# "Quick Cycle" neoadjuvant chemotherapy in squamous cell carcinoma of cervix

A. Taneja, S. Rajaram\*, S. Agarwal\*\*, K.C. Singh\*, S. Sahni\*\*\*, N. Goel\*

Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Dayanand Medical College &Hospital, Ludhiana, Punjab \*Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University College of Medical Sciences & Guru Teg Bahadur Hospital, Delhi \*\* Department of Pathology, University College of Medical Sciences & Guru Teg Bahadur Hospital, Delhi \*\*\* Specialty Ranbaxy, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

> Received: 5.4.2004 Revised: 20.9.2004 Accepted: 28.9.2004

Correspondence to: Shalini Rajaram B-704 Gitanjali Apartments, Vikas Marg Extension, Delhi-110092 E-mail: shalini\_rajaram@rediffmail.com

# ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To evaluate the efficacy and safety of a 'Quick cycle' neoadjuvant chemotherapeutic regime in squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) of cervix and monitor the response to chemotherapy using Squamous Cell Carcinoma Antigen (SCC-Ag) as tumor marker.

Materials and Methods: Thirty patients with SCC of cervix (Stage I–IV) entered the study to receive three courses of multiagent neoadjuvant chemotherapy (vincristine, cisplatin and bleomycin) in a weekly regime. SCC-Ag was evaluated in these patients' pre and post–chemotherapy.

**Results:** Patients with stage IB2 had a complete response and two of seven patients with stage IIB became operable. The overall response rate was 47.8% and complete response rate was 8.7%. Decrease in tumor volume post-chemotherapy was significant (P<0.002). Toxicity including myelosuppression was minimal. A statistically significant decrease in SCC-Ag (stage II and III) was seen post-chemotherapy (P <0.04 and 0.005, respectively).

**Conclusion:** The weekly chemotherapeutic regime was found to be safe and effective and SCC-Ag is a useful tumor marker for monitoring response to chemotherapy.

KEY WORDS: Cancer cervix, SCC-Antigen, tumor marker.

# Introduction

Carcinoma cervix is the commonest malignancy in women in India yielding an incidence of 19.4 to 43.5 per 100,000.<sup>[1, 2]</sup> In India, most patients present in advanced stages and the prognosis is directly related to the stage at presentation. Fiveyear survival rates are 65% in stage IIB, 40% in stage IIIB, and less than 20% in stage IVA disease.<sup>[3]</sup>

To date, surgery and radiotherapy have been the mainstay of the treatment for carcinoma cervix, which is curative in early stage disease, but survival considerably decreases in advanced stages.<sup>[3, 4]</sup> In an effort to improve the survival rates, neoadjuvant chemotherapy in cancer cervix has been used.<sup>[5-7]</sup> Neoadjuvant chemotherapy arrests growth and controls micro metastasis and may improve subsequent control by surgery or radiotherapy. Adjuvant chemotherapy after radiotherapy causes fibrosis and poor delivery of drug to the tumor.

Traditionally chemotherapeutic regimens have been cycled 3 weekly and require at least 9 to 12 weeks before the patient can be considered for definitive therapy.<sup>[8]</sup> A 'Quick- Cycle'

regime, which is cycled weekly, not only decreases the time to definitive therapy but also prevents the rapid regrowth of tumor cells.<sup>[5, 6]</sup> No study has reported the increase of the side effects with subsequent radiotherapy.<sup>[8]</sup>

In the clinical setting, monitoring response to chemotherapy with tumor markers has not been universal in squamous cell carcinoma of cervix. A number of tumor markers such as CEA and TPA have been studied in cancer cervix but Squamous Cell Carcinoma Antigen (SCC-Ag) appears to be the most sensitive and specific.<sup>[9-11]</sup>

