Visual functions of commercial drivers in relation to road accidents in Nigeria

Abstract

Objective: To determine the effects of the visual functions on the occurrence of road traffic accidents (RTA) amongst commercial drivers in Ife Central local government area (LGA) of Osun state of Nigeria. Design: A cross-sectional study. Settings: Four major motor parks located at Ife Central LGA. Materials and Methods: Of the estimated 270 commercial drivers in the four major parks of the LGA, 215 consecutive drivers were interviewed and had their eyes examined. Structured questionnaires were administered by an ophthalmologist. Results: The prevalence of visual impairment (visual acuity < 6/18) in the better eye without correction was 3.3% ± 2.4 and there was a significant association between uncorrected visual acuity impairment in the better eye and RTA (P = 0.0152). Refractive error was seen in 8.4% of the drivers, but none of these wear corrective glasses. Visual field defect, abnormal stereopsis and color vision impairment did not have any significant association with RTA. Conclusion: Poor visual acuity is strongly associated with RTA amongst Nigerian commercial drivers as opposed to visual field defect, abnormal color vision and stereopsis. A significant proportion of visual impairment was due to uncorrected refractive errors.
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INTRODUCTION

Road traffic accident (RTA) remains a dreadful plague the world over. The trend has been on a decline in most developed countries, while the reverse is the case in the developing nations.[1]

RTA is endemic in Nigeria, with seasonal epidemics.[3] The police records show that between 1980 and 1989, a total of 98,168 Nigerians died and 244,864 were injured in RTA.[3] These averaged about 10,000 killed and 25,000 injured annually.[3] A study has shown that 1 out of 3 and 1 out of 9 of the Nigerian population stand the risk of getting injured or killed respectively, on a yearly basis from RTA.[4] In spite of the concerted effort at reducing the rate of RTA, Nigeria still ranked amongst the highly affected nations of the world.[5] It is even more disturbing to note that the young adults group, the economic back-bone of a nation, is most affected by this ravaging menace.[6,7]

Driving requires several sets of abilities, which include sensory ability (mainly visual), mental ability, motor ability and compensatory abilities. Though 95% of the sensory requirement for driving has been shown to be visual,[8] various workers however found no correlation between visual impairment and the occurrence of RTA.[9,10]

As with visual acuity, published data shows equivocal and sometimes conflicting evidences of the correlation between visual field and RTA.[11] There is however some evidence that drivers with binocular field defect do have a higher incidence of accidents, especially of the side-wipe nature.[12]

The Drivers and Vehicle Licensing Agency in the UK has defined the minimum visual field for safe driving as a field of vision of at least 120 degree on the horizontal meridian and no significant field defect in the binocular field which encroaches within 20 degree of fixation, either above or below the horizontal meridian. The test is done using the Goldmann perimeter on the iii4e setting or its equivalent.

Johnson and Keltner[13] in their mass visual field screening of 10,000 drivers in California found that both accident rate and conviction rate in groups with visual field loss were more than twice as high, as for age and sex matched control group with normal vision. Taylor[6] however submitted that there was no evidence of a progressive increase in the accident rate with the deterioration in the total visual field. Burg[14] Council and Allen[14] in the
USA and Davidson in the UK[19] also showed little correlation between visual field defect and accident record.

Nwosu[16] in Nigeria, reported a prevalence of 3.9% visual field defect amongst drivers in Ibadan, but found no significant association with RTA. Okafor[11] and Effiong[12] also found no significant association between RTA and visual field defect in their studies.

In Nigeria, the federal road safety corps (FRSC) guideline for visual requirement for drivers is silent about visual field.[20] Alli[21] however recommended a horizontal binocular visual field of 140° or more for Nigerian drivers and that any visual field less than 110° should be a contraindication for driving in Nigeria.

This study aimed at analyzing the relationship between the various aspects of visual function and the occurrence of RTA.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

All the four major motor parks in Ife central LGA were included in the study; these were Ilesa/Akure/Ekiti motor park, Lagere motor park, Mayfair motor park and OAU campus gate motor park. The drivers were educated on the purpose and importance of the study by the consultant ophthalmologist, thereafter verbal consent was sought from consecutive drivers who agreed to participate in the interview and subsequently presented for ocular examination.

Two hundred and seventy commercial drivers in these four major motor parks were enrolled for this study. However, 215 consecutive drivers who consented were interviewed and had their eyes examined. All these drivers travel regularly within the local government area and the adjacent nearby communities during the day in vehicles with current valid certificate of roadworthiness.

A structured questionnaire was administered by an ophthalmologist to each driver by face-to-face interview. The documented information included drivers demographic data, duration of driving, history of involvement in RTA, medical or surgical attention were referred to the eye clinic of the Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching Hospitals Complex (OAUTHC) Ile-Ife, with a designed referral form.

Approval for the protocol for this study was obtained from the ethic and research committee of the Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching Hospitals Complex.

Data obtained were analyzed using EPI-INFO statistical software. The relationships between categorical data were analyzed using Chi square test. At the adopted confidence level of 95%, P value of 0.05 (i.e. 5%) or less was considered to be significant. Yates’s corrected Chi square and the appropriate Fisher’s exact P value were used where the value of any cell was less than 5.

The relationships between categorical data were analyzed using Chi square test. At the adopted confidence level of 95%, P value of 0.05 (i.e. 5%) or less was considered to be significant. Yates’s corrected Chi square and the appropriate Fisher’s exact P value were used where the value of any cell was less than 5.

We identified and adjusted for potential confounding factors like age, duration of driving experience and presence of illnesses and medication by using logistic regression model in the statistical analysis. The effects of poor vehicle condition and environmental factors such as rainfall and fogs were not considered in this study.

**RESULTS**

A total of 215 drivers participated in this study; this represents about 80% of the total population (270) of the commercial
drivers in the four parks. Table 1 shows the age distribution of the drivers. Their ages ranged between 21 years and 75 years. The mean age was 41.5±6.7 years. All of them were males. About 2/3 of the drivers (67.9%) were between the ages 31 years to 50 years.

Majority (94.2%) had formal education, 54.9% had post-primary education. Majority (94.0%) of the drivers obtained their driver’s licenses at the approved age of 18 years or older. An unlicensed driver was however seen amongst the studied population. This was reported to the FRSC for investigation and necessary action.

A large proportion of 83.6% had no eye test before they were issued their driver’s licenses, 22% got their licenses without undergoing any form of driving test. Majority (92.1%) could read and comprehend road signs.

Table 2 shows that refractive errors (17.2%, about 50% of which was presbyopia) was the most common ocular morbidity among the drivers, followed by cataract (14.4%), pterygium (7.0%) and glaucoma (5.6%). Others were corneal opacity, macular lesions, lid abnormalities and phthisis bulbi (0.5% each).

Table 3 depicts the visual acuity in the better eyes of the 215 drivers. Majority, 208 (96.7%) had normal visual acuity, while seven (3.3%) had visual impairment in the better eyes. None of the drivers had severe visual impairment or blindness in the better eye.

Out of the seven drivers who had visual impairment in their better eye, six (85.7%) had been involved in road traffic accident before. Fifty-one (24.5%) of the 208 drivers with normal visual acuity in their better eyes had been involved in road traffic accident. There is a statistically significant association between visual impairment in the better eye and RTA involvement with a risk of 4.16 (Risk ratio was 3.15, 95% confidence interval 3.58 - 5.14, X² 13.02, P = 0.0003)

Figure 1 shows that only one of the 57 drivers who were involved in road accident had abnormal color vision. There is no statistically significant association between color vision impairment and involvement in road traffic accident (Risk ratio was 1.12, 95% CI 10.3-11.05, X² = 2.30, P = 0.10).

Also, 2 (18.2%) of the 11 drivers with abnormal stereopsis had road traffic accident. Of the 204 drivers with normal stereopsis, 55 (27.0%) were involved in road traffic accidents; there is no statistically significant association between stereopsis and involvement in road traffic accident (Risk ratio was 1.45, 95% CI 0.42-5.3, X² = 0.52).

Seven (31.8%) of the 22 drivers with field defect in at least one eye were involved in road traffic accidents, whereas 50 (25.9%) of the drivers with normal field were involved in road accidents. There was no statistically significant association between visual field impairment in at least one eye and involvement in road traffic accident. Also, 1 out of the 5 drivers with bilateral visual field defect was involved in RTA, while 36 out of the 210 drivers who did not have bilateral visual field impairment were involved in RTA. There is no statistically significant association between bilateral visual field defect and involvement in road traffic accident (Risk ratio was 1.07, 95% CI 0.98-6.73, X² = 0.34).

