

Relationship between Biochemical Bone Markers and Bone Mineral Density in Patients with Phenylketonuria under Restricted Diet

Hala M. Koura¹, MD; Sherif M. Zaki^{*2}, MD; Nagwa A. Ismail¹, MD; Emad E. Salama¹, MD;
Dalia H. El Lebedy³, MD; Laila K. Effat⁴, MD

¹Department of Pediatrics, National Research Centre, ²Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine, ³Clinical Pathology Department, National Research Centre, ⁴Department of Molecular Genetics, National Research Centre, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt

Received: Jan 18, 2013; Accepted: Nov 16, 2013; First Online Available: Dec 31, 2013

Abstract

Objective: Most of phenylketonuria (PKU) develops bone turnover impairment and low bone mineral density (BMD). Measurements of BMD reflect only bone mineral status but not the dynamics of bone turnover. Bone markers are a noninvasive tool useful for the assessment of bone formation and bone resorption processes. Our study was to assess the levels of bone markers in PKU in order to select a screen marker and detect the most specific marker which can be combined with BMD for appropriate follow up.

Methods: Thirty three classic PKU patients were studied. BMD and bone mineral content (BMC) were measured. Total alkaline phosphatase (ALP), osteocalcin (OC) and carboxy-terminal propeptide of type I collagen (CICP), osteoprotegerin (OPG), receptor activator of nuclear factor κ B ligand (RANKL) and Deoxypyridinoline (DPD) were measured.

Findings: Nineteen (57.6%) male and fourteen (42.4 %) female PKU patients were involved in the current study. Their mean age was 8.4 ± 4.6 yrs and the age range 3-19 yrs. The control group consisted of twenty two (52.4%) males and twenty (47.6%) females. Their mean age was 8.5 ± 3.3 yrs and th age range 2-17 yrs. Using the Z score values, there was a significant decrease of total BMC (TBMC-Z), BMD of the femoral neck BMD-FN-Z, BMD of lumbar vertebrae (BMD-L-Z), BMD-FN and DPD while RANKL increased. There was a negative correlation between CICP and TBMC and between CICP and BMD-L in these patients. Also, a negative correlation between ALP and TBMC and between ALP and BMD-L was observed. It was concluded that the ALP provides a good impression of the new bone formation in the PKU patients and it has a highly significant negative correlation with the many parameters of the bone mineral status beside the wide availability of inexpensive and simple methods. So a screening test and/or follow up for the PKU patients using ALP would be available. Once the level of ALP decrease is detected, one can combine it with BMD to explore the bone mineral status and with specific bone markers (OC, RANKL and DBD), to verify the dynamics of bone turnover.

Conclusion: This schedule will reduce the risk of exposure of these patients to the risk hazards of DXA and limit its use only to a limited number of the highly suspected cases.

Iranian Journal of Pediatrics, Volume 24 (Number 1), Feburary 2014, Pages: 23-28

Key Words: Phenylketonuria; PKU; Bone Mineral Density; RANKL; Osteocalcin; Bone Mineral Content

Introduction

Phenylketonuria (PKU) is a disorder in which elimination diets are the only known therapy,

which reverses many clinical manifestations of the acute phase. Unfortunately, most of the patients develop bone turnover impairment and low bone mineral density (BMD)^[1]. The reduced BMD may

* Corresponding Author;

Address: Anatomy Department, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, El-Kasr Al Aini Street, Cairo, Egypt.

E-mail: zaky.sherif@yahoo.com

© 2014 by Pediatrics Center of Excellence, Children's Medical Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, All rights reserved.

be inherent to PKU and/or secondary to its dietary treatment^[2]. Measurements of BMD reflect only bone mineral status but not the dynamics of bone turnover^[1].

Biochemical bone markers are a valuable noninvasive tool useful for the assessment of bone formation and bone resorption processes^[3]. They have been developed over the past 20 years^[4]. Markers of bone formation are either generated from newly synthesized collagen such as carboxy-terminal propeptide of type I collagen (CICP) or osteoblast-related proteins such as osteocalcin (OC) and alkaline phosphatase^[5]. The majority of bone resorption markers are degradation products of bone collagen such as hydroxyproline. Other markers of bone resorption include non-collagenous matrix proteins such as bone Sialoprotein, or osteoclast-specific enzymes like tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase^[5]. Other important resorption markers are N-terminal cross-linking telopeptide of collagen type I (NTX), C-terminal cross-linking telopeptide of collagen type I (CTX), and tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase isoform-5b (TRACP-5b)^[6].