In India, a waiting period of 4 to 6 weeks exists for definitive radiotherapy and this may be critical to the outcome of the disease. Therefore 'Quick Cycle' chemotherapy, during this period may form an important adjunct without hampering conventional treatment. Thus, this study was planned to evaluate the efficacy and safety of a 'Quick-Cycle' neoadjuvant chemotherapeutic regime in squamous cell carcinoma of cervix and to monitor response to chemotherapy using SCC-Ag as a tumor marker. The study was conducted in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Guru Teg Bahadur Hospital and University College of Medical Sciences, Delhi, India, over a period of 1 year (2000-2001). It was a prospective, nonrandomized study conducted in patients with stage IB2 to stage IV squamous cell carcinoma of cervix. The study was approved by the hospital ethical committee, and an informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Out of the 30 patients who entered the study, 23 with proven SCC of cervix fulfilled the eligibility criteria of receiving chemotherapy, the eligibility criteria being, age less than 60 years, adequate hematological, renal and hepatic functions, no prior therapy for carcinoma cervix and histopathologic diagnosis of primary squamous cell carcinoma cervix. Locally advanced (stage IB2 and IIA) and advanced (IIB-IV) cases of carcinoma cervix received neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Patients (n=23) after completion of chemotherapy were evaluated for receiving either definitive surgery and/or radiotherapy.

Patients were given three courses of multiagent neoadjuvant chemotherapy in the form of VBP regimen (vincristine 1 mg/m<sup>2</sup> on day 1 and cisplatin 50 mg/m<sup>2</sup> on day 1, bleomycin 15 mg/m<sup>2</sup> on day 1 and day 2) on a weekly basis; modified regime used by Sardi *et al.*<sup>[5]</sup> A standard antiemetic regime consisting of metoclopramide and dexamethasone was administered. Each subsequent course of chemotherapy was administered only when the hematological, renal, and hepatic functions were within normal limits. Toxicity was evaluated by modified gynecologic oncology group (GOG) criteria.

SCC-Ag was estimated by microparticle enzyme immunoassay (MEIA) with Abott IMx system using SCC-Ag and anti-SCC-Ag coated microparticles, before and after therapy in 23 patients. A value of 2.5 ng/mL or below was taken as normal. Pre-chemotherapy SCC-Ag values were further subdivided into 3 groups namely, <5 ng/mL, 5–30 ng/mL and >30 ng/mL to assess response to chemotherapy. Ohno *et al* in their study of prediction to radiation response used 4 groups namely, < 1.5 ng/mL, 1.5–5 ng/mL, 5–30 ng/mL and >30 ng/ mL.<sup>112</sup> Response was assessed using standard criteria. Statistical analysis was done with paired t-test, one-way ANOVA with Tukey test, Wilcoxon Matched-Pairs Signed-Ranks test, and regression analysis. P<0.05 was considered significant.

### Results

#### Patient characteristics

The mean age at presentation was 50.17 years, with a mean parity of 5.43. Mean age at marriage was 15.43 years and age at first delivery was 19.39 years.

#### Symptoms

Twenty-one out of twenty-three patients presented with a foul smelling discharge and or bleeding per vaginum. Three patients also presented with pain in the lower abdomen. After therapy 14 out of 23 (61%) reported complete relief, while six had a 50% relief of symptoms.

#### Tumor characteristics

Seven percent (n=2) of patients belonged to stage I, 30.4% (n=7) to stage II, 43.5% (n=10) stage III, and 17.4% (n=4) to

stage IVA. Thus, 91.3% of patients presented in advanced stages. Large cell, non-keratinizing tumor on histology was found in 91.3% and only 8.7% revealed large-cell keratinizing tumor.

The mean pre-chemotherapy tumor volume for stage I was 33.95 cm<sup>3</sup>, 83.81cm<sup>3</sup> for stage II, 59.87 cm<sup>3</sup> for stage III, and 90.0 cm<sup>3</sup> for stage IV.

There was a statistically significant reduction in tumor volume after the completion of VBP regime and is depicted in Figure 1. The reduction in volume after chemotherapy was 61.7%, 65.4%, 63.3%, and 16.6% for stage I, II, III, and IV, respectively. Mean reduction in tumor volume after therapy was 60.3%, and this was statistically significant (P <0.002).

