Updated distribution of *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae) in Spain: new findings in the mainland Spanish Levante, 2013
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In 2004, *Aedes* (Stegomyia) *albopictus* (Skuse, 1894) was observed for the first time in Catalonia, northeastern Spain. A decade later, it has spread throughout the eastern Mediterranean region of the country and the Balearic Islands. Framed within a national surveillance project, we present the results of monitoring in 2013 in the autonomous communities of the mainland Levante. The current study reveals a remarkable increase in the spread of the invasive mosquito in relation to results from 2012; the species was present and well-established in 48 municipalities, most of which were along the Mediterranean coastline from the Valencian Community to the Region of Murcia.
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The Asian tiger mosquito, *Aedes* (Stegomyia) *albopictus* (Skuse, 1894), is one of the 100 most invasive species, according to the Global Invasive Species Database (Lowe et al. 2000). This species originated in Asia, but it has spread worldwide over the past few decades via human travel and international commerce. It was introduced to Europe through Albania in 1979 (Adhami & Reiter 1998) and, 35 years later, the mosquito is well-established in 18 European countries and two city-states (Sebesta et al. 2012).

In addition to being a biting nuisance, this mosquito is an important vector of several viruses, such as chikungunya and dengue (Gratz 2004). In fact, it has been responsible for the recent autochthonous chikungunya and dengue outbreaks in Europe (Angelini et al. 2007, La Ruche et al. 2010, Gjenero-Margan et al. 2011, Marchand et al. 2013).

In Spain, this species has colonised the majority of the coastal Mediterranean region since its arrival in 2004 (Aranda et al. 2006). At present, the mosquito is established and widespread in the provinces of Gerona, Barcelona and Tarragona (Catalonia) (Torrell-Sorio & Fernández-Rodríguez 2008), Castellón (Delacour-Estrella et al. 2010) and Alicante (Roiz et al. 2007) (Valencian Community). Besides, it is less widespread in Valencia (Alarcón-Elbal et al. 2013), the Region of Murcia (Collantes & Delgado 2011) and the Balearic Islands (Miquel et al. 2013). Moreover, it is threatening to colonise the region of Andalusia (including the Atlantic watershed) and the Basque Country (EID Atlantique 2014). Additionally, the risk of spread from Spain to Portugal cannot be ignored, given that the Iberian Peninsula forms a single geographical unit.

In this study, we present results for *Ae. albopictus* from the national surveillance project against imported vectors.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study areas comprised the coastal part of the autonomous regions of Valencia and Murcia, which are both located in the Mediterranean basin. To monitor the presence of *Ae. albopictus*, we used traditional oviposition traps, also known as ovitraps (Fay & Eliason 1966), which are the preferred tool for environmental surveillance of *Aedes* activity (Becker et al. 2003). These traps include a dark container filled with water and a wooden paddle that serves as an oviposition substrate; an insect growth regulator was also added to effectively control breeding.

Traps were placed around optimum breeding sites where habitats suitable for expansion were identified. A special emphasis was placed on anthropic environments, such as cemeteries, petrol stations, residential complexes with gardens, urban parks and gardened roundabouts. Because only a subset of the municipalities could be sampled due to budgetary restrictions, their location with respect to the coast was an important selection criterion. As in other regions (Giménez et al. 2007), a significant number of the new locations in Valencia (which later tested positive for *Ae. albopictus*) were chosen based on complaints of discomfort due to mosquito bites.
A total of 1,212 ovitraps were placed during the study period. The studied municipalities (Fig. 1) included Castellón (23 municipalities, 17% of the total area, 23.1% of the provincial surface and 91.5% of the coastline), Valencia (15 municipalities, 4.9%, 6.9% and 86%), Alicante (24 municipalities, 17%, 26.6% and 39.8%) and Murcia (13 municipalities, 28.9%, 37.5% and 100%).

The traps were operational from the beginning of September to the middle of November of 2013 and some of the traps continued to work until the end of the year. This sampling period included the months with the highest recorded densities in Spain (Lucientes-Curdi et al. 2014). The wooden paddles and water were replaced every two weeks and transported in closed containers to the laboratory. The paddles were then studied under a stereoscope and the eggs found were introduced to water to facilitate hatching, following the method described by Alarcón-Elbal et al. (2010). The species were then classified using the taxonomic key of Schaffner et al. (2001).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Seventy-five municipalities were sampled and 48 of them tested positive for the presence of Asian tiger mosquito eggs; these results indicated 65% positivity for all locations studied (Fig. 2). It must be emphasised that not all municipalities were sampled with equal effort; therefore, a distinction was made between negative results in areas that were sparsely sampled and negative results from thoroughly sampled municipalities.

Ae. albopictus has spread through the province of Castellón along the coast northward and southward since it was first detected in 2010 in Benicasim (Delacour-Esatrella et al. 2010). The vector’s spread to inland villages was observed in 2012 (Lucientes-Curdi et al. 2014) and confirmed by the current results, which indicate that 21 of the 23 sampled municipalities were positive (91.3%).

Ae. albopictus was first detected in the province of Valencia in 2013, thereby completing the Mediterranean provincial corridor (Alarcón-Elbal et al. 2013). The findings of that study indicated that three of the 15 sampled municipalities were positive (20%), as was Real de Gandia (Bueno-Marí et al. 2013).

