Buccal Transmucosal Delivