). Nodes were observed in the footpads of the animals five days after infection (before treatment), and no statistically significant difference was observed among the groups (p = 0.854). After seven days of treatment, skin lesions remained similar among the groups (p = 0.056). Fourteen days after treatment initiation,
**TABLE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parasite</th>
<th>Glucantime® - Aventis</th>
<th>TAM 01/03</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>L. (L.) chagasi</em></td>
<td>127.6 (106.2 - 148.9)</td>
<td>26.3*</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. (L.) amazonensis</em></td>
<td>22.9 (18.2 - 27.5)</td>
<td>15.4 (10.1 - 20.7)</td>
<td>0.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. (V.) braziliensis</em></td>
<td>24.2 (21.1 - 27.4)</td>
<td>12.1 (8.6 - 15.6)</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a*: confidence interval; *b*: IC$_{50}$ determined by linear interpolation.

**DISCUSSION**

Despite their toxicity, pentavalent antimonials (Sb') in the form of sodium stibogluconate (Pentostam®) and meglumine antimoniate (Glucantime®) remain the drugs of choice for the treatment of leishmaniasis in many countries, mainly due to their effectiveness and relatively low cost compared with the therapeutic alternatives currently available.

In this work, the in vitro and in vivo activity of the TAMs was assessed and compared to the reference drug Glucantime®-Aventis. Due to the simplicity of the amastigote-like assay and the avoidance of animals use, this model was chosen for the initial testing and screening. As this model showed that the four batches have similar in vitro activity, only one of the batches (TAM 01/03) was tested in the macrophage assay to determine the IC$_{50}$. Moreover, for the three species tested, the IC$_{50}$ remained below the dose that was toxic for macrophages, which was determined to be higher than 300 µg/ml for each of the four batches (data not shown).

Alternative generic drugs for the treatment of neglected diseases are tactical for the disease control programs. The price and sustainability of drug production are key points that may hinder control strategies or, even worse, risk the lives of patients. Nevertheless, the quality of the drug production needs to be carefully assessed. It is important to note that the activity obtained with the four batches of the TAMs was reproducible, as interbatch variation is one important shortcoming associated with antimoniate production.

The threat of antimoniate to the lives of patients has been experienced in different countries. In 2000, the Brazilian Ministry of Health received notification from public health care centers of the high frequency of side effects reported by patients receiving meglumine antimoniate produced by Eurofarma (registered as similar to the reference product at the National Regulatory Agency - Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária - ANVISA). The epidemiological and the clinical investigation led to a physical chemistry analysis of the batches, which resulted in the detection of heavy metals (Silva Junior 2001). The higher frequency of skin reactions in patients was attributed to the presence of heavy metals (Romero et al. 2003).

In Nepal, fatal cardiotoxicity occurred among VL patients treated with a batch of generic sodium stibogluconate from GL Pharmaceuticals, Calcutta, India. These instances are in contrast with the low total death rate and the low death rate due to cardiotoxicity observed among patients treated with generic sodium stibogluconate from Albert David Ltd (Rijal et al. 2003).

Our in vitro and in vivo results showed that the TAMs was as active as the reference drug Glucantime®-Aventis against species of *Leishmania* of medical importance in
Brazil. Further steps are required to complete the generic drug development. The most challenging issue is to achieve a stable formulation as described by Cabral et al. (2008), which developed formulations of meglumine antimoniate with higher stability regarding the increase in SbIII percentage. Quality control of produced batches, chemical analysis, and bioequivalence studies would then suffice to recommend the adoption of the generic product as a low cost alternative to the branded reference drug. A clinical study addressing efficacy and safety would complement the current study.
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