Abdominal Angiostrongylosis in Southern Brazil - Prevalence and Parasitic Burden in Mollusc Intermediate Hosts from Eighteen Endemic Foci
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Angiostrongyulus costaricensis is a parasitic nematode of rodents and molluscs are the intermediate hosts. Nocturnal collection of molluscs and search for infective third stage larvae of A. costaricensis was carried out in 18 endemic foci identified by the notification of a confirmed diagnosis in human biopsies or surgical specimens. Molluscs were digested in acidic solution and isolation of larvae eventually present was done in a Baermann funnel. Larvae identified by the presence of a delicate groove in the tail were counted to assess the individual parasitic burden. Four species were found infected, with ranges of prevalence in parenthesis: Phyllocaulis variegatus (7% to 33.3%); Bradybaena similaris (11.7% to 24.1%); Belocaulus angustipes (8.3%) and Phyllocaulis soleiformis (3.3% to 14.2%). Parasitic burden varied from 1 to 75 with P. variegatus, 1 to 98 with B. similaris, 1 to 13 with B. angustipes and 1 larvae in each of two specimens of P. soleiformis. P. variegatus was present in all sites and was found infected with the highest prevalence figures and the highest individual parasitic burdens. These data stress the importance of veronicellid slugs as intermediate hosts for A. costaricensis in the endemic areas in Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil.
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Abdominal angiostrongylosis is a nematode infection caused by Angiostrongylus costaricensis Morera and Céspedes, 1971. Molluscs are involved in the cycle as intermediate hosts, producing the third stage larvae (L3), infective for the definitive host. The adult worm lives inside the mesenteric arteries in wild rodents, like Sigmodon hispidus in Central America and Oryzomys nigripes in southern Brazil (Morera 1973, Graeff-Teixeira et al. 1990). A new conception on the migration and maturation of this parasite in definitive hosts has recently been proposed by Mota and Lenzi (1995).

Human infection has been detected from Mexico to northern Argentina (Zavala-Velázquez et al. 1974, Demo & Pessat 1986). In Brazil, the disease produced by A. costaricensis has been detected in the southern states, from the Distrito Federal (Brasília) to Rio Grande do Sul, RS, where a large number of diagnosis have been performed (Graeff-Teixeira et al. 1991).

Although veronicellid slugs have been identified as hosts in several countries: Costa Rica (Morera & Ash 1970), Ecuador (Morera et al. 1983), Honduras (Kaminsky et al. 1987, Morera et al. 1988), Brazil (Graeff-Teixeira et al. 1989a) and Nicaragua (Duarte et al. 1992), molluscs from other groups, like Limax maximus, may also have an important role as hosts for the parasite, in some foci (Graeff-Teixeira et al. 1993). Susceptibility was experimentally demonstrated for Biomphalaria glabrata, B. tenagophila, B. straminea, Sarasinula marginata and Megalobulimus abbreviatus (Graeff-Teixeira et al. 1989b, Lima et al. 1992a,b).

The objective of this paper is to report on prevalence and parasitic burden of A. costaricensis in molluscs collected in 18 foci of transmission of abdominal angiostrongylosis in southern Brazil.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Nocturnal searches were undertaken in the surroundings of the houses of patients with histopathological diagnosis of abdominal angiostrongylosis, in RS - Brazil’s southernmost state, from March 1993 to May 1995. The localization of the sites and the date of the expeditions are indicated in the Table I.
All molluscs found on the surface of the soil nearby the house of patients were collected and kept in cotton bags containing vegetal debris, until examination in the laboratory. For at least 1 hr the area was scanned through continuous walking under the light of a 100W gas lamp. An extended search for 2 hr did not result in improved yield of molluscs, at sites where few specimens were collected. The cephalopodal mass of shelled molluscs or the eviscerated body of slugs were individually teased in a metal grid and incubated for 6 hr in a 0.7% chloridric acidic solution, at room temperature, with occasional agitation (Graeff-Teixeira & Morera 1995). Larvae eventually present were isolated with a Baermann funnel, identified and counted as metastrongylid larvae by the morphology of the tail.