The overall response rate (sum of partial and complete response; Complete response – complete disappearance of

Figure 1. Mean (± SD) tumor volume: Pre-chemotherapy Vs Postchemotherapy



tumor; Partial response -50% or greater tumor volume reduction) in this study was 47.8% with a complete response rate of 8.7% and partial response of 39.1%. The response rates in various stages are shown in the Table1.

Two patients with stage IB2 had complete response (complete disappearance of tumor both clinically and histopathologically at the end of treatment), and two patients of stage IIB had disappearance of parametrial growth and became operable after chemotherapy. Thus, a total of four patients out of 23 underwent radical hysterectomy after chemotherapy.

Toxicity

The mean hemoglobin decreased to 9.87 g% from 10.79 g% at the start of chemotherapy. There was no change in total or differential counts. The mean platelet count decreased from

### Table 1

| Stage  | Number | CR       | PR        | SD        | PD |
|--------|--------|----------|-----------|-----------|----|
| I (B2) | 2      | 2 (100%) | 0         | 0         | 0  |
| П      | 7      | 0        | 5 (71.4%) | 2 (28.5%) | 0  |
| Ш      | 10     | 0        | 4 (40.0%) | 6 (60.0%) | 0  |
| IV     | 4      | 0        | 0         | 4 (100%)  | 0  |

CR: Complete response (complete disappearance of tumor); PR: Partial response (50% or more reduction in tumor volume); SD: Stable disease (less than 50% reduction in tumor volume); PD: Progressive disease (25% or more increase in volume or appearance of new lesions)

Figure 2. Toxicity profile: Pre-chemotherapy (Pre-CT) and Postchemotherapy after 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> course





Tumor volume=14.93 + 30.883\* log (SCC-Ag)

#### Error bars represent SD. \*P<0.05 when compared to Pre-CT values

 $2.4 \times 10^{9}$ /L at the start of chemotherapy to  $1.6 \times 10^{9}$ /L after the third course of chemotherapy, the decrease was statistically significant but did not qualify even as grade I toxicity. Hepatic and pulmonary function remained essentially unchanged. A nonsignificant trend towards improved renal function was seen (Figure 2). The levels of blood urea and serum creatinine dropped from 25.3 mg% to 23.8 mg%, and 1.1 mg% to 0.88 mg%, respectively at the end of chemotherapy (P=0.19, 0.37). The only significant side effects were that of grade II nausea and vomiting which was seen in all patients.

The mean value of SCC-Ag before chemotherapy in stage IB2 was 4.58 ng/mL, 13.07 ng/mL in stage II, 91.71 ng/mL in stage III, and 205.3 ng/mL in stage IV. Thus, SCC-Ag values were stage dependent. These values decreased to 0.4 ng/mL, 6.74 ng/mL, 1.89 ng/mL, and 117.9 ng/mL in stage I, II, III, and IV, respectively. The decrease after chemotherapy was statistically significant in stage II and III (P < 0.04 and 0.005). There was a positive and significant correlation of tumor volume and SCC-Ag post-chemotherapy using the Wilcoxon Matched-pairs Signed-ranks test (Figure 3). Regression analysis showed that post-chemotherapy tumor volume (post CT-TV) significantly correlates with pre-chemotherapy volume (pre CT-TV) and SCC-Ag (P < 0.001; Post CT-TV = 0.126 (SCC-Ag) + 0.22(pre CT -TV) + 9.82). Pre-chemotherapy SCC-Ag values were further subdivided into three groups namely <5ng/mL, 5-30 ng/mL, and > 30 ng/mL. It was found that the tumor volume post- chemotherapy decreased by 58% (from  $65.5 \text{ cm}^3$  to 27.6 cm<sup>3</sup> in group I; n=3) and by 65% in group II (from 62 cm<sup>3</sup> to 21.4 cm<sup>3</sup>, n=13), while there was no decrease in tumor volume when SCC-Ag levels were more than 30 ng/ mL  $(n=4)(72.4 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ to } 72.3 \text{ cm}^3)$ . The difference was statistically significant in group II (P<0.01).

