Quantification of the genetic change in the transition of Rhodnius pallescens Barber, 1932 (Hemiptera: Reduviidae) from field to laboratory
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Previous studies have reported genetic differences between wild-caught sylvatic, domestic and laboratory populations of several Triatominae species. The differences between sylvatic and laboratory colonies parallel similar to the differences observed between sylvatic and domestic populations. Laboratory colonies are frequently used as references for field populations, but the consequences of founder events on the genetic makeup of laboratory or domestic populations are rarely quantified. Our goal was to quantify the genetic change in Rhodnius pallescens populations artificially submitted to founder effects via laboratory colonization. We compared the genetic makeup of two sylvatic populations and their laboratory descendants using a panel of 10 microsatellite markers. Both sylvatic populations were initially collected from palm trees, but the colonies differed in the number of founder insects and amount of time kept in the laboratory. We evaluated allelic polymorphism, differences between expected and observed heterozygosity, estimates of population differentiation ($F_{st}$) and inbreeding ($F_{is}$, $F_{st}$) and cluster analyses based on Nei’s distances. We found a unique genetic structure for each sample population, with significant differentiation between the field insects and each of the laboratory generations. These analyses showed strong founder effects and showed that genetic drift had led to a genetic equilibrium over several generations of isolation. Our results suggest that laboratory colonies of R. pallescens have a different genetic structure than their wild relatives and similar processes likely affect other Triatominae laboratory stocks.
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Rhodnius pallescens is considered a secondary vector of Trypanosoma cruzi (the causative agent of Chagas disease) in Colombia due to its high rate of natural infection, its ability to defecate during feeding and the closeness of the primary habitat of the species (palm trees) to human dwellings (Jaramillo et al. 2000, Guhl et al. 2007). These insects are found in houses in Panama, Nicaragua and Costa Rica (Sousa 2002, Calzada et al. 2006, Zeledón et al. 2006), where vector-human contact is likely to increase (Calzada et al. 2006, Zeledón et al. 2006). The insect is frequently found in houses in Colombia, although colonies established inside of houses, known as house colonies, are rare (Jaramillo et al. 2009).

Two major processes occur during domestication: a founder effect followed by a population expansion leading to intraspecific competition. If a newly founded population is isolated from its sylvatic congeners, genetic diversity is restricted and the diversity of the isolated population decreases (Dujardin 1998, Schofield et al. 1999). Therefore, when house colonies are established these restrictions cause genetic drift with a consequent loss of allelic variability, which may make the isolated colony genetically distinct from the wild population from which it originated (Dujardin 1998, Schofield et al. 1999).

During the transition from sylvatic to domestic or laboratory environments, a loss of genetic variability has been observed in several triatomine species, as measured by isoenzymes (Lopez & Moreno 1995, Chavez et al. 1999) and random amplified polymorphic DNA (Borges et al. 2005). In addition, morphological changes have been detected in these colonies, including significant reductions in average body, head and wing size, the degree of sexual dimorphism, relaxation of bilateral symmetry and differences in the antennal sensilla patterns (Schofield 1994, Dujardin et al. 1997a, b, 1999a, b, c, d, Dujardin 1998, Schofield et al. 1999, Jaramillo et al. 2002, Catalá et al. 2004). Thus, differences between field and laboratory colonies could parallel those between sylvatic and synanthropic populations (Caro-Riaño et al. 2009).

The present study assessed genetic changes caused by founder effects during the establishment of laboratory colonies of R. pallescens. We compared variability of 10 microsatellite loci in two sylvatic palm tree populations and their laboratory descendants. Laboratory colonies differed in the number of founders as well as the amount of time maintained in the laboratory. We expected a loss of genetic variability due to the effects of "sampling error" (Dlugosh & Parker 2008), i.e., the stochastic processes associated with founder effects following the invasion of a new habitat by a few individuals from a well-established population.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