RESULTS

In two sites no molluscs could be found: Erebango (Expedition number - EN 20) in a rural area and Tapejara (EN 10) at an urban area. In other 18 sites, the search for molluscs was successful (Table II). In Marau, collection was repeated in order to better evaluate a huge population of *Bradybaena similaris* and for this reason 19 is the total number of expeditions (see Table I).

Results of the search for metastrongylid larvae are presented in the Tables III and VI. In 11 sites (Table III) L3 was found in *P. variegatus* (Pv), *B. similaris* (Bs), *P. soleiforms* (Ps) and *B. angustipes* (Ba) with prevalences ranging from 7% to 33% for Pv, 11% to 24% for Bs, 3% to 14% for Ps and 8% for Ba. In Três de Maio the very small number of molluscs prevents evaluation of prevalence. Infection was not documented in *Limax flavus*, *L. maximus*, *Deroceras* sp. and *Helix aspersa* from several sites.

Taking as a working hypothesis the proposition that identification of infected molluscs is positively associated with a short time elapsed since the date of diagnosis of the index case and the date of expedition (data taken from Table I and ordenated in Table IV), the Yule coefficient was calculated for several different definitions of “short time interval”, as shown in Table V. There were no indications of positive association.

The number of larvae found in individual molluscs is shown in Table VI. Without considering the species, only one L3 larvae was found in 20

### Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Municipality</th>
<th>Patient initials</th>
<th>Date of diagnosis</th>
<th>Time elapsed in years (months)</th>
<th>Date of expedition</th>
<th>Expedition number (EN)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marau</td>
<td>Marau</td>
<td>O.T.</td>
<td>Apr 81</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Jan 94</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Apr 95*</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getúlio Vargas-IA*</td>
<td>Getúlio Vargas</td>
<td>I.A.</td>
<td>Apr 84</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Jan 94</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estação</td>
<td>Estação</td>
<td>E.V.</td>
<td>Mar 85</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Jan 94</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sta. Rosa -GM</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>G.M.</td>
<td>Jun 89</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>May 94</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vila Petrópolis</td>
<td>Passo Fundo</td>
<td>D.L. V.L.</td>
<td>May 91</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Jan 94</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Paulo Missões*</td>
<td>S. Paulo Missões</td>
<td>A.P.</td>
<td>Ago 92</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Apr 93</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodeio Bonito</td>
<td>Rodeio Bonito</td>
<td>S.A.</td>
<td>Nov 92</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mar 93</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ametista do Sul</td>
<td>Ametista do Sul</td>
<td>G.Z.C.</td>
<td>Nov 92</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Apr 93</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tapejara</td>
<td>Tapejara</td>
<td>J.M.</td>
<td>Feb 94</td>
<td>0 (1)</td>
<td>Mar 94</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sta. Rosa -Cruzeiro</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Z.Z.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lajeado Machinha*</td>
<td>Tuparendi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tuparendi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tuparendi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombo-Usina*</td>
<td>Guaporé</td>
<td>M.B.</td>
<td>Jul 94</td>
<td>0 (2)</td>
<td>Out 94</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Bárbara</td>
<td>Santa Bárbara</td>
<td>E.H.</td>
<td>Jul 94</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>Dec 94</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Vista do Buricá</td>
<td>Bou Vista do Buricá</td>
<td>L.V.K.</td>
<td>Nov 94</td>
<td>0 (6)</td>
<td>May 95</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pessequeirão*</td>
<td>Ernestina</td>
<td>S.P.B.</td>
<td>Jan 95</td>
<td>0 (3)</td>
<td>Apr 95</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Três de Maio</td>
<td>Três de Maio</td>
<td>L.S.</td>
<td>Jan 95</td>
<td>0 (4)</td>
<td>May 95</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getúlio Vargas-DC*</td>
<td>Getúlio Vargas</td>
<td>D.C.</td>
<td>Mar 95</td>
<td>0 (1)</td>
<td>Apr 95</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erebango</td>
<td>Erebango</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barreirinho</td>
<td>Sarandi</td>
<td>D.B.</td>
<td>Mar 95</td>
<td>0 (1)</td>
<td>Apr 95</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a*: two expeditions were performed in the same site in Marau and two different sites were studied in the town of Getúlio Vargas; *b*: rural areas.
### TABLE II

