Dental arch morphology in normal occlusions
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Abstract

Aim: The aim of this study was to identify the prevalence of 3 different mandibular dental arch morphologies in individuals with natural normal occlusion. Methods: Fifty-one mandibular dental casts of Caucasian individuals with natural normal occlusion were digitized. Each was without a history of orthodontic treatment and presenting at least four of the six keys to normal occlusion described by Andrews. Twelve orthodontists evaluated the prevalence of the square, oval and tapered arch shapes by analyzing the mandibular digital images. Results: The most prevalent dental arch shape was oval (41%), followed by square (39%), and tapered (20%) shapes. Conclusions: During leveling and alignment phases, when elastic-alloy-wires are greatly used, the orthodontist could use any of the studied arch shapes (oval, square, tapered), once the prevalence of all of them was similar.
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Introduction

Dental arch morphology is an important consideration in orthodontic treatment of dentofacial deformities. For over one century dental arch morphology has been studied in hopes of defining proper goals for tooth position, esthetics, function and long-term stability¹-⁴. Because the mandibular dental arch is one of the main references for orthodontic treatment planning, many studies have strived to define its ideal size and morphology⁵-⁸.

According to the literature⁹, human dental arch morphology shows wide individual variation. Descriptions range from ellipsoid⁶, parabolic⁶, a segment of a circle joined to lines¹⁰, a segment of circle¹¹ catenary curve, etc…

Diagrams were subsequently designed to aid orthodontists in forming wires to shape the dental arches during the treatment¹²-¹³. The use of standardized diagrams has been contested by some authors⁶,¹⁴-¹⁶, who suggested instead to use mathematical formulas¹⁷ to find a more individualized shape for arches.

The aim of this study was to verify the prevalence of three different morphologies of the mandibular dental arch in normal normal occlusions and then correlate those findings to other variables that may help guiding orthodontists customizing orthodontic archwires.
Material and methods

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the School of Dentistry of Piracicaba, University of Campinas (Protocol # 149/2008).

Sample

The study sample consisted of 51 mandibular dental casts from Caucasian individuals with natural normal occlusion. The ages ranged from 15y2m to 19y4m, with a mean age of 16y6m. There were 21 (41.2%) males and 30 (58.8%) females in the sample. Individuals with craniofacial and/or dental anomalies or asymmetries were excluded. All subjects had no previous preventive and/or corrective orthodontic treatment. Each cast presented at least four of the six keys to normal occlusion described by Andrews. The first key (molar Class I of Angle) bilaterally was required on all casts. All the permanent teeth were in occlusion, except the third molars.

Plaster model digitizing

The mandibular dental casts were individually digitized on a scanner dw5-140 (Dental Wings®; Montreal, Quebec, Canada), previously calibrated as instructed by the manufacturer. The image acquisition was processed by points (accuracy: 20-50 microns), according to the cast Cartesian axes. The images were automatically generated by a software program (Dental Wings®), generating a volumetric archive (.stl) for each cast.

Attainment of the images

After digitizing the casts the computer “Print Screen” resource was used to convert the images into figures. These figures had been transferred to the vectorial software CorelDRAW® X3 software, in which they had been cropped and prepared for evaluation. The control points in each mandibular cast were: the incisal edges of the incisors, the canine cusp tips, and the premolar and molar buccal cusp tips. When connected, these points formed Andrews’ “perimeter line”19, which assisted in the determination and evaluation of the dental arch morphology (Figure 1).

Subjective determination of the morphology of the mandibular dental arch

The dental arch 3D images were printed on white paper (90 g/m²). Each image was individually arranged in the center of a sheet of paper, below a guide, with three different dental arch configurations: square, oval and tapered20 (Figure 2). An album containing the 51 images, with one image on each sheet of paper, was distributed to each appraiser. The examiners (5 male and 7 female) were dentists with at least a master’s degree in orthodontics. Each examiner was instructed to subjectively pick one, and only one, match for the shape of the arch relative to the 3 given categories.

Statistical analysis

To test the level of agreement of the dental arch subjective classification among the examiners, the modified
Kappa statistical test$^{21-22}$, at 5% significance level was used. The Kappa values range from -1 to +1. +1 establishes a perfect agreement.

After a 15-day interval, the examiners reclassified all the images to evaluate the reliability of the measurement method and the operator’s calibration. The systematic error was calculated by the paired Student’s t test, at a significance level of 5%. Dahlberg’s method was used to calculate the casual error$^{23}$.

The inter-examiner level of agreement was tested by the modified Kappa statistical test$^{21-22}$ at a significance level of 5%. To evaluate the measurement method reliability and the operator’s calibration, all the images were reclassified by all examiners after a 15-day interval. The systematic error was calculated by the paired Student’s t test, at a significance level of 5%, and to calculate the casual error, it was used the Dahlberg’s method$^{23}$.

**Results**

It was not verified any systematic or casual error (p<0.05), showing the reliability of the used methods. The Kappa results showed statistically significant agreement for the arch configuration between the examiners (Table 1). According to Landis and Koch$^{21}$ the agreement value (0.55) was “moderate”. The percentages of mandibular dental arches morphology in this sample of natural normal occlusions are shown in Figure 3.