The insects - This study involved *R. pallescens* adults from two field populations and four laboratory colonies. We examined a total of 26 insects collected in *Attalea butyracea* palm trees from the municipality of San Onofre, Colombia (SO-P 40 m asl; 09°44′29″N 75°31′20″W; tropical dry forest, mean temperature of 28°C), 10 1st-generation offspring from a laboratory population (SO-1) and 36 10th and 11th-generation individuals from laboratory populations (SO-11). We also collected five insects from *A. butyracea* palm trees in the municipality of Norcasia, Colombia (N-P 237 m asl; 05°20′24″N 74°31′39″W; tropical wet forest, mean temperature of 26°C), 19 1st-generation laboratory offspring of these wild-collected individuals (N-1) and 29 7th and 8th generation individuals (N-8). The San Onofre laboratory colony was founded in 1999 with 190 specimens and the Norcasia laboratory colony was founded in 2002 with eight specimens. The number of generations under laboratory conditions was calculated using average generation time of two generations per year (Jurberg & Rangel 1984). All insects were maintained under controlled temperature and humidity (27 ± 2°C and 60 ± 5% RH) and fed weekly on chickens.

DNA extraction - DNA was extracted from legs of each insect using the salting out method described by Miller et al. (1988). DNA was evaluated in 1% agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide, quantified by spectrophotometry and stored at -20°C until amplification.

Amplification of microsatellite markers - We used ten previously described microsatellite markers (L3, L9, L13, L17, L25, L33, L43, L47, L50, L58) specific to *R. pallescens* (Harry et al. 1998). These markers were amplified through PCR with a final volume of 12.5 μL. PCRs contained 20 ng template DNA, 50 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 12.5 pmol of each primer (with fluorescently labelled forward primers), 200 μM dNTPs and 0.625 U of Taq DNA polymerase. Amplifications were performed in a PTC-100™ thermocycler (MJ Research, Inc), following the thermal protocol described by Harry et al. (1998).

After amplification, 1 μL of PCR product was mixed with 13 μL of deionized formamide plus 0.1 μL of standard weight marker and this mixture was analysed on an ABI Prism MT310 Genetic Analyzer (Perkin-Elmer). Genotypes were read using GeneMapper™ version 4.0 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

Data analysis - The genetic variation was evaluated at ecological and geographical levels. The ecological level included comparisons between each field population and its laboratory offspring, while the geographical level considered differences between the two regions San Onofre and Norcasia.

Genetic variability was estimated through the proportion of polymorphic loci, number of alleles per locus, the mean number of alleles per sample and the heterozygosity. The observed heterozygosity was compared to expected heterozygosity under Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium using Fisher’s exact test (Fisher 1922). Significant differences were corrected using Bonferroni’s method (Holm 1979). Linkage disequilibrium between loci was evaluated with Fisher’s exact test (Fisher 1922) and values were adjusted using Bonferroni’s method (Holm 1979).

Genetic differentiation was evaluated by estimating Wright’s F-statistics according to Weir and Cockerham (1984). These statistics allowed us to quantify reproductive isolation between populations and colonies (Fₜ) and levels of inbreeding both within populations and colonies (Fᵢₑ) and within the metapopulation without considering subpopulations (Fₛₑ). Statistical significance of the F-statistics was assessed by bootstrapping 1,000 replications. Fₛₑ was also used to estimate gene flow (Nm) between samples according to Barton and Slatkin (1986).

A matrix of unbiased genetic distances was calculated using the Nei (1978) method and this matrix was used to construct an unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean dendrogram.

All calculations were computed using GDA 1.0 (Lewis & Zaykin 2001), GenePop 3.1-3.4 (Raymond & Rousset 1995) and GenAlEx 6 (Peakall & Smouse 2006) software.

RESULTS

All loci were polymorphic in each population, with the exception of loci L33 and L47, which were monomorphic in N-P and L33 in N-1 (Table I). In general, the three Norcasia samples showed fewer alleles per locus than those from San Onofre. Moreover, the number of alleles identified from SO-1 and SO-11 was lower than the number identified from SO-P. The Norcasia laboratory population did not show a decreased number of alleles relative to their wild counterpart populations (Table I).

The three Norcasia samples showed lower heterozygosity than the San Onofre samples (Table I). However, SO-P and SO-1 showed the greatest deficit of heterozygosity. All populations were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium for the most part, except N-8, in which seven of the 10 loci in H-W disequilibrium (Table II). Fisher’s exact test did not reveal significant linkage disequilibrium within any population (p > 0.05).