Distribution of mollusc species and number of individuals collected in 18 localities endemic for abdominal angiostrongylosis in Rio Grande do Sul (Brazil), from March 1993 to May 1995, corresponding to 19 expeditions since a site in Marau was visited twice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Phylocaulis</th>
<th>Bradybaena</th>
<th>Phylocaulis</th>
<th>Belocaulus</th>
<th>Limax</th>
<th>Limax</th>
<th>Helix</th>
<th>Deroceras</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>variegatus</td>
<td>similars</td>
<td>soleiformis</td>
<td>augustipes</td>
<td>flavus</td>
<td>maxinus</td>
<td>aspersa</td>
<td>sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodeio Bonito</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ametista do Sul</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Paulo Missõesa</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marau-Janb</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marau-Aprb</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estação</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getúlio Vargas-IA</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getúlio Vargas-DC</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vila Petrópolis</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuparendi</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lajeado Manchinhad</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sta. Rosa-GM</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sta. Rosa-Cruzeiro</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombo-Usinac</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Bárbara</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pessegueirod</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barreirinhod</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Três de Maio</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Vista do Buricá</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>863</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: rural areas; b: in Marau the study was repeated 14 months after the first collection.

### TABLE III

Prevalence (% in parenthesis) of infection with third stage larvae of *Angiostrongylus costaricensis*, in molluscs collected in 18 endemic foci for abdominal angiostrongylosis, from March 1993 to May 1995, in Rio Grande do Sul (Brazil).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Phyllocaulis</th>
<th>Bradybaena</th>
<th>Phylocaulis</th>
<th>Belocaulus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>variegatus</td>
<td>similars</td>
<td>soleiformis</td>
<td>augustipes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodeio Bonito</td>
<td>13 / 57 (22.8)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ametista do Sul</td>
<td>02 / 20 (10.0)</td>
<td>07 / 29 (24.1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estação</td>
<td>01 / 03 (33.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passo Fundo</td>
<td>01 / 07 (14.2)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuparendi</td>
<td>04 / 53 (07.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sta. Rosa-GM</td>
<td>01 / 08 (12.5)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>01 / 07 (14.2)</td>
<td>01 / 12 (08.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sta. Rosa-Cruzeiro</td>
<td>06 / 27 (22.5)</td>
<td>02 / 12 (16.6)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombo-Usina</td>
<td>01 / 11 (09.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barreirinho</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>01 / 30 (03.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marau-Aprd</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>02 / 17 (11.7)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Três de Maio</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>01 / 01</td>
<td>02 / 02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: two expeditions were made to Marau; this one was performed in April 1995 (see Table I).

### TABLE IV

Correlation between the time elapsed since the acute phase of the index case and results from parasitological examination in molluscs from 18 sites (19 expeditions) in Rio Grande do Sul (Brazil).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expeditions</th>
<th>1a</th>
<th>2a</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time (years)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(months)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasitological examination</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: two expeditions in a same site: Marau (see Table I); the expeditions, identified by numbers as defined in Table I, were arranged in a decrescent order of the variable “time”.
TABLE V

Estimation of Yule Coefficient (Q value) as a test of association between positive results in mollusc examination for *Angiostrongylus costaricensis* larvae and the time elapsed since the acute phase of the index case

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Several trials of grouping the 19 sites according to time elapsed since acute phase (years)</th>
<th>Parasitological examination</th>
<th>Q value varies from -1 to 1 (neg. to pos. association)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper limit of group “n”</td>
<td>$f_{11}$</td>
<td>$f_{12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower limit of group “n+1”</td>
<td>$f_{11}$</td>
<td>$f_{21}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>£ 0.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 0.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>£ 1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>£ 3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>£ 5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>£ 9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>£ 10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE VI

