Evaluation of polymerase chain reaction in the routine diagnosis for tegumentary leishmaniasis in a referral centre
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The present study investigated the diagnostic value of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) performed in parallel to conventional methods at an American tegumentary leishmaniasis (ATL) referral centre for diagnosis. Accuracy parameters for PCR were calculated using 130 patients with confirmed ATL (ATL group), 15 patients established with other diseases and 23 patients with a lesion suggestive of ATL, but without parasitological confirmation (NDEF group). PCR showed 92.3% sensitivity, 93.3% specificity, a 99.2% positive predictive value and a 13.84 positive likelihood ratio. In the NDEF group, PCR confirmed ATL in 13 of the 23 patients, seven of whom responded to leishmaniasis treatment and six who presented spontaneous healing of the lesion. PCR should be included in the routine diagnostic procedures for ATL, especially for cases found to be negative by conventional methods.
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The classical aetiologic diagnosis of American tegumentary leishmaniasis (ATL) is made by isolation of the promastigotes forms of *Leishmania* in culture or by visualisation of amastigote forms in histopathological sections or in Giemsa-stained imprints. These procedures cannot be automated and are therefore difficult to standardise. Each technique has varying sensitivity and mainly depends on the number of parasites and other factors, such as the experience of the responsible technician, *Leishmania* species involved, clinical form and duration of the lesion. At primary health care services, patients with lesions suggestive of ATL and a positive Montenegro skin test (MST) are usually subjected to treatment with pentavalent antimonials, even in the absence of parasitological confirmation (MS 2006). Thus, semi-automated or automated diagnostic methods that could be easily standardised are beneficial.

Various studies have suggested the usefulness of molecular techniques for the aetiologic diagnosis of ATL (Pirmez et al. 1999, de Oliveira et al. 2003, Schonian et al. 2003, Garcia et al. 2004, Marques et al. 2006, Gomes et al. 2008, Luz et al. 2009). In different studies conducted on humans, the sensitivity of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was about 100%, whereas 60% was observed for other types of exams. However, these studies included patients with a diagnosis of ATL previously established by conventional techniques.

In the present study, we investigated the diagnostic value of PCR performed in parallel to conventional methods during the routine care of patients in an ATL referral centre for diagnosis, many of whom had presumptive diagnoses of ATL.

A trial of a diagnostic test was conducted to compare the PCR and exams routinely used for the diagnosis of ATL (imprint, histopathology and parasite isolation in appropriate culture from biopsy fragments). One hundred and sixty-eight patients presumed to have ATL were referred for diagnosis to the Laboratório de Vigilância em Leishmanioses, Instituto de Pesquisa Clínica Evandro Chagas (IPEC), Fiocruz, Rio de Janeiro, between March 21, 2005-March 21, 2006 and were included in the study. The project was approved by the Ethical Committee of IPEC (process 0024.0.009.000-04) and all patients signed a free informed consent form.

After clinical, dermatological and otorhinolaryngological evaluation, a cutaneous or mucosal lesion biopsy was obtained from all patients. The biopsy specimen was divided into several fragments. The first fragment was used to make Giemsa-stained imprints and was then fixed in 10% buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin and stained with haematoxylin-eosin, PAS, Grocott and Ziehl-Neelsen for histopathological examination. The second fragment was cultured in enriched blood agar medium (NNN) for the detection...
of the presence of *Leishmania* promastigotes. Fragments were also processed for mycological examination and detection of mycobacteria.

Another fragment was placed in a dry Eppendorf tube and kept at -20°C until the DNA extraction process. DNA was extracted with the Genomic Prep™ kit (Amersham Biosciences, Upsalla, Sweden) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Extracted DNA was dried at RT and resuspended in 25 µL TE buffer. Eight-10 samples were run in each DNA extraction.

PCR was performed using primers that amplify the conserved region of the minicircle molecule present in all *Leishmania* species. The reaction mixture contained 10 ng of each primer (5'-((G/C) (G/C)(C/G)CC(A/C) CTAT(A/T)TTCACAAACCCCC and 5'GGGGAG-GGGCGTTCTGCGAA), 200 mM dNTPs (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California), 2.5 units Taq polymerase (Amplitaq Gold, Perkin-Elmer, Norwalk, CT) and 1.5 mM MgCl₂, in a final volume of 25 µL. A DNA volume of 5 µL was added to each test tube. The amplification reaction consisted of one cycle at 94°C for 10 min, 30 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s and 72°C for 30 s and a final step at 72°C for 10 min. After the PCR, the amplified products were separated by horizontal electrophoresis in a 2% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide for visualisation of the 120-bp band obtained after amplification. The previously defined detection threshold for PCR inhibition was 10 pg DNA extracted from an axenic culture of *Leishmania* without DNA and a positive control sample containing 10 ng of each primer (5'-((G/C) (G/C)(C/G)CC(A/C) CTAT(A/T)TTCACAAACCCCC and 5'GGGGAG-GGGCGTTCTGCGAA), 200 mM dNTPs (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California), 2.5 units Taq polymerase (Amplitaq Gold, Perkin-Elmer, Norwalk, CT) and 1.5 mM MgCl₂, in a final volume of 25 µL. A DNA volume of 5 µL was added to each test tube. The amplification reaction consisted of one cycle at 94°C for 10 min, 30 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s and 72°C for 30 s and a final step at 72°C for 10 min. After the PCR, the amplified products were separated by horizontal electrophoresis in a 2% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide for visualisation of the 120-bp band obtained after amplification. The previously defined detection threshold of the assay is 8.34 pg DNA.