The F statistics showed significant subdivision between populations in each region (Norcasia and San Onofre), except between SO-P and SO-1 (Table III). The metapopulation also showed significant structuring (Fₛₑ: 0.2045, CI: 0.2661-0.1450), as did the wild SO-P and N-P populations (Fₛₑ: 0.2296, CI: 0.3277-0.1330). The number of migrants per generation (Nm) between these two regions was calculated to be 0.83, which indicates roughly four migrants every five generations.

The metapopulation presented a significant amount of inbreeding (Fᵢₑ: 0.3123, CI: 0.4121-0.2051). Similarly, inbreeding within both the Norcasia and San Onofre populations (Fᵢₑ: 0.1853, CI: 0.3371-0.0039 and Fᵢₑ: 0.2020, CI: 0.2999-0.0794, respectively).

The amount of inbreeding within samples was significant (Fᵢₑ: 0.1355, CI: 0.2359-0.0185). Similar results were seen for the San Onofre population (Fᵢₑ: 0.1445, CI: 0.2433-0.0369), but inbreeding was not detected within the Norcasia population (Fᵢₑ: 0.1206, CI: 0.2905 to -0.0749).
The dendrogram (Figure) constructed from the Nei’s distances matrix showed two distinct groups: one composed of the San Onofre samples and the other composed of the Norcasia samples. In the San Onofre group, SO-P and SO-1 were closer than SO-11. In the Norcasia group, N-1 and N-8 were more similar to each other than to N-P (Figure).

**DISCUSSION**

Colombian *R. pallescens* populations are highly homogeneous, with little genetic variability (Lopez & Moreno 1995). However, the present study detected a mean of 14.2 alleles per locus. This is a higher value than reported by Harry et al. (1998), who found a mean of nine alleles per locus in insects within the San Onofre municipality. Partial sequences of the mitochondrial *Cyt b* gene (663 bp) exhibit high genetic variability and four haplotypes were found in nine *R. pallescens* individuals (Abad-Franch 2003). High chromosomal variability was detected in this species using the C-banding technique that characterizes differences in amount, size and distribution of constitutive heterochromatic regions in the chromosome complement (Gómez-Palacio et al. 2008).

The 10 loci identified in our study each showed linkage equilibrium, validating DNA microsatellites as an independent marker system useful in observing genetic variation occurring in the transition between a natural and an artificial (laboratory) environment.

The San Onofre laboratory colony (SO-11) was founded with 190 individuals and, at the time of the analyses, between 10-11 generations had already reproduced under laboratory conditions. This artificial colony was in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, but differed genetically from the original wild population (SO-P). Table I shows that SO-11 possesses fewer alleles than does SO-P and also shows a different distribution in the number of alleles per locus. The Norcasia laboratory colony (N-8) was founded with only eight insects and had undertaken between 7-8 laboratory generations at the time of this study. Contrasting with SO-11, the N-8 sample was not in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (Table II).

A cluster analysis showed that there was greater allelic similarity between SO-P-SO-1 than SO-11 and more allelic similarity between N-1-N-8 than N-P (Figure). These results may reflect differing histories of each population under laboratory conditions (San Onofre vs. Norcasia). The longer laboratory history of the San Onofre colony has likely allowed accumulation of more differences than found in the Norcasia colony. Overall, the Norcasia colony showed the effects of a small founding population and a short period under laboratory conditions compared with San Onofre.