Parasitic burden in molluscs found infected in 11 from 18 endemic foci of abdominal angiostrongylosis in Rio Grande do Sul (Brazil) is expressed as the absolute number of larvae isolated from individual molluscs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mollusc species</th>
<th>Parasitic burden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Phyllocaulis variegatus</em></td>
<td>1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,2,3,3,4,4,6,8,9,11,14,19,20,38,69,75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bradybaena similaris</em></td>
<td>1,1,1,1,1,2,2,3,5,13,98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Belocaulus angustipes</em></td>
<td>1,2,13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. soleiformis</em></td>
<td>1,1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE VII

Comparison between the results of collection and examination of molluscs from Santa Rosa-GM, Rio Grande do Sul (Brazil), performed at different times. Results are presented as number of infected/total number collected, with prevalence (%) in parenthesis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mollusc species</th>
<th>1992&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>1993&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>1994&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Bradybaena similaris</em></td>
<td>3 / 87 (3.4)</td>
<td>0 / 85</td>
<td>0 / 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Helix aspersa</em></td>
<td>0 / 33</td>
<td>3 / 63 (4.7)</td>
<td>0 / 06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Limax flavus</em></td>
<td>1 / 42 (2.8)</td>
<td>0 / 07</td>
<td>0 / 02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Phyllocaulis variegatus</em></td>
<td>3 / 27 (11.1)</td>
<td>4 / 40 (10.0)</td>
<td>1 / 08 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Phyllocaulis soleiformis</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 / 06 (16.6)</td>
<td>1 / 07 (14.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Belocaulus angustipes</em></td>
<td>8 / 24 (33.3)</td>
<td>0 / 17</td>
<td>1 / 12 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>: unpublished results; one specimen of *Megalobulimus* sp. was negative at examination and is not included in the table; <sup>b</sup>: Thiengo et al. 1993; <sup>c</sup>: present report.

DISCUSSION

Out of 45 (44%) examinations. The highest parasitic burden was 98 L3 in one specimen of *B. similaris*, but the highest proportion of values higher than 10 L3/mollusc was found in 7/28 (25%) infected *P. variegatus*.

Slugs from the Veronicellidae family: *Sarasinula plebeia* (sin.= *Vaginulus plebeius*) in Central America and northern South America (Morera & Ash 1970, Morera et al. 1983, Duarte
et al. 1992), *P. variegatus* and *B. angustipes* from southern Brazil have been considered the important intermediate hosts of *A. costaricensis* (Graeff-Teixeira et al. 1989a). Natural infection has also been documented in *P. soleiformis* (Thiengo et al. 1993).

The lack of a strict specificity of *A. costaricensis* for its intermediate host has been suggested by previous studies identifying as host several other molluscs, like *L. maximus*, *L. flavus*, *B. similis* (Graeff-Teixeira et al. 1993) and *H. aspersa* (Thiengo et al. 1993). The absence of veronicellid slugs, especially in some rural areas where *Megalobulimus abbreviatus* and *Epiphragmophora* sp. could play the main role as hosts, is also an intriguing finding (Graeff-Teixeira et al. 1993). Two other metastrongylid worms, *A. cantonensis* and *A. vasorum* are also not very specific for intermediate hosts (Alicata 1965, Rosen et al. 1970).

*P. variegatus* was the most frequently collected and infected species, with prevalences ranging from 7% to 33.3%, and it showed the highest parasitic burdens (Table VI). Very high prevalences, such as 75%, are reported for *Sarasinula plebeia* in Costa Rica (Morera 1985) and maximum values of 7.6% in Honduras (Kaminsky et al. 1995) for the same species. Even without a proper standardization of the examination procedures, these variability appears to be real and may represent the diversity of transmission dynamics in different geographic areas.