Increases in bone resorption marker levels have been documented in PKU patients^[7]. Such markers can also be useful in selected cases to improve the assessment of individual fracture risk when BMD measurement by itself does not provide a clear answer. The combined use of BMD measurement and bone markers is likely to improve the assessment of the risk of fractures^[8].

There are a large number of bone markers and the use of all these markers for assessment of PKU patients is tedious and economically unwise. So, the aim of the study was to assess the levels of some bone formation and resorption markers in PKU patients in order to select a screen marker and to detect the most specific marker which may combine with BMD for appropriate follow up in these patients to prevent osteopenia and osteoporosis in later life.

Subjects and Methods

We enrolled 33 classic PKU patients from the Association of Genetic and Metabolic Disorders

and studied in the pediatrics clinic, National Research Centre (NRC).

The selected patients were receiving a low protein diet consisting of low protein breads and pastas (wheat starch, metacel and methylcellulose), fresh fruits and vegetables^[9]. Carbohydrates and fat as well as vitamins, minerals and trace elements were calculated according to the national councils^[10].

Informed consents were taken from the controls and the parents of the PKU children according to the World Medical Association (WMA) Declaration of Helsinki Sixth revision guidelines^[11] and according to the guidelines of the ethics committee of NRC, Egypt.

Forty four age- and sex-matched controls were studied. All the control children were healthy with no known medical illness. Anthropometric measurements were taken following standardized techniques^[12]. The weight was measured with a digital balance and the height with a stadiometer.

Norland densitometer (XR-46, USA) Rev. 3.9.6 /2.3.1 Was used for assessment of BMD and bone mineral content (BMC)^[13]. An abnormal dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) was defined as more than one SD below the normal mean, expressed as Z-score <-1. "Low bone mass for chronological age" is the preferred term when the BMC or BMD Z-score is less than or equal to -2.0^[14].

Plasma phenylalanine (Phe) level was measured by fluorometric method which is a quantitative and precise method to select the controlled diet patients^[15]. Also, liver function test was done to rule out liver disease.

Blood and urinary markers of bone formation and resorption were measured to evaluate bone remodeling and turnover^[16]. 10 ml of venous blood, fasting morning sample, was withdrawn on EDTA-vacutainer collection tubes from each patient. Serum was separated by centrifugation and stored at -20°C, not more than 2 hours, till assay. For deoxypyridinoline (DPD), random urine samples were collected and stored at -20°C till assay.

Serum total alkaline phosphatase (ALP), OC, and CICP were measured as bone formation markers. CICP was also measured as an indicator of bone collagen production and growth^[17]. ALP was assayed using Olympus autoanalyzer AU400

Table 1: Comparison of the bone mineral status between the control and Phenylketonuria groups

Variable	Group	n	Mean (SD)	t-test	P. value
TBMC (gm)	Control	42	1269.3 (557.3)	1.4	0.1
	Phenylketonuria	33	1072.7 (596.7)		
TBMC-Z	Control	42	1.1 (1)	4.5	<0.001
	Phenylketonuria	33	-0.1 (1.2)		
BMD-FN (gm/m²)	Control	42	0.7 (0.1)	3.7	<0.001
	Phenylketonuria	33	0.5 (0.1)		
BMD-FN-Z	Control	42	0.02 (0.4)	5	<0.001
	Phenylketonuria	33	-0.6 (0.7)		
BMD-L (gm/m²)	Control	42	0.5 (0.1)	1.5	0.1
	Phenylketonuria	33	0.5 (0.1)		
BMD-L-Z	Control	42	0.06 (0.6)	1.6	0.01
	Phenylketonuria	33	-0.3 (0.6)		

SD: Standard Deviation; TBMC: Total Body Mineral Content; BMD-FN: Body Mineral Density of the Femoral Neck; BMD-L: Body Mineral Density of Lumbar Vertebrae

(Olympus Diagnostica, Japan). OC was measured by Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) kit, catalogue # KAP1381 from BioSource Europe SA, Nivelles, Belgium. CACP was measured in serum by MicroVue CACP ELISA kit, catalogue # 8003, Quidel Corporation, San Diego, CA, USA.