**Table 1:** Agreement among the 12 examiners about the arch configuration evaluation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>Kappa</th>
<th>IC95%</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arch</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.001 *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*statistically significant difference (p<0.05)

**Discussion**

The purpose of orthodontic treatment is to correct, intercept and/or prevent incorrect dental positions and dentofacial deformities, so that teeth and bone structures can be in harmony. The changes achieved during treatment should not disrupt the balance between teeth, bone structures and muscles.

Early orthodontic philosophies advocated expansion of the dental arches, without consideration to the balance among other stomatognathic structures. Later philosophies discovered that dental expansion over a certain limit could be unstable$^{1-2}$. However, some dental arch expansion or contraction might be stable in growing patients if the tooth positions did not significantly modify physiological muscles function$^{1,3,5}$. Since mature patient’s teeth tend to return to their original positions because orthopedics is not possible to be applied, the transverse dental movement should be as minimal as possible during the orthodontic therapy. Orthodontic movement will be more stable if there is a satisfactory balance between the muscles, bone structures and teeth$^{1}$.

Some authors claim that some buccolingual tooth movement is necessary during treatment in order to correct buccolingual inclinations and improve occlusal interfacing. Such movement is limited by alveolar bone and periodontal tissues$^{3,5,18}$ called by Andrews as the WALA ridge$^{19}$. The new positions of teeth are mainly determined and limited by the mandibular morphology, which is established around 8.5 weeks of intra-uterine life$^{6}$.

It is believed the maxillary arch shape is determined by the mandibular teeth$^{5,14}$ due to the similarity between mandibular and maxillary arches morphology and their morphogenesis$^{11}$.

As such, in pursuit of defendable, evidence-based treatment results, orthodontists should first consider the anatomic limits of the mandibular arch. In the beginning of orthodontic treatment, in the leveling and alignment phase, elastic-alloy-wires (such as Ni-Ti), as a standard, or average shape and size (i.e. oval, which is the most prevalent) could be utilized. After this phase, maintaining the dental arch configuration, as it relates to basal bone, is essential to the success of the orthodontic therapy because of its great influence on stability$^{12,20}$.

It has been suggested$^{5}$ to use reference models, called “diagrams”, to assist in forming orthodontic wires or in the selection of pre-formed archwires. The diagrams are based on measures of the dental arch elements, mainly, the inter canines distance and can provide parameters about the pre-treatment arch wire shape. Choosing a personal diagram for the patient, the metallic arches would be contoured in a standard shape and dimension, allowing the maintenance of the transverse dimension during treatment.

There are several studies suggesting different methods for the attainment of optimum arch shape. Some authors have suggested using photocopies of the occlusal aspect of the dental casts to select the arch configuration, based on pre-
contoured arches. Another option is the application of a Cartesian system to the cast photocopies, identifying x and y axes, to facilitate the visual evaluation of the arch morphology among three preselected shapes (square, tapered, oval). Recently, some authors presented the digitization of natural normal occlusion casts followed by the application of sixth-degree polynomials, establishing the six most preponderant arch configurations, guiding the orthodontist to choose visually, among these shapes, the one best fit to each patient. Thus, it was observed that, independent of the complexity of the methodology used to determine and choose the dental arch shape, the final choice is made by the orthodontist in a visual way, and so, subjectively.

Searching in literature, it was observed that several authors had found more than one dental arch shape, but there is not a consensus about the amount of joined forms. According to a previous study, the dental arches of natural normal occlusion individuals presented the anterior region in semicircle and the posterior teeth in straight-line, while the malocclusion arches were represented by four distinct forms. However, other authors, evaluating a sample comprised of normal occlusion and malocclusion casts had found five to six different geometric configurations to the mandibular dental arch.

Despite the authors’ agreement about the diversity of morphologies that best described the dental arch, some differences might have occurred because of the kind of methodology used. The use of three dental arch shapes was initially classified in 1934, looking for the improvement, customization, and simplification of treatment planning. The square morphology is indicated to large dental arches in which it is necessary to preserve morphology after rapid or slow expansion treatment. It presents the anterior region of the arch flat, arranging the central and lateral incisors in straight-line and the posteriors teeth almost vertical in the alveolar bone. In this study, this configuration was found in 39% of the sample, data different from other studies.

The tapered configuration, unlike the previous one, is usually used in atrophic arches, presenting a smaller intercuspal distance. This shape could be proper to adult patients, because it minimizes the relapses and the periodontal problems happening, in special in patients with thin periodontal profile. The present study showed 21% of tapered arch morphology, similar to other study findings and different from others.

The oval shape, used often by orthodontists, shows a little greater intercuspal distance than the tapered arches. It was the most common arch morphology in the present sample (41%) as in other studies, and when the ovoid shape was not the majority, it showed significant percentages.

In conclusion, the shape of the mandibular dental arch with highest prevalence was the oval (41%), followed by the square shape (39%), which showed very similar in results. The tapered shape was the lowest prevalent (20%). As a clinical application, at the beginning of the orthodontic treatment, during leveling and alignment phases, elastic-alloy-wires are greatly used and, in order to facilitated orthodontist work, any of the studied arch shapes could be used, once the prevalence of all of them was similar. After this, the orthodontist should observed the patient’s dental arch morphology and choose an archwire shape that best fits.
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