Evaluation of individual parasitic burden in published studies of identification of intermediate hosts for *A. costaricensis* has not been described, despite its obvious epidemiological importance. Except for a very preliminary previous evaluation (unpublished results) in the same location of expedition number 5 (see Table I) there was no idea about what could be the parasitic burden in naturally infected molluscs. The results (Table VI) are somewhat surprising, since higher counts were expected. Even if we consider the problem that a satisfactory evaluation of sensitivity of the method used for detection of larvae is lacking, the counts are quite low.

If these data on larvae burden are confirmed in further evaluations, we could speculate that a low number of infective L3 is required to establish infection in vertebrate hosts, including man. These informations from natural infections should stimulate the improvement of experimental models, where high larvae inocula are employed in order to overcome methodological difficulties (Mota & Lenzi 1995).

The discrete participation of *P. soleiformis* as host for *A. costaricensis* further illustrates the idea previously commented that documentation of susceptibility in the laboratory does not define its epidemiological importance. This species has been employed for experimental infections for a long time (Graeff-Teixeira et al. 1989b) but in the endemic area in RS it occurs infrequently and it is seldom found infected.

The present data illustrate the importance of prevalence, parasitic burden and the size of the host’s population in determination of epidemiological importance of a given host species. *B. similis* usually occurs with very large populations, proliferating focally mainly in the vegetable gardens next to the houses. But individual larvae burden in this study was low, with 9 out of 12 specimens harboring less than 5 larvae. Examination of *B. angustipes* was only positive in two sites, with small numbers of infected snails and low parasitic burdens.

The estimation of Yule coefficient - Q value - (Table V) for several arrangements of the time elapsed since diagnosis of an index case (e.g. one group with equal or less than 0.5 year and other group with more than 0.5 year, etc.) resulted in low Q values and did not support the hypothesis of a positive association between “short time interval” and the identification of infected molluscs. Even without a statistical analysis, the endemicity of this parasitosis could be depicted in the analysis of distribution of positive results along time, as shown in Table IV. Data from Table IV also illustrates the pulsatile character of an enzootic focus: in the same site (Marau - EN 1) with a positive identification of infected slugs 14 years after the acute disease, in January 1994, the examination of molluscs was negative 14 months later, in April 1995 (EN 2).

Besides the diversity of species that may be found infected in different localities, some variability over time in the same site is also seen (Table VII). In Santa Rosa-GM site, there were two previous collections (one and two years before) showing oscillating prevalence figures specially for *B. angustipes* (33.3%, 0% and 8.3%), *H. aspersa* (0%, 4.7% and 0%) but a regularity in prevalence with *P. variegatus* (11.1%, 10% and 12.5%). This regularity may be another indicator for the importance of that mollusc species as host for *A. costaricensis*.

The data presented in the Table II was considered important to characterize the mollusc population in the studied sites, but they also contribute for the improvement in the knowledge of the geographic distribution of mollusc species in RS. As further studies better demonstrate mollusc species with well adapted relationship to *A. costaricensis*, the knowledge of its geographic distribution may help to map the human infection.

It is interesting to note the total number lower than 8 specimens of collected molluscs in three out
of four rural sites (Table II). The description of the best environmental conditions for proliferation of “sinantropic” mollusc species is not the aim of the present report, but it is important to note the indications that neither the isolated rural human settings nor the crowded urban areas are favorable for their proliferation. Most of the studied sites with large mollusc populations are urban areas with a rural-like environment: large gardens and even small crops’ (corn, bean) cultivations. It is also noteworthy the occurrence of exotic species brought with European immigrants, like *Limax* sp., mainly in urban areas.

In conclusion, the observed prevalence and individual larvae burden in molluscs from 18 endemic foci in southern Brazil stress the importance of *P. variegatus*, as intermediate host for *A. costaricensis*.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

To Dr Rubens Rodriguez and Carmen Maria Tagliari (Instituto de Patologia de Passo Fundo) for permanent support; to Eva Medeiros Floriano and Sarina Held (Parasitologia, Instituto de Biociências, PUCRS) for technical help.

**REFERENCES**