In each amplification, a negative control sample without DNA and a positive control sample containing 10 pg DNA extracted from an axenic culture of *Leishmania* (*Viannia*) *brazilensis* were added. Two amplifications were run per sample. In the case of discordant results, PCR was repeated and findings that were concordant in two experiments were considered as the final result. All PCR-negative samples were reamplified after the addition of 1 µL DNA extracted from an axenic culture of *L. (V.) brazilensis* to determine the presence of PCR inhibitors. The molecular detection tests were carried out independently from the other exams used for the routine diagnosis of ATL.

The results of the molecular tests were analysed using the Epi-Info (CDC, Washington, USA) and SPSS 11.0 software packages (IBM-SPSS Inc Chicago, USA). Differences were considered as significant when p < 0.05. Proportions related to dichotomous variables were compared by Pearson's chi-square (χ²) test and Fisher's exact test. Continuous variables were analysed after evaluation of their distribution using histograms and box plots. Additionally, the parametric Mann-Whitney test was chosen for comparison of means between two independent groups. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used when comparing three or more groups. Sensitivity, specificity and predictive values of PCR were calculated using the Epitable module of the Epi-Info 6.0 software.

Among the 168 patients studied, 130 (77.4%) were diagnosed with ATL based on the outcomes of one or more of the following exams: imprint, culture and histopathology (ATL group); 15 (8.9%) presented a lesion of other aetiology (NATL group) and 23 (13.7%) presented a lesion suggestive of ATL but without parasitological confirmation (NDEF group). PCR was positive for 134 patients: 120 (92.3%, n = 130) in the ATL group, one (6.6%, n = 15) in the NATL group and 13 (56.5%, n = 23) in the NDEF group. In contrast, 10 patients presented a negative PCR despite another positive exam for ATL. Out of the 134 PCR-positive cases, 119 (88.9%) occurred in patients living in endemic areas for ATL (Pearson’s χ² = 11.612, p = 0.007).

In the ATL group, 115/130 patients (88.5%) had the cutaneous form and 15/130 (11.5%) had the mucocutaneous form of the disease. PCR was positive in 14 of the 15 patients with the mucocutaneous form (93.3% positivity) and in 106 of the 115 patients with the cutaneous form (92.1% positivity). The performance of the test was similar for both clinical forms of the disease (Pearson’s χ² = 0.020, p = 0.888).

Patients in the NATL group (n = 15) had the following diagnoses: squamous cell carcinoma (n = 4), sporotrichosis (n = 3), leprosy (n = 1), lentigo (n = 1), pyodermitis (n = 1) and vascular ulcer (n = 5). Seven of these patients lived in endemic ATL areas, including the only patient of this group who tested positive by PCR.

On the basis of the PCR results obtained for the ATL and NATL groups, the sensitivity, specificity, predictive values, likelihood ratio and pre and post-test probabilities of the test were calculated (Table), which established the potential usefulness of PCR in the diagnostic investigation of patients in the NDEF group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>92.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>93.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive predictive value</td>
<td>99.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative predictive value</td>
<td>58.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood ratio for a positive test</td>
<td>13.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood ratio for a negative test</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-test probability</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-test chance</td>
<td>8.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-test chance</td>
<td>105.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-test probability</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The NDEF group consisted of 15 (65.3%) patients whose lesions healed spontaneously during the diagnostic investigation and eight (34.7%) patients from an endemic area who had suggestive ATL lesions without parasitological confirmation, but with a favourable response to the meglumine antimoniate treatment. Ten of the 13 patients in this group lived in ATL endemic areas. PCR was positive for six of the 15 patients who developed spontaneous healing and for seven of the eight patients with a favourable response to the antimonial...
treatment. The positive predictive value and post-test probability defined in Table permit the attribution of a diagnostic value to the positive PCR results obtained in the NDEF group. Thus, PCR increased the number of ATL cases diagnosed among the studied 168 patients from 130 (77.4%) to 143 (85.1%).

In the present study, the combination of imprint, histopathology and culture was used as the standard for the diagnosis of ATL, with which we were able to diagnose the disease in 130 (ATL group) of the 168 patients studied. The sensitivity of each exam was 23%, 35.3% and 81.5% for imprint, histopathology and culture, respectively. Similar results have been reported by other investigators who attributed this variation in sensitivity to different factors, including duration of the disease, sampling methods and processing of the biological samples (Aviles et al. 1999, Ramirez et al. 2000, Culha et al. 2006). PCR was the most sensitive and specific exam among the applied methods. These findings were in agreement with other Brazilian studies using the same or different primers (Pirmez et al. 1999, Romero et al. 2001, Oliveira et al. 2005, Marques et al. 2006).

In contrast to other PCR evaluation studies of patients with ATL diagnosis, the present study compared PCR with other methods carried out in parallel during the diagnostic investigation of patients receiving care at an ATL referral centre. The low negative predictive value of PCR was likely influenced by the high prevalence of ATL in the sample studied. This finding suggested that the higher the prevalence, the lower the negative predictive value and the higher the positive predictive value would be. The accuracy parameters defined for PCR were used to determine the potential diagnostic value of this technique for the investigation of the 23 patients without a diagnostic confirmation of ATL by the gold standard.

Over the last 12 years, only three cases of spontaneous regression were documented at referral centre in patients who refused treatment using conventional techniques. All of these patients presented successive phases of lesion reactivation and remission over various months. However, our present findings suggest that ATL lesions may progress to spontaneous healing over a relatively short period of time, simulating other diseases as bacterial infections or sporotrichosis (Schubach et al. 2008).

The patient PCR-positive in NACL group was a 57-year-old man with a well-differentiated epidermoid carcinoma. Re-evaluating his records after the PCR result was also confirmed by molecular hybridisation using an L. (V.) braziliensis (MHOM/BR/1975/ M2903) kDNA probe that was radioactively labelled with 32P dCTP according to Pacheco et al. (2000) (data not shown). Because a positive PCR does not differenti-


