Mosquito traps designed to capture *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) females: preliminary comparison of Adultrap, MosquiTRAP and backpack aspirator efficiency in a dengue-endemic area of Brazil
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In this report, the efficiency of Adultrap under field conditions is compared to a CDC backpack aspirator and to MosquiTRAP. An urban dengue-endemic area of Rio de Janeiro was selected to evaluate the efficiency of mosquito traps in capturing *Aedes aegypti* females. Adultrap and aspirator captured similar numbers of *Ae. aegypti* females, with the former showing high specificity to gravid individuals (93.6%). A subsequent mark-release-recapture experiment was conducted to evaluate Adultrap and MosquiTRAP efficiency concomitantly. With a 6.34% recapture rate, MosquiTRAP captured a higher mean number of female *Ae. aegypti* per trap than Adultrap ($\chi^2 = 14.26; \text{df} = 1; p < 0.05$). However, some MosquiTRAPs (28.12%) contained immature *Ae. aegypti* after 18 days of exposure in the field and could be pointed as an oviposition site for female mosquitoes. Both trapping methods, designed to collect gravid *Ae. aegypti* females, seem to be efficient, reliable and may aid routine *Ae. aegypti* surveillance.
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In Brazil, field monitoring of *Aedes aegypti* populations is currently focused on the inspection of sampled houses for immature stages 4-6 times per year (Braga et al. 2003). However, this method is labor-intensive, not very sensitive and subject to both the efficiency and motivation of the health agent and obtaining the permission of the homeowner. Taken together, these drawbacks can result in imprecise and low-confidence estimations of infestation indices (Focks 2003).

At present, dengue transmission can only be reduced or interrupted by controlling its mosquito vector, *Ae. aegypti*. Several methods for sampling the adult mosquito population, such as mosquito traps, have been recently developed worldwide (Silver 2008). Different methods vary in efficiency and labor required: the BG-Sentinel is more efficient in collecting *Ae. aegypti* females than a backpack aspirator, but both methods may be too laborious to permit daily mosquito collection in dengue-endemic areas (Maciel-de-Freitas et al. 2006, Williams et al. 2006). In Brazil, major efforts have been directed to develop a mosquito trap that will specifically collect gravid *Ae. aegypti* females. During the last decade, two such traps have been produced: Adultrap and MosquiTRAP. Until now, the efficiencies of Adultrap and MosquiTRAP have been compared with aspiration and other methods, but never with one another in the same space and time (Gomes et al. 2007, Gama et al. 2007). In addition, little information on Adultrap is available in the literature, probably due to its recent development.

Thus, the main objective of this report is to evaluate the efficiency of the Adultrap in capturing *Ae. aegypti* females, comparing it to both a backpack aspirator and MosquiTRAP in field trials in an urban neighborhood of Rio de Janeiro.

The field work was performed in a suburban neighborhood of Rio de Janeiro, named Olaria (22°50’29’’S 43°15’59’’W), which has regular water supply and garbage collection, low vegetation cover and well-defined and planned blocks dominated by residential buildings (3-4 dorm rooms). Olaria showed a House Index (HI) index (number of positive houses/number of inspected houses) of 8.8 one month prior to the beginning of experiments. In 2007, 212 dengue cases were confirmed in Olaria; 467 were confirmed from January through the first week of July 2008 (SMS 2008).

Adultrap was designed to capture gravid *Ae. aegypti* females during oviposition, using water as its principal attractant. Water remains confined in a compartment at the bottom of the trap that cannot be reached by trapped mosquitoes, avoiding egg laying. A large hole on the top is the principal entrance of attracted females, which become trapped in an interior chamber (Gomes et al. 2007). In the first stage of evaluations, we compared Adultrap’s efficiency and sensitivity to that of a backpack aspirator, the gold standard collection method, and tested whether Adultraps baited with hay infusion had a higher collection efficiency than those baited with water alone.

In field trials, 100 houses were randomly selected to have an Adultrap installed, 50 traps with only water as an attractant and another 50 traps with water plus hay infusion. Trap characteristics such as location, luminosity and bait type were recorded daily. One hundred houses were selected for aspiration using a CDC backpack aspirator (15-20 min per house, in intra- and peridomestic environments), following Maciel-de-Freitas et al. (2006).
Fifty of the selected houses had an Adultrap installed and 50 had no Adultrap. Of the 50 houses selected for aspiration that had an Adultrap installed, 25 had only water and 25 had water plus hay infusion. Trap monitoring and aspiration was performed daily for 11 days, starting on August 22nd 2006. There were no aspiration and trap monitoring on days 5, 6 and 7 due to technical and personnel limitations; aspiration was not performed on day 8 due to intense rain.