**TABLE I**

Quantification of genetic change in *Rhodnius pallescens* populations artificially submitted to founder effects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>SO-P n=26</th>
<th>SO-1 n=10</th>
<th>SO-11 n=36</th>
<th>N-P n=5</th>
<th>N-1 n=19</th>
<th>N-8 n=29</th>
<th>Total n=125</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L25</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L33</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L43</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L47</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L50</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L58</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td>0.747</td>
<td>0.711</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>0.519</td>
<td>0.733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>0.596</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>0.593</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>0.464</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td>0.528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.205</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The variability of 10 microsatellite loci is shown as the allele number per locus and the mean allele number per sample. He: expected heterozygosity; Ho: observed heterozygosity; n: sample size; N-P: parental (sylvatic) Norcasia; N-1: 1st-generation filial Norcasia; N-8: 7th-8th-generation filial Norcasia; SO-P: parental (sylvatic) San Onofre; SO-1: 1st-generation filial San Onofre; SO-11: 10th-11th-generation filial San Onofre.
populations. \( F_{st} \) was significant between SO-P-N-P, reflecting the geographic distance of more than 450 km between San Onofre and Norcasia. Interestingly, there was no subdivision detected between SO-P-SO-1 (Table III) in spite of small sample size of the latter population (\( n = 10 \)). Both samples were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. This is consistent with the expectations for a population with a relatively high reproductive rate founded by a large number of individuals. We were somewhat concerned that we sampled only a small number of SO-1 offspring and that the structure we described may not have been representative of the entire SO-1 generation. However, the lack of genetic differentiation between SO-1 and the wild SO-P, as well as the large number of polymorphic microsatellite loci identified alleviates these concerns. To the contrary, N-P and N-1 showed significant subdivision, likely reflecting of the small founding population.

Calculating \( F_{it} \) showed significant inbreeding in the entire population and within each of the two separate populations. Each population showed a significant heterozygous deficit, consistent with the population subdivision detected by the \( F_{st} \) analyses. This result likely arose from the physical isolation between the populations and genetic drift within the populations. Further, the low migration rate between San Onofre and Norcasia populations was estimated at four individuals every five generations. This low rate of genetic exchange likely contributed to the isolated genetic structure of the two populations.

However, some unexpected results were found. Inbreeding within samples as measured by \( F_{it} \) was less informative than \( F_{st} \) and \( F_{is} \) of the population's history. Within the San Onofre samples, we observed heterozygous deficit (i.e., inbreeding) not detected in the Norcasia subpopulations. These results are apparently inconsistent with the results of the \( F_{st} \) and \( F_{it} \) analyses. Considered together, SO-P and SO-1 showed four of 10 loci to be in H-W disequilibrium (Table II) and exhibited heterozygosity significantly higher than expected (Table I). To the contrary, N-P and N-1 showed fewer alleles per locus than did the San Onofre populations. Of the loci, 20% were monomorphic, only one locus was in H-W disequilibrium and heterozygosity was close to the expected value (Table I). However, after eight generations of laboratory culture, the N-8 population showed seven loci to be in H-W disequilibrium (Table I) and showed more differences between observed and expected heterozygosity than did SO-11. The differences in \( F_{it} \) estimates between the San Onofre and Norcasia samples may reflect the natural history of the field populations and the founder effects of laboratory colonization. However, the laboratory descendants of the wild Norcasia population (N-1 and N-8) showed more alleles than did

### TABLE II

Analysis of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in *Rhodnius pallescens*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>SO-P</th>
<th>SO-1</th>
<th>SO-11</th>
<th>N-P</th>
<th>N-1</th>
<th>N-8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>0.260313</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>0.646875</td>
<td>0.299063</td>
<td>0.118438</td>
<td>0.002500*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L9</td>
<td>0.154688</td>
<td>0.000937</td>
<td>0.109375</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.000000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L13</td>
<td>0.000000*</td>
<td>0.008750</td>
<td>0.027187</td>
<td>0.110000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.000000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L17</td>
<td>0.000000*</td>
<td>0.105625</td>
<td>0.838750</td>
<td>0.349275</td>
<td>0.655637</td>
<td>0.000000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L25</td>
<td>0.217125</td>
<td>0.014500</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.110000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.000000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L33</td>
<td>0.359063</td>
<td>0.104688</td>
<td>0.176563</td>
<td>0.100000</td>
<td>0.014375</td>
<td>0.018437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L43</td>
<td>0.000313*</td>
<td>0.671785</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.100000</td>
<td>0.655637</td>
<td>0.936875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L47</td>
<td>0.137500</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>0.246875</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.0005938</td>
<td>0.000000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L50</td>
<td>0.005000</td>
<td>0.381250</td>
<td>0.772500</td>
<td>0.310312</td>
<td>0.013125</td>
<td>0.000000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L58</td>
<td>0.950625</td>
<td>0.830313</td>
<td>0.667813</td>
<td>0.100000</td>
<td>0.288438</td>
<td>0.000000*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Probabilities from Fisher’s exact test per locus and samples. *a*: significant differences after Bonferroni correction (\( p < 0.005 \)).