## Discussion

In this study, 91.3% of patients presented in advanced stage, namely stage IIA and above. Caplash and Sobiti reported a similar presentation in India.<sup>[13]</sup> Sixty-one percent of patients

were free of the distressing symptoms of cancer cervix, namely foul smelling discharge and vaginal bleeding after therapy and this forms an important symptomatic response. The mean tumor volume was  $62.64 \text{ cm}^3$  and 80% of patients had an exophytic tumor. Tumor volume after therapy decreased to 24.85cm<sup>3</sup> and this decrease was statistically significant (P<0.002), thus, establishing the efficacy of the weekly VBP regime.

The complete response in this study was 8.7% and partial response was 39.1%. Thus, the overall response was 47.8%. The lower response rates are probably attributed to the large number of patients presenting with advanced stage disease. Serur *et al* used cisplatin, vincristine, and bleomycin every 10 days for 3 cycles, and found an overall response rate of 90%.<sup>[14]</sup> However they selected patients with stage IB2 SCC of cervix and thus, had better response rates.<sup>[14]</sup> In the study by Seoud et al using the same chemotherapeutic regime similar to the present study given every ten days in advanced cervical cancer, they reported a complete response of 38% and partial response of 76%.<sup>[15]</sup> Sardi et al using vincristine, bleomycin, and cisplatin every 10 days for 3 courses found a response rate of 85% in stage IIB and 47% in stage IIIB, comparable to response rates in this study.<sup>[5]</sup> In the final report of Sardi et al, patients with stage IB2 had 83.6% of complete or partial response to chemotherapy. The overall survival after 9 years of follow-up was 61.3% for the control group (no chemotherapy) and 80% for neoadjuvant group (P < 0.01). Resection was possible in 85% of control group and 100% of neoadiuvant group. Thus, neoadjuvant chemotherapy improved resectability and survival in patients with stage IB2.<sup>[6]</sup>

In this study, patients with stage IB2 had a complete response of 100% [n=2] and two patients out of seven with stage IIB cancer became operable. Thus, radical surgery could be done in 44.4% of locally advanced cancers (stage IB2- IIB) or 28.5% of stage IIB cancers.

In advanced stages III and IV, a partial response of only 40% was seen but there was no progression of tumor. This shows that efficacy of chemotherapy was better in early stage

cancers. Palliative treatment in advanced stages using chemotherapy is of potential advantage in India where the load of carcinoma cervix is high and a long waiting period for definitive radiotherapy exists. The regime used is relatively nonmyelosuppresive with no major side effects and subsequent addition of radiotherapy in these patients may improve survival with local control of disease. The mean pre-chemotherapy tumor volume of 62.64 cm<sup>3</sup> decreased to 24.85 cm<sup>3</sup> after therapy and this decrease was statistically significant (P <0.002). Huang *et al* also showed that neoadjuvant chemotherapy is effective in tumours with a tumor size of 5 cm<sup>3</sup>.<sup>[16]</sup>

The current weekly chemotherapeutic schedule was found to be not only efficacious but also safe. There was no toxicityrelated death. Similar results were shown by Porzio *et al.*<sup>[17]</sup> Toxicity-related deaths due to severe myelosuppression have been noted in other studies using adjuvant chemotherapy.<sup>[18]</sup> Hematological, renal, hepatic, pulmonary, and other toxicities were evaluated pre and post-chemotherapy. A decrease in platelet count was observed from  $2.4 \times 10^{9}$ /L to  $1.6 \times 10^{9}$ /L after therapy, which was statistically significant (P=0.00) but could not be graded as grade I toxicity of GOG criteria. Thus, the non-myelosuppressive nature of this regime makes it suitable for Indian women, majority of whom are anemic and also have intermittent, irregular, and heavy loss of blood due to the disease itself.

Hepatic function remained essentially unchanged. A nonsignificant trend towards improved renal function was seen. All patients had grade II nausea and vomiting. This may be attributed to the relief of compression of ureters by the tumor due to a decrease in tumor volume. This was comparable to other studies and the need for a better antiemetic drug was felt.