The mosquito was first detected in the province of Alicante in 2005 (Roiz et al. 2007). These first Aedes populations outside Catalonia could have been transported there by a private car from Sant Cugat del Vallés, in Barcelona, that was driven to Orihuela by a resident of Catalonia who had a holiday house in this municipality (Lucientes-Curdi et al. 2014). It was later found in abundance in Torrevieja in 2009 (Delacour et al. 2009, Bueno-Marí et al. 2010) and, at present, it has been detected in 18 of the 24 sampled municipalities (75%).

In the Region of Murcia, the dipteran was first detected in 2011 near the city of Murcia (Collantes & Delgado 2011). The current results indicate that six of the 13 sampled municipalities were positive (46.2%). How-
ever, although Collantes et al. (2014) observed the first outdoor winter reproductive activity of *Ae. albopictus* in Europe during the winter of 2012-2013 in Murcia, this behaviour was only observed in the city of Cartagena during the past winter.

In summary, one province and 30 new municipalities were added to the list of areas affected by *Ae. albopictus* in the mainland Spanish Levante in 2013 (Fig. 3).

Over the past decade, entomological studies focused on the search for new populations revealed that two different processes have been intertwined, making difficult to reconstruct the true sequence of occupation. The mosquito expanded along over 650 km of the Mediterranean coast in 10 years and this wide expansion was most likely propelled by transport of the mosquito *via* ground vehicles. The transportation of adults in cars and trucks was documented previously and data indicate that the number of mosquitoes transported correlates with the traffic volume (Flacio et al. 2006).

On the one hand, as in other countries [e.g., Italy, see review map, figure 4, in Otranto et al. (2013)], *Ae. albopictus* has dispersed and colonised following jumping and patch patterns, respectively. On the other hand, scientific research on its movement has been irregular due to budgetary limits. For example, the Asian tiger mosquito was discovered near Murcia city in 2011 and individuals, who were already familiar with *Ae. albopictus* because they lived in Catalonia, informed entomologists of its possible presence in Mazarrón municipality in November of the same year. However, we were unable to verify its presence immediately and *Ae. albopictus* was confirmed in Mazarrón by Bueno-Marí et al. (2012) the following summer.

As noted before, certain free municipalities may be understudied. We may therefore be obtaining false negative results from these municipalities, as in the case of Lorca municipality (Murcia province). There, only four traps were placed within a small coastal part of its territory. However, positive traps were observed in the nearby municipalities of Mazarrón and Águilas, which are located on both sides of the coastline (Fig. 2). The European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control guidelines (ECDC 2012) recommend a high density of ovitraps; however, they were not available due to limits in the budget allocated for surveillance. As a result, it was necessary to reduce the effort.

Nevertheless, the inconsistent arrangement of ovitraps allowed us to obtain evidence of possible false negatives in certain municipalities. In the San Pedro del Pinatar municipality (Murcia), traps were placed in two distinct arrangements: one trap per point at 16 points and five traps per point at two points. All points with single traps were negative, whereas the five-trap points were positive. However, not all traps at the same location were positive at the same time. Similar findings were observed in San Javier, the closer municipality to San Pedro del Pinatar.

Ovitraps are widely used because they are cheaper than other sampling methods, both in terms of materials and personnel and because they are superior to larval sampling to detect positive areas of *Ae. albopictus* (Azevedo-Marques et al. 1993), but some studies have revealed that ovitraps underestimate the population of this mosquito (Kröckel et al. 2006, Fonseca et al. 2012, Becker et al. 2013).

Previous studies point to the behaviour of the females as a possible source of the false negatives. It has been demonstrated that female *Ae. albopictus* scatter their eggs during each gonotrophic cycle (Rozeboom et al. 1973). Additionally, other studies revealed that females prefer to lay their eggs in pristine containers, avoiding the presence of co-specific eggs; it therefore follows that if there are many abandoned containers, the females have more potential breeding sites from which to choose (Fonseca et al. 2012). Due to this behaviour and despite the positive correlation between the number of eggs in ovitraps and the number of females caught using another type of trap (Carrieri et al. 2011), the number of eggs is not a good
metric to estimate the number of females visiting the ovitrap (Facchinelli et al. 2007) and, thus, the population of *Ae. albopictus*. Additionally, Facchinelli et al. (2007) observed that in areas with low densities of Asian tiger mosquito, the theoretical minimum number of ovitraps needed to detect the dipteran was presumably quite high even with low levels of precision. Similarly, Collantes et al. (2014) pointed out that in the Spanish Levante, due to our “water culture”, people arrange containers for collecting rainwater and saving water in pots. This practice ensures that a steady water supply is available for the reproductive cycle of *Ae. albopictus* even in the absence of significant rainfall. Given the combined circumstances of low densities of *Ae. albopictus*, low densities of ovitraps and high densities of containers, whether abandoned or intentionally arranged, false negatives are likely.

For these reasons, even a low level of positive results is alarming news. In parallel with the events following Romi’s (2001) warning regarding possible epidemics related to *Ae. albopictus* and their occurrence in 2007 (Angelini et al. 2007), the presence of the Asian tiger mosquito in Spain remains a health problem that is underestimated by local health authorities. However, in Catalonia (Cúrcó et al. 2008) and municipalities within the Spanish Levante that are moderately to severely affected (unpublished observations), citizens are acutely aware of the problem.

In conclusion, we want to emphasise that the most productive mosquito control techniques for *Ae. albopictus* are sanitation and breeding source reduction, efforts that necessarily require the involvement of state governments and the cooperation, diligence and help of the general public. In this respect, dwindling budgetary allocations to the health sector are a great concern with respect to the threat of vectors and vector-borne diseases.
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