Anticancer Activity of *Limonia acidissima* Linn (Rutaceae) Fruit Extracts on Human Breast Cancer Cell Lines
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Abstract

**Purpose:** To evaluate the anti-cancer activity of *Limonia acidissima* Linn (Rutaceae) which has long been used traditionally for various infectious and malignant diseases.

**Methods:** The fruit extract of *Limonia acidissima* Linn was obtained by macerating 3 times with methanol and then concentrating it at reduced pressure. Bioassay of the extract was carried out to assess the anticancer activity. Breast cancer cell lines, SKBR3 and MDA MB435, were used for in-vitro cell proliferation, cell viability assay, and cell cycle analysis of the extract.

**Results:** Bioassay of the extract of *Limonia acidissima* Linn. showed that a fraction (fraction 3) of the ethanol extract had anticancer activity against SKBR3 and MDA-MB435 human breast cancer cells. The effective dose (ED₅₀) of *Limonia acidissima* Linn. fraction 3 was 56.1 and 30.6 μg/ml for SKBR3 and MDA-MB435, respectively. After 48 h of exposure, this fraction (100 μg/ml) significantly reduced cell proliferation in both cancer cell lines. In MDA-MB435 cells, cell cycle analysis showed that fraction 3 induced the accumulation of cells in G2/M phase, but no significant change in cell cycle was detected in SKBR3 cells.

**Conclusion:** The results indicate that the extract fraction could induce cell cycle arrest. However, further investigation is needed to assess the molecular mechanisms of the anticancer activities of this plant.
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INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is one of the most prevalent malignancies in women worldwide. In India, breast cancer is the second to cervical cancer in frequency, with an estimated incidence rate of 17.2 per 100,000, and has increased in all parts of the country during the past decade [1]. Although breast cancer therapy is usually multimodal, cytotoxic drugs still play important roles in increasing survival rate and quality of life [2]. Therefore, the development and search for novel and effective anticancer agents have become very important [3].

To date, many cytotoxic agents including natural products isolated from plant sources have been investigated for discovery of potential novel anticancer drugs [4]. Higher plants have long been shown to be good and reliable sources for the development of novel anticancer drugs. In India, many plants have been used in the traditional treatment of various malignancies over the centuries.

*Limonia acidissima* Linn, syn. *Feronia limonia* (Rutaceae) is a moderate-sized deciduous tree grown throughout India. The fruits are woody, rough and used as a substitute for bael in diarrhoea and dysentery [6,7]. The bark and leaves of the plant are used for vitiated conditions of vata and pita while the fruits are used for treating tumours, asthma, wounds, cardiac debility and hepatitis. The fruit contains flavonoids, glycosides, saponins and tannins [5]. Some coumarins [4,5] and tyramine derivatives [6] have also been isolated from the fruits of limonia. The leaves are reported to possess hepatoprotective activity [8] while the fruit shells contain antifungal compounds, namely, psoralene, xanthotoxin, 2, 6-dimethoxybenzoquinone and ostheno [9].

However, the anticancer property of this plant has not yet been investigated. Therefore, this prompted us to investigate the inhibitory effect of the fruit extract of this plant on the growth of two different breast cancer cell lines, SKBR3 and MDA-MB435.

EXPERIMENTAL

Plant material and extraction procedures

Ripe fruits of *Limonia acidissima* Linn. were collected from the forest of Bhubaneswar hill situated in the eastern part of India in the month of May and identified by Dr SK Sahu, a taxonomist at Utkal University, Vanivihar, Orissa, India. A voucher specimen (no. 161) was deposited in the herbarium of the Department of Botany, Utkal University. The fruits were cut into small pieces and the pulp was separated. The pulp was shade-dried, milled and the powder (1.5 kg) macerated three times (for 7 days each) with 15 L of 95% ethanol at room temperature [6]. The extracts were concentrated under reduced pressure, at 60 °C resulting in a combined yield of 80.5 g.

The extract (35 g) was dissolved in distilled water (100 ml) and 15 ml of the solution column chromatographed, dry packed using a glass column (inner diameter 4 cm, length 60 cm), equilibrated with water (100 ml) and sonicated for 15 min in an ultrasonic bath. The detailed flow process is illustrated in Fig 1. The suspension was centrifuged at 20,000 rpm (approx. 42,000 g) for 30 min and then applied onto the Diaion® HP-20 column; the eluent was collected to get the water soluble fraction (fraction 1). The precipitate was dissolved in methanol–water (1:1, 100 ml) and 90 % methanol (150 ml) to obtain fractions 2 and 3, respectively. Each supernatant was repeatedly treated as described above. The precipitate was dissolved in ethyl acetate to obtain fraction 4. The chemical composition of each fraction was monitored on TLC using silica gel GF254 Alufolien (Merck) and developed with chloroform/ethanol (90:10, v/v). Phytosteryl glucoside was used as reference. After developing them, the TLC was sprayed with anisaldehyde-sulfuric acid and heated in an oven at 100 °C for 1 – 2 min.
**Fig 1:** Bioactivity-guided fractionation of *Limonia acidissima* fruit extract by column chromatography.

**Preparation of extracts for anticancer experiments**

The fruit extracts were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Sigma, St. Louis, USA) except for the water fraction (fraction 1) which was dissolved in water. For all experiments, the final concentrations of the tested compounds were prepared by diluting the stock with the culture medium.