The ovaries of collected *Ae. aegypti* females were dissected to evaluate Adultrap’s sensitivity in capturing females in a specific physiological state. Ovarian development was classified according to Christophers (1911). Ovaries in stages I, I-II and II were grouped as initial development, stages III and IV grouped as intermediary stages and stage V classified as final stage, when females would be considered gravid. To evaluate if Adultrap collects all gravid females in a single house, we dissected the ovaries of *Ae. aegypti* captured by aspiration in houses with an Adultrap installed. The ovaries of *Ae. aegypti* collected in houses without Adultrap were also dissected to determine if results were the consequence of a naturally higher proportion of gravid females in Olaria during field experiments.

Two mosquito species were collected: *Ae. aegypti* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Table, data for *Ae. Aegypti* only). Statistically, Adultrap and aspirator collect similar mean numbers of *Ae. aegypti* females (*t* = 0.93; *df* = 13; *p* = 0.372), but the former is more efficient in capturing gravid individuals (*t* = 4.26; *df* = 13; *p* < 0.05). No significant difference was observed between the mean numbers of *Ae. aegypti* females collected in Adultraps set in the peri-domestic area and inside houses (*t* = 0.106; *df* = 54; *p* = 0.915); set under sunlight or in the shadow (*t* = 1.39; *df* = 54; *p* = 0.17), or when baited with water or with both water and hay infusion (*t* = 1.69; *df* = 54; *p* = 0.095).

From the 129 *Ae. aegypti* females collected in the field by Adultrap, 125 (96.9%) had their ovaries dissected in the laboratory. Of them, 117 (93.6%) were gravid (Fig. 1A). Aspiration in houses where an Adultrap was installed collected 147 *Ae. aegypti* females, where 59.86% had ovaries in initial development stages (probably host-seeking females), 28.57% had ovaries in intermediary development stages and 11.56% were gravid. These data suggest that Adultrap had not collected all gravid females within a house (Fig. 1B). Aspiration in houses without Adultrap yielded 41 captured *Ae. aegypti* females, where 48.78% had ovaries in initial development stages, 29.26% had ovaries in intermediary stages and 21.25% were gravid (Fig. 1C).

**TABLE**

Total and mean number of *Aedes aegypti* captured daily in 100 houses with an Adultrap and in 100 houses with aspirator collections in the neighborhood of Olaria, August 22nd-September 1st 2006. There were no collections on days 5, 6 and 7 due to technical and personnel limitations and aspiration was not performed on day 8 due to intense rain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days</th>
<th>Adultrap* Ae. aegypti*</th>
<th>Aspirator</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>187</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean per day</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>16.12</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>26.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1: variation of the ovarian development stage of *Aedes aegypti* females captured in Adultraps (A), aspirators in houses with Adultrap (B) and aspirators in houses without Adultrap (C) per day in the neighbor of Olaria, August 22nd - 1st September 2006. There were no collections on days 5, 6 and 7 due to technical and personnel limitations and aspiration was not performed on day 8 due to intense rain.
The number of *Ae. aegypti* females collected by Adultrap did not seem to depend on whether the trap was placed in intra- or peridomestic areas, in sunlight or shadow, or whether it was baited with hay infusion or water alone. However, several other reports have shown that mosquito traps are more efficient when installed outdoors, since *Ae. aegypti* females generally tend to feed inside houses and rest and search for an oviposition site in the peridomestic area (Dibo et al. 2005, Maciel-de-Freitas et al. 2006, Fávaro et al. 2006). In Rio de Janeiro, water-holding containers were generally more abundant outside houses (Maciel-de-Freitas et al. 2007). Therefore, it is possible that Adultraps installed indoors experienced less competition with nearby water containers, while outdoor Adultraps had a higher competition with the greater number of peridomestic containers. This relationship between Adultrap and water container abundance inside houses vs outdoors probably influenced the number of mosquitoes captured by traps in intra- and peridomestic areas.

In addition to its efficiency, Adultrap showed a high specificity for *Ae. aegypti* females, with 94% of captured individuals found to be gravid. However, the capture of additional gravid individuals by aspirators in houses where an Adultrap was installed (around 10-20% per day) suggests this trap did not capture all gravid females within a given house.