N-P: parental (sylvatic) Norcasia; N-1: 1st-generation filial Norcasia; N-8: 7th-8th-generation filial Norcasia; SO-P: parental (sylvatic) San Onofre; SO-1: 1st-generation filial San Onofre; SO-11: 10th-11th-generation filial San Onofre.
the wild population (Table I). There is no clear explanation for this increase, but the excess of homozygosity suggests that null alleles may have played a role in this result. However, our results did not support this hypothesis because inbreeding within the Norcasia samples ($F_s$) was not significant.

We propose several alternative explanations for this result. First, the DNA extracted from the N-P specimens may have been damaged, artificially generating null alleles in these samples. This explanation is unlikely, however, as not all microsatellite loci amplified from the same DNA template showed null alleles. Alternatively, problems with allelic scoring could have produced “allelic dropouts”. The explanation also seems improbable considering the chosen method of allelic scoring: allele sizes were calculated using an ABI Prism MT310 Genetic Analyzer and representative samples were sent to Macrogen-Korea for cross-validation. Instead, “missing alleles” could have been present in the N-P population, but only in the three founders (not genotyped). This possibility cannot be confirmed and it would not explain the increase in the number of alleles from N-1 to N-8. The well known problem of laboratory colonies contaminated with bugs from other colonies. We have been conscious of this risk and a trained technician routinely applied protocols to avoid such contamination. Finally, the progressive increase in the number of alleles between N-P, N-1 and N-8 could have been caused by demographic effects which produced marked changes in allele frequencies and these changes could have led to differential sampling probabilities for some alleles across generations. This is a very rare but possible event, but we do not presently have the means to confirm this possibility. The above mentioned hypotheses are not mutually exclusive and further studies will further elucidate our results.

Our results strongly suggest that laboratory colonies have been impacted by strong genetic drift followed by expansive population growth under laboratory conditions. Over multiple generations, these populations accumulated variability to reach Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, but retained a genetic structure distinct that of field populations. The amount of time required to reach Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium is closely related to the number of founders and the number of generations since colonization. A colony founded by a large number of individuals will require few generations to reach equilibrium and indeed, we observed that the SO laboratory colony, founded by a large number of individuals, had reached equilibrium after only a few generations. Laboratory colonies can be used as representatives of wild populations. However, our results emphasize the need for caution in analyzing laboratory colonies. We recommend that artificial colonies be founded by the largest possible number of founders and that natural ecotopes be periodically introduced to the colony to help maintain the original genetic population variability and structure.

Triatomine adaptation to human dwellings may similarly pass through the founder effects seen in laboratory colonies if gene flow is absent or restricted (Schofield et al. 1999). An extensive study throughout Venezuela using 10 polymorphic microsatellite loci did not reveal subdivision between sylvatic and domestic ecotopes ($F_s$ values were not significant), indicative of active bidirectional gene flow (Fitzpatrick et al. 2008). However geographic subdivisions were detected in some areas, suggesting genetic drift and isolation between populations. The population heterogeneity was more pronounced within the state of Portuguesa (11% population variation) than in the state of Barinas (3% population variation). The state of Barinas is more mountainous, which may favour greater population isolation (Fitzpatrick et al. 2008). Harry et al. (2008) expressed concern regarding the use of laboratory strains of *R. prolixus*, because genetic drift resulting from a drastic decrease of the effective size (i.e., a bottleneck) or inbreeding resulting from artificial selection would limit polymorphism. Harry et al. (2008) showed all loci to be polymorphic, leading the authors to conclude that “even tested on laboratory strains, isolated microsatellites seem good candidates for fine-scale population genetic studies on natural populations in which a higher polymorphism is expected”. However, our results indicate that although laboratory strains can show high genetic variability, the population structure of laboratory colonies can be very different from that of field populations.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that DNA microsatellite profiles differ significantly between laboratory and wild insect populations. Our results will be useful in monitoring *R. pallescens* invasion of human dwellings and for distinguishing insects recently introduced to artificial dwellings from well-established domestic colonies.
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