Markers of osteoclastic activity, Osteoprotegerin (OPG) and Receptor activator of nuclear factor κ B ligand (RANKL) were measured. Furthermore, OPG was measured because it is a major inhibitor of bone resorption^[18]. It was measured in serum using Osteoprotegerin Human ELISA Kit, catalogue # RD194003200, BioVendor Laboratory Medicine, Inc., Czech Republic. RANKL was measured in serum by using ELISA kit catalogue # BI-20422H, Biomedica Medizinprodukte GmbH & Co KG, Wien, Austria.

As a marker of bone collagen breakdown, DPD was measured in urine by MicroVue DPD ELISA kit, catalogue # 8007, Quidel Corporation, San Diego, CA, USA.

The results were analyzed statistically using SPSS version 20. Power calculation for the sample size was done. The study was stated as having 80% power. Results were expressed as means \pm SD. The independent t-test was used to compare between the bone markers and between the different parameters of the bone mineral status of the PKU patients and those of the control group. The Pearson correlation coefficient was used to determine the relationship between the bone markers and the quantitative variables of the bone mineral status in the PKU patients. The quantitative data were examined by Kolmogorov Smirnov test for normality. Significance was considered at P -value <0.05.

Findings

Nineteen (57.6%) male and fourteen (42.4%) female PKU patients were involved in the current study. Their mean age was 8.4 ± 4.6 yrs, range 3-19 yrs. and median 7 yrs. The control group consisted of twenty two (52.4%) males and twenty (47.6%) females. Their mean age was 8.5 ± 3.3 yrs, range 2-17 yrs and median 8 yrs. No difference was detected between the mean age in patients and control group. The mean level of phenylalanine in PKU patients was 12.3 ± 0.95 mg/dl. The mean weight and height of the PKU patients was 28 ± 13.5 kg and 121.6 ± 23.3 cm respectively, while that of the controls was 33 ± 15.6 kg and 128.4 ± 18.7 cm respectively.

Table 1 shows the bone mineral status of the control and the PKU group.

Using the Z score values, there was a statistically significant decrease of the total body mineral content (TBMC-Z) ($P=0.000$), bone mineral density of the femoral neck (BMD-FN-Z) ($P=0.000$) and bone mineral density of L₂-L₄ lumbar vertebrae (BMD-L-Z) ($P=0.01$) of the PKU patients when compared to their fellows of the control group. The BMD-FN-Z ($t=5$) changes were more sensitive when compared to that of the TBMC-Z ($t=4.5$) and that of the BMD-L-Z ($t=1.6$).

Also there was a statistically significant decrease of the BMD-FN ($P=0.000$) and that of the PKU patients when compared to that of the control group. On the other hand, statistically insignificant changes in the TBMC ($P=0.1$) and BMD-L ($P=0.1$) of the PKU patients when compared to those of the control group (Table 2).

Regarding the bone formation markers, there

Table 2: Comparison of the bone markers between the control and the PKU groups

Parameter	Group	n	Mean (SD)	t-test	P. value
Carboxy-terminal propeptide of type I collagen (ng/ml)	Control	42	270.7 (89.6)	0.5	0.5
	Phenylketonuria	33	283.4 (114.7)		
Alkaline phosphatase (U/L)	Control	42	152.0 (43.1)	2.9	0.005
	Phenylketonuria	33	121.6 (46.0)		
Osteocalcin (mg/dl)	Control	42	43.4 (34.5)	6.1	<0.001
	Phenylketonuria	33	13.9 (12.9)		
Receptor activator of nuclear factor $\kappa\beta$ ligand (RANKL) (ng/ml)	Control	42	0.1 (0.07)	19.7	<0.001
	Phenylketonuria	33	1.0 (0.2)		
Osteoprotegerin (OPG) (ng/ml)	Control	42	3.3 (2.3)	1.7	0.09
	Phenylketonuria	33	4.0 (0.8)		
Deoxypyridinoline (mmol/mmol creatinine)	Control	42	68.1 (30.7)	4.3	<0.001
	Phenylketonuria	33	32.3 (15.0)		
OPG /RANKL ratio	Control	42	0.56 (0.06)	12	0.09
	Phenylketonuria	33	0.27 (0.13)		

SD: Standard Deviation

was a significant decrease of mean OC ($P=0.000$) and ALP ($P=0.005$) of the PKU patients in comparison to those of the control group. The OC changes were more significant ($t=6.1$) when compared to those of ALP ($t=2.9$). On the other hand, the mean CICIP of the PKU patients was statistically insignificant ($P=0.5$) when compared to that of the control group.

Regarding the osteoclastic activity markers, there was a significant increase of mean RANKL ($P=0.000$) of the PKU patients in comparison to that of the control group. On the other hand, the mean OPG of the PKU patients was statistically insignificant ($P=0.09$) when compared to that of the control group.

Regarding the bone collagen breakdown marker (DPD), there was a statistically significant decrease in the mean in the PKU patients

($P=0.000$) in comparison to that of the control group.

Table 3 shows correlations between the bone markers and the parameters of the bone mineral status in the PKU patients.

There was a statistically high significant negative correlation between the CICIP and the TBMC from one side and between the CICIP and the BMD-L from the other side. Similarly, there was a highly significant negative correlation between the ALP and the TBMC from one side and between the ALP and the BMD-L from the other side. Finally, there was a statistically significant correlation between the DBD and the BMD-L. No significant correlation was observed between DBD and abundant form of collagen found in bone^[17]. When using CICIP as a marker for bone formation, statistically insignificant changes were observed

Table 3: Correlations between the bone markers and parameters of the bone mineral status in the PKU group

Bone Markers	Test	TBMC	TBMC-Z	BMD-FN (gm/m ²)	BMD-FN-Z	BMD-L (gm/m ²)	BMD-L-Z
CICIP	Pearson Correlation	-0.51**	0.003	-0.29	-0.029	-0.49**	-0.16
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.002	0.9	0.1	0.8	0.004	0.3
Alkaline phosphatase	Pearson Correlation	-0.54**	-0.16	-0.28	-0.096	-0.59**	-0.19
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.001	0.3	0.1	0.6	0.000	0.2
Osteocalcin	Pearson Correlation	-0.0	-0.12	-0.04	-0.091	-0.009	-0.17
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.9	0.3	0.8	0.6	0.9	0.3
RANKL	Pearson Correlation	-0.03	0.05	-0.15	0.046	-0.18	-0.03
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.8	0.7	0.3	0.8	0.3	0.8
Osteoprotegerin	Pearson Correlation	0.07	0.17	0.09	0.059	0.07	-0.01
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.6	0.3	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.9
Deoxypyridinoline	Pearson Correlation	-0.31	-0.3	-0.27	-0.124	-0.36*	-0.07
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.07	0.08	0.1	0.5	0.03	0.6

CICIP: carboxy-terminal propeptide of type I collagen; RANKL: Receptor activator of nuclear factor $\kappa\beta$ ligand; TBMC: Total body mineral content; BMD-FN: Body mineral density of the femoral neck; BMD-L: Body mineral density of lumbar vertebrae

in the PKU patients when compared to those of the control group. In spite of this finding, it was observed from our correlation studies that the CICP had a statistically high significant negative correlation with TBMC and BMD-L. Although this correlation is very beneficial, we cannot rely on it alone as the clinical relevance of CICP in the evaluation of metabolic bone diseases is viewed with skepticism^[26]. It does not appear to be a sensitive index of bone formation^[27].

Regarding the osteoclastic activity markers, the RANKL of the PKU patients increased significantly in comparison to that of the control group. The OPG/receptor activator of nuclear factor κ B (RANK)/RANKL system is a key regulatory system in bone metabolism^[28]. The increased RANKL level of the PKU patients in the current study may predispose them to the risk of osteopenia as the complete absence of RANKL leads to osteoporosis^[29].

The other osteoclastic activity marker is OPG which was statistically insignificant in PKU patients when compared to that of the control group. Its absence, something not present in our study, leads to osteoporosis due to unbalanced activity of RANKL and enhanced osteoclast formation^[20].

The OPG/RANKL ratio was lower in the PKU patients compared to that of the control group ($P=0.09$). The decrease of this ratio may account for an enhanced ability of preosteoblastic cells to support osteoclast development, leading to the imbalance between bone formation and resorption and rapid bone loss^[30].

The DPD decreased significantly in the PKU patients in comparison to that of the control group. It is formed during the extracellular maturation of fibrillar collagens, bridges several collagen peptides and stabilizes the collagen molecule^[5]. During bone resorption, it is broken down and released into the circulation and urine^[5]. DBD is currently viewed the best index for assessing bone resorption^[31]. In the current study DBD has a significant negative correlation to the BMD-L.

Patients with developmental or psychomotor disturbance were excluded. None of our patients had a history of immobilization or movement limiting disease. Also we ruled out any patients with known liver disease.

Conclusion

It could be concluded that the ALP, once liver disease is ruled out, provides a good impression of new bone formation in PKU patients and it has a highly significant negative correlation with the many parameters of the bone mineral status, beside the wide availability of inexpensive and simple methods. So we can do a screening test and/or follow up for PKU patients using the ALP. Once the decrease of ALP level is detected, one can combine it with BMD to explore the bone mineral status and the specific bone markers (OC, RANKL and DBD) to verify the dynamics of bone turnover. This schedule will reduce the risk of exposure of these patients to the risk hazards of DXA and limit its use only to a limited number of the highly suspected cases. In addition, this schedule will evaluate both the bone mineral status and the dynamics of bone turnover.

We recommend more widespread studies to include other bone formation and resorption markers, which were not included in our studies for economic reasons, to draw a final conclusion for the most preferable use of bone markers in cases of ALP elevation in PKU patients.

Acknowledgment

We thank the nurses and workers in the NRC who helped us during this work.

Authors' Contribution

Concept and design: H.M. Koura
Acquisition of the data: D.H. El Lebedy and H.M. Koura
Data analysis and interpretation: S.M. Zaki and E.E. Salama
Manuscript preparation: S.M. Zaki
Critical revision of the manuscript: N.A. Ismail and L.K. Effat
All authors approved the manuscript

Conflict of Interest: None

References

1. Gajewska JA, Chelchowska M, Laskowska-Klita T. Effects of elimination diets on bone metabolism in children and adolescents with phenylketonuria, galactosemia and celiac disease. *Med Wieku Rozwoj* 2012;16(1):61-9.

2. de Groot MJ, Hoeksma M, van Rijn M, et al. Relationships between lumbar bone mineral density and biochemical parameters in phenylketonuria patients. *Mol Genet Metab* 2012;105(4):566-70.
3. Ambroszkiewicz J, Gajewska J, Laskowska-Klita T. Markers of bone formation and resorption in prepubertal children with phenylketonuria. *Med Wieku Rozwoj* 2003;7(1):89-95.
4. Iki M, Akiba T, Matsumoto T, et al. Reference database of biochemical markers of bone turnover for the Japanese female population. Japanese Population-based Osteoporosis (JPOS) Study. *Osteoporos Int* 2004; 15(12):981-91.
5. Seibel MJ. Biochemical markers of bone turnover: part I: biochemistry and variability. *Clin Biochem Rev* 2005; 26(4):97-122.
6. Katsarou O, Terpos E, Chatzismalis P, et al. Increased bone resorption is implicated in the pathogenesis of bone loss in hemophiliacs: correlations with hemophilic arthropathy and HIV infection. *Ann Hematol* 2010; 89(1):67-74.
7. Millet P, Vilaseca MA, Valls C, et al. Is deoxypyridinoline a good resorption marker to detect osteopenia in phenylketonuria? *Clin Biochem* 2005;38(12):1127-32.
8. Lewiecki EM, Baim S, Bilezikian JP, et al. 2008 Santa Fe Bone Symposium: update on osteoporosis. *J Clin Densitom* 2009;12(2):135-57.
9. Rutherford P, Poustie VJ. Protein substitute for children and adults with phenylketonuria. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005(4):CD004731.
10. Thiele AG, Weigel JF, Ziesch B, et al. Nutritional changes and micronutrient supply in patients with phenylketonuria under therapy with tetrahydrobiopterin (BH (4)). *JIMD Rep* 2013;9:31-40.
11. Schmidt H, Mehring S, McMillan J. Interpreting the Declaration of Helsinki (2008): "must", "should" and different kinds of obligation. *Med Law* 2010;29(4):565-91.
12. Cameron N. Assessment of growth and maturation during adolescence. *Horm Res* 1993;39(Suppl 3):9-17.
13. Abd ElBaky A, Ismail N, Salama E, et al. Inappropriate restriction of dietary gluten and associated bone acquisition and bone density in Egyptian children with celiac disease. *Arch Med Sci* 2009;5:589-95.
14. Modan-Moses D, Vered I, Schwartz G, et al. Peak bone mass in patients with phenylketonuria. *J Inherit Metab Dis* 2007;30(2):202-8.
15. Lewiecki EM, Watts NB, McClung MR, et al. Official positions of the international society for clinical densitometry. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2004;89(8): 3651-5.
16. Pagani F, Francucci CM, Moro L. Markers of bone turnover: biochemical and clinical perspectives. *J Endocrinol Invest* 2005; 28(10 Suppl):8-13.
17. Liu SH, Yang RS, al-Shaikh R, et al. Collagen in tendon, ligament, and bone healing. A current review. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1995(318):265-78.
18. Wright HL, McCarthy HS, Middleton J, et al. RANK, RANKL and osteoprotegerin in bone biology and disease. *Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med* 2009;2(1):56-64.
19. Koura HM, Abdallah Ismail N, Kamel AF, et al. A long-term study of bone mineral density in patients with phenylketonuria under diet therapy. *Arch Med Sci* 2011; 7(3):493-500.
20. Ambroszkiewicz J, Gajewska J, Laskowska-Klita T. A study of bone turnover markers in prepubertal children with phenylketonuria. *Eur J Pediatr* 2004;163(3):177-8.
21. Woitge HW, Seibel MJ, Ziegler R. Comparison of total and bone-specific alkaline phosphatase in patients with nonskeletal disorder or metabolic bone diseases. *Clin Chem* 1996;42(11):1796-804.
22. Brown JP, Delmas PD, Malaval L, et al. Serum bone Gla-protein: a specific marker for bone formation in postmenopausal osteoporosis. *Lancet* 1984;1(8386): 1091-3.
23. Hillman L, Schlotzhauer C, Lee D, et al. Decreased bone mineralization in children with phenylketonuria under treatment. *Eur J Pediatr* 1996;155(Suppl 1):S148-52.
24. Delmas PD. Biochemical markers of bone turnover. *Acta Orthop Scand Suppl* 1995;266:176-82.
25. Delmas PD, Malaval L, Arlot ME, et al. Serum bone Gla-protein compared to bone histomorphometry in endocrine diseases. *Bone* 1985;6(5):339-41.
26. Charles P, Mosekilde L, Risteli L, et al. Assessment of bone remodeling using biochemical indicators of type I collagen synthesis and degradation: relation to calcium kinetics. *Bone Miner* 1994;24(2):81-94.
27. Eriksen EF, Charles P, Melsen F, et al. Serum markers of type I collagen formation and degradation in metabolic bone disease: correlation with bone histomorphometry. *J Bone Miner Res* 1993;8(2):127-32.
28. Lieb W, Gona P, Larson MG, et al. Biomarkers of the osteoprotegerin pathway: clinical correlates, subclinical disease, incident cardiovascular disease, and mortality. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2010; 30(9):1849-54.
29. Jules J, Ashley JW, Feng X. Selective targeting of RANK signaling pathways as new therapeutic strategies for osteoporosis. *Expert Opin Ther Targets* 2010;14(9):923-34.
30. Khosla S. Minireview: the OPG/RANKL/RANK system. *Endocrinology* 2001;142(12):5050-5.
31. Hadji P, Ziller M, Kieback DG, et al. The effect of exemestane or tamoxifen on markers of bone turnover: results of a German sub-study of the Tamoxifen Exemestane Adjuvant Multicentre (TEAM) trial. *Breast* 2009;18(3):159-64.