Table 1: Age distribution of the drivers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>34.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-70</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Ocular morbidity amongst 215 drivers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morbidity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anterior segment lesions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cataract</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presbyopia</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other refractive error</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal opacity</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ectropion</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior segment lesions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glaucoma</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-glaucomatous optic atrophy</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macular hole</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exudative maculopathy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phthisis bulbi</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Presenting visual acuity in the better eye of drivers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visual acuity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/6-6/12 normal</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/18-6/60 visual</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impairment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;6/60 - 3/60 severe</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual impairment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;3/60 blindness</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Relationship between colour vision and stereopsis and RTA
DISCUSSION

The prevalence of visual impairment of 3.3% found in this study is higher than those found in drivers of similar categories from previous studies.\[12,23\] This can be explained partly by the fact that these other studies used the best or pinhole corrected visual acuity (VA) for their analysis, while the presenting visual acuity (VA) was used in this study. If this study had used the corrected VA, the prevalence of visual impairment would have been lower, since some drivers categorized as visually impaired would have been improved into the normal VA category. The presenting VA was used in this study because this was the drivers’ habitual VA. This is in agreement with the results of other researchers that a number of drivers in Nigeria operate on the roads with vision below the legal requirement.\[12,24\]

The prevalence of uniocular blindness in this study was 2.3%. Two out of the five drivers with uniocular blindness had been involved in RTA in the past, but the causes of RTA in the two cases were excessive speeding and faulty vehicle. The RTAs were also said to have occurred before the drivers noticed the impairment in vision. The finding here does not support Norman’s\[25\] observation that one-eyed drivers are more prone to RTA. This may be due to the small number of uniocular blind drivers involved in this study.

The significant association between visual impairment and RTA found in this study is similar to the results of other studies.\[12,23,24,26\] VA is the only visual parameter currently measured by FRSC,\[20\] but it was noticed that the VA was not checked in most cases before drivers were issued their driver’s licenses. Majority (83.6%) of the drivers in this study never had any eye test done before obtaining their driver’s licenses. This implied that some individuals that would have been barred from driving based on visual impairment easily obtained driver’s licenses, with a negative consequence on road safety.

Visual field is another visual parameter that has been recommended to be tested along with VA before driver’s licenses are issued to drivers,\[21\] but this is yet to be incorporated into the requirements for driving in Nigeria. In this study, there was no significant association between visual field defect and RTA. This result is in agreement with other studies done in Nigeria.\[12,23,24\] Some works done outside Nigeria however found significant association between visual field defect and RTA.\[8,18,37\] The difference in observation could not be explained based on the type of tools used for the test. In the other studies reported in Nigeria, the confrontational method was used, while this study and most of the works done outside the country used the automated perimeter, which is more sensitive. If the examination of visual field is to be effectively incorporated into the visual requirement for driving, then appropriate instrument must be made available with trained personnel to operate the equipment.

The only driver found with color vision abnormality was actually involved in RTA, but the cause of the RTA was excessive speeding. There was no significant association between color vision abnormality and RTA in this study. This result is in agreement with other studies.\[8,24\] It should however be noted that Ishihara test used in this study is sensitive only to congenital color defect, other instruments such as Fansworth Munsell 100 hue test that are sensitive to acquired color vision defect were not available.

Abnormal stereopsis was found in 5.1% of the drivers. All the drivers with uniocular blindness were included because it is known that binocular vision is a requirement for depth perception. There is no statistically significant association between impaired stereopsis and RTA in this study. Effiong,\[12\] Erikitola,\[23\] McMoli and Ogumene\[26\] all got similar results in their studies. As it was noted in other studies.\[30, 31\]

The prevalence of refractive error in this study was 8.4%, but no refraction was done so they could not be classified into various categories of refractive errors. This result is similar to findings in some studies,\[12,19\] but not in agreement with others.\[11,24\] It is however disturbing to note in this study, just as it was noted in other studies, that some drivers whose vision may have been improved with simple optical devices do not wear any. It shows that most drivers do not yet appreciate the importance of good vision in road safety.

The prevalence of cataract was 14.4%. There were two drivers with uniocular matured cataract. It was however not surprising that those two drivers with matured cataract were above 50 years old. Cataract has been reported as the most common cause of blindness worldwide, especially in the elderly population.\[29\] Cataract has also been associated with diminished contrast sensitivity and scotopic vision, both of which have been associated with RTA.\[6,12,29\]

CONCLUSION

There is a significant relationship between VA impairment and road accidents but none between visual field defect, abnormal color vision and stereopsis among commercial drivers in Ile-Ife, Nigeria.
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