Starting on December 4th 2006, a mark, release and recapture (MRR) experiment was conducted in Olaria to compare Adultrap and MosquiTRAP efficiency under field conditions. MosquiTRAP was also designed to collect gravid *Ae. aegypti* females, but uses a synthetic attractant named AtrAedes. MosquiTRAP consists of a matte-black container with around 300 ml of water and a black adhesive card in its interior to trap mosquitoes. The AtrAedes is also glued in the adhesive card. *Ae. aegypti* females used in MRR trials were derived from a laboratory colony that is renewed at least twice a year with field collected eggs in Rio de Janeiro. A total of 725 gravid and sugar-fed females, 6-7 days old, were marked with fluorescent dust and released outdoors at 08:00-09:00 a.m. Recaptures started on the day following release; mosquitoes were collected three times a week for 18 days, using 96 Adultraps and 96 MosquiTRAPs (192 houses sampled per collection day) distributed over an area of 3.14Km^2_. Collected mosquitoes were identified and checked for the presence of the fluorescent mark with an UV light. The MRR experiment was approved by Fiocruz Ethical Committee – CEP/Fiocruz (protocol number 11591-2005).

A recapture rate of 6.34% (n = 46) was observed with MosquiTRAP and Adultrap capturing 63.04 (n = 29) and 36.96% (n = 17) of dust-marked mosquitoes, respectively. A total of 283 wild *Ae. aegypti* females were captured by MosquiTRAP and 200 by Adultrap. Thus, MosquiTRAP had higher capture efficiency than Adultrap in capturing wild *Ae. aegypti* females (χ^2 = 14.26; df = 1; p < 0.05). From the 305 analyzed females collected in MosquiTRAPs, 205 (67%) were trapped by the legs and 100 by the thorax (33%).

When the efficiency of Adultrap was compared to MosquiTRAP, the latter presented significantly higher recapture rates, collecting a higher number of both wild and dust-marked *Ae. aegypti* females. However, despite the above differences, Adultrap and MosquiTRAP are both efficient and reliable traps for the capture of *Ae. aegypti* females in field conditions, and may perhaps become a new tool for dengue vector monitoring and control.

Finally, to evaluate the possible role of MosquiTRAP as an oviposition site a pupal survey was conducted during Adultrap and MosquiTRAP removal, after the end of the MRR experiment. From the 192 houses where a trap was installed, we searched *Ae. aegypti* immatures in only 157 premises. A total of 378 immature *Ae. aegypti* (40 pupae and 338 larvae) were collected in 43 positive houses, giving a HI of 27.38 and a Breteau Index of 29.93. The most productive containers were water tanks, MosquiTRAPs and plastic pots, with 42.5, 20.0 and 15% of the total pupae collected. Of the 96 MosquiTRAPs, 27 (28.12%) were positive, containing 134 larvae and eight pupae after 18 days of exposure. Without considering the immature individuals collected in MosquiTRAP, the HI would have been 11.46, i.e. a decrease of 2.38 times, from 27.38 to 11.46. Interestingly, two MosquiTRAPs had immature *Ae. aegypti* in their interior but no adult females stuck to the glue card. Probably, the females had landed in a fraction of the card without sufficient glue and were able to lay their eggs without becoming trapped.

Overall, container productivity in Olaria was similar to that previously observed in slum and suburban neighborhoods of Rio de Janeiro (Maciel-de-Freitas et al. 2007), where containers used for water storage (e.g., water tanks) was highly productive. Remarkably, water tanks were highly productive in Olaria even with the regular piped water distribution in this neighborhood. Barrera et al. (1993) points to the role of residents’ habits in maintaining infestation levels in some areas, as even people considered having an adequate supply of water kept numerous water storage containers. Thus, container productivity seems to be strongly related to community habits of storing water for routine use.

Adultrap seemed to be as efficient as backpack aspirators, but with higher sensitivity towards collecting *Ae. aegypti* gravid females A higher mean number of *Ae. aegypti* females was collected in MosquiTRAP than in Adultrap, but the former has the serious disadvantage of acting as a breeding site for dengue vectors. Overall, the potential of Adultrap and MosquiTRAP in monitoring the *Ae. aegypti* population is promising, but still needs to be evaluated through a longer time series and under different levels and patterns of infestation.
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