**Cell lines and culture**

The breast cancer cell lines, SKBR-3 (ATCC No. HTB-30) and MDA-MB435 (ATCC No. HTB-129), were procured from New Scientific Agencies, Bangalore, India. SKBR-3 is human breast cancer cell line with over-expression of HER2/neu receptor and devoid of ER receptor while MDA-MB435 breast cancer cell line was devoid of both ER receptor and HER2/neu expression [7].
cells were cultured in DMEM (Promo Cell, Germany) supplemented with 10 % heat-inactivated foetal bovine serum (Promo Cell, Germany) and 1 % penicillin-streptomycin (Promo Cell, Germany). The cells were incubated at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere with 5 % CO₂.

**Cell proliferation assay**

Cell proliferation assay was carried out by seeding a total of $1 \times 10^4$ cells per well in a 96-well plate. After 36 h of incubation, various concentrations of fruit extracts were added to the wells to obtain final concentrations of 1, 10, 100 and 500 μg/ml, respectively. Control groups were mixed with DMSO to obtain a final concentration of 1 %. Doxorubicin was used as positive control. The cells were incubated for an additional 48 h. 50 μl of MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide] (1 mg/ml, Sigma) in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) was added to each well, and incubated for 4 h at 37 °C. The medium was removed and formazan was dissolved in DMSO and the optical density measured at 590 nm using a bioassay reader (Biorad, USA) [9].

**Cell viability assay**

The cells were suspended in a 96-well plate at the same concentration as was used for the cell proliferation assay and then incubated for 24 h at 37 °C with 5 % CO₂. Various concentrations of the fruit extract, as indicated above, were added to each well, with DMSO as control. After 48 h of incubation, the cells were trypsinized and viable cell counting was performed by enumerating cells which excluded trypan blue dye using a haemocytometer.

**Cell cycle analysis**

To determine cell cycle, $1 \times 10^6$ cells were suspended in each tissue culture dish and treated with the fruit extract at final concentrations of 80 and 160 μg/ml for SKBR3. For MDA-MB435, cells were treated with the fruit extract at final concentrations of 60 and 120 μg/ml. DMSO (1 %) was added to the control group. After treatment with fruit extract for 48 h, the cells were collected and incubated with reagents as described in the protocol of Cycle Test™ Plus DNA reagent kit (Becton Dickinson Immunocytometry System). The DNA content of the cells was measured by flow cytometry [10]. All samples were analysed within 3 h by FACS Carlibur using Cell Quest software.

**Statistical analysis**

The experiments were performed in triplicate. The data for cell proliferation and viability assays are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. ED₅₀ values were calculated by regression analysis. A p value < 0.05 was considered significant.

**RESULTS**

The ethanol fraction revealed eight bands. Three bands were yellow-orange with Rₓ values of 0.12, 0.25 and 0.30. Five bands were violet-blue with Rₓ values of 0.17, 0.38, 0.41, 0.56 and 0.61. The violet-blue reference compound appeared at the Rₓ value of 0.17.

Growth inhibition by the fruit extract on human breast cancer cells is shown in Fig 2. At 48 h, the ED₅₀ values of fraction 3 were 56.1 ± 3.8 and 30.6 ± 1.5 μg/ml for SKBR3 and MDA-MB435, respectively. Since fraction 3 was found to have an inhibitory growth effect on these cell lines, this fraction was used to further investigate the effect of the fruit extract on these cells.

**Effect of extract on cell viability**

The results indicate that at a concentration of 100 μg/ml, both cell lines showed low viability of < 50 % compared to that of the control group. No cell inhibition was observed at 10 μg/ml.
Cell cycle induced by extract

SKBR3 treated with fraction 3 at a final concentration of 160 μg/ml, shown in Fig 3, indicate a slight S phase block by decreasing the population of S phase from 12.9 to 7.2 %. For MDA-MB435 cell cycle progression, the result showed that the treated MDA-MB435 cells (at a concentration of 120 μg/ml) increased the population of G2/M phase from 24.4 to 45.7 % compared with that of the control group (Fig 4).

DISCUSSION

The ethanol extract of the plant fruit was fractionated in column chromatography, according to the compound polarity. The eluting solvents were transferred to the column, starting from water, water–methanol (1:1), methanol and ethyl acetate. They were subjected to MTT test for antiproliferative activities. The water soluble fraction comprised the most polar compounds, i.e., inorganic salts, sugars, amino acids, saponins; the water–methanol soluble fraction contains the glycosides while the ethyl acetate fraction is composed of aglycones. The methanol extract showed cytotoxicity against the SKBR3 and MDA-MB435 breast cancer cell lines. This inhibitory growth activity of the treated cells acted in a dose-dependent manner which was also confirmed by the cell viability with the trypan blue exclusion assay. This observation suggests that some active components of this extract are in the methanol fraction.
Many anticancer agents derived from plants that are prescribed for treating malignancies inhibit cancer cell growth through cell cycle regulation, including G2/M accumulation [11]. It is well known that agents that affect the G2/M phase cell cycle arrest act by targeting tubulin or disrupting tubulin-microtubule equilibrium [12,13]. Our findings indicate that *Limonia acidissima* fruit extract exhibited antiproliferative effect on MDA-MB435 breast cancer cells via G2/M cell cycle arrest, and therefore may interact with tubulin in a manner similar to other plant-derived chemotherapeutic agents. However, the antiproliferative activities of this plant may possibly depend on cell type and culture conditions.

**CONCLUSION**

*Limonia acidissima* Linn. fruit fraction 3 inhibits the proliferation of human breast cancer cell lines SKBR3 and MDA-MB435. The cell cycle via G2/M blockage plays some roles in *Limonia acidissima* Linn.-induced antiproliferative activities in MDA-MB435. However, further chemical and other investigations at molecular level are required to identify the active components that induce growth inhibition and to establish possible correlation between the observed activities of the fruit extract.
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