SCC-Ag is a relatively new, noninvasive tumor marker in carcinoma cervix. It helps not only in monitoring response to the therapy but also in detecting its early recurrence. Our study is in agreement with the previous studies showing a correlation between SCC-Ag and stage, as the plasma concentration depends on the tumor load, and SCC-Ag values increased with advancing stages.<sup>[10]</sup> The mean values of SCC-Ag in all stages (I–IV) were above the cut-off normal values of 2.5 ng/mL. SCC-Ag is a reflection of tumor bulk, intrinsic biologic tumor characteristics, and invasiveness of tumor.<sup>[19]</sup>

On comparing the pre-treatment SCC-Ag values with posttreatment values, a statistically significant reduction was seen in stage II and III (P < 0.04 and 0.005). The percentage reduction in stage I, II, III, and IV was 90%, 47.6%, 98%, and 42.3%, respectively. Thus, the objective of monitoring the effect of chemotherapy with the use of SCC-Ag assay is to obtain an evaluation of clinical response as early as possible and predict which of these patients will subsequently respond to surgery and/or radiotherapy. de Bruijn et al reported a decrease of SCC-Ag in 91% of cases who received chemotherapy before radiotherapy.<sup>[11]</sup> A positive and significant correlation was seen between SCC-Ag and tumor volume post- chemotherapy. Prechemotherapy SCC-Ag also predicts response to chemotherapy and when SCC-Ag was between 5 and 30 ng/mL a significant response to chemotherapy was seen (P < 0.14). A similar response was seen by Ohno et al when SCC-Ag was studied in relation to radiation.<sup>[12]</sup>

In conclusion, the weekly neoadjuvant chemotherapy used in this short-term study was both efficacious and safe. Earlystage cancers had high response rates and a significant number became operable. No progression of disease was seen in advanced stages. This is of potential advantage in a country like India where the load of carcinoma cervix is high and a long waiting period for radiotherapy exists. Despite being cycled weekly, it was a relatively non-myelosuppressive regime. SCC-Ag is a promising new tumor marker and can be used routinely to monitor response to therapy.

# Acknowledgments

I am thankful to Prof. D.K. Srivastava, Medical Superintendent of Guru Teg Bahadur Hospital for his unstinted help in making this study possible.

#### References

- Rajkumar R, Sankaranarayanan R, Esmi A, Jayaraman R, Cherian J, Parkin DM. Leads to cancer control based on cancer patterns in a rural population in South India. Cancer Causes Control 2000;11:433-9.
- Rao DN, Ganesh B. Estimate of Cancer Incidence in India 1991. Indian J Cancer 1998;35:10-8.
- Cannistra SA, Niloff JN. Cancer of the uterine cervix. New Eng J Med 1996;334: 1030-8.
- Lin H, ChangChien CCC, Huang EY, Tseng CW, Eng HL, Huang CC. The role of pretreatment squamous cell carcinoma antigen in predicting nodal metastasis in early stage cervical cancer. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2000;79:140-4.
- Sardi J, Sananes C, Giaroli A, Maya G, Paola D. Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in locally advanced carcinoma of the cervix uteri. Gynecol Oncol 1990;38:486-93.
- Sardi JE, Giaroli A, Sananes C, Ferreira M, Soderini A, Bermudez A, et al. Long-term follow-up of the first randomized trial using neoadjuvant chemotherapy in stage lb squamous carcinoma of the cervix: the final results. Gynecol Oncol 1997;67:61-9.
- Napolitano U, Imperato F, Mossa B, Framarino ML, Marziani R, Marzetti L. The role of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for squamous cell cervical cancer (Ib-IIIb): A long-term randomized trial. Eur J Gynaecol Oncol 2003;24:51-9.
- Kumar L. Role of Chemotherapy in advanced squamous cell cervical carcinoma. Oncol Forum 1998;2:3-10.
- Micke O, Prott FJ, Schafer U, Tangerding S, Potter R, Willich N. The impact of squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) antigen in the follow-up after radiotherapy in patients with cervical cancer. Anticancer Res 2000;20:5113-5.
- Gaarenstroon KN, Kenter GG, Bonfrer JM, Korse CM, Van de Viiver MJ, Fleuren GJ, et al. Can initial Serum Cyfra 21-1, SCC Antigen, and TPA Levels in Squamous Cell Cervical Cancer Predict Lymph Node Metastases or Prognosis? Gynecol Oncol 2000;77:164-70.
- de Bruijn HW, Duk JM, van der Zee AG, Pras E, Willemse PH, Boonstra H, *et al*. The clinical value of squamous cell carcinoma antigen in cancer of uterine cervix. Tumor Biol 1998;19:505-16.
- Ohno T, Nakayama N, Nakamoto S, Kato S, Imai R, Nonako T, *et al.* Measurement of serum squamous cell carcinoma antigen levels as a predictor of radiation response in patients with carcinoma of the uterine cervix. Cancer 2003;97:3114-20.
- Capalash N, Sobiti RC. Epidemiology of cervical cancer. A case control study on North Indian population. Indian J Cancer 1999;36:179-85.
- Serur E, Mathews RP, Gates J, Levine P, Maiman M, Remy JC. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy in stages IB2 squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix. Gynecol Oncol 1997;65:348-56.
- Seoud M, Geara FB, Shamseddine A, Salem Z, Khalil A. Short duration neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by radiotherapy for advanced carcinoma of cervix: Results and prognostic variables. Eur J Gynecol Oncol 2003;24:163-8.
- Huang HJ, Chang TC, Hong JH, Tseng CJ, Chou HH, Huang KG, et al. Prognostic value of age and histologic type in neoadjuvant chemotherapy plus radical surgery for bulky (>/=4 cm) stage IB and IIA cervical carcinoma. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2003;13:204-11.
- 17. Porzio G, Ficorella C, Toro G, Paris I, Ricevuto E, Marchetti P. Short-term weekly neoadjuvant chemotherapy in the treatment of locally advanced cervi-

cal cancer. Tumori 2001;87:25-6.

- Kumar L, Kaushal R, Nandy M, Biswal BM, Kumar S, Kriplani A, *et al.* Chemotherapy followed by radiotherapy versus radiotherapy alone in locally advanced cervical cancer: A randomized study. Gynecol Oncol 1994;54:302-15.
- Juang CH, Wang PH, Yen MS, Yen MS, Lai CR, Ng HT, *et al.* Application of tumor Markers CEA, TPA, and SCC-Ag in patients with low-risk FIGO stage IB and IIA squamous cell carcinoma of the uterine cervix. Gynecol Oncol 2000;76:103-6.

| NATIONAL PHARMACOVIGILANCE PROGRAMME<br>Ministry of Health & Family Welfare<br>(Govt. of India)                                       |                  |               |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|------------------|---------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| HELP US ENSURE SAFER MEDICATIONS<br>REPORT ALL ADVERSE DRUG REACTIONS VOLUNTARILY<br>TO YOUR NEAREST ADR MONITORING CENTER            |                  |               |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Department of Clinical Pharmacology<br/>Seth GS. Medical College, Mumbai<br/>E-mail: dcpkem@vsnl.com</li> </ol>              | ZONAL CENTERS    | 2.            | Department of Pharmacology<br>AIIMS<br>New Delhi  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Department of Pharmacology<br/>JIPMER, Pondicherry-605 006.<br/>E-mail: pvgjipmer@jipmer.edu</li> </ol>                      | REGIONAL CENTERS | _ <b>_</b> 4. | Department of Pharmacology<br>IPGMER, Kolkata – 700 020.<br>E-mail: sskm_msvp@yahoo.com |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Department of Clinical Pharmacology<br/>T.N. Medical College, Mumbai<br/>E-mail: clinpharm@vsnl.com</li> </ol>               |                  | 5.            | Department of Pharmacology<br>Lady Hardinge Medical College,<br>New Delhi               |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Department of Pharmacology<br/>Indira Gandhi Medical College, Nagpur<br/>E-mail: drmeenashrivastava@rediffmail.cc</li> </ol> | om               |               |   |  |  |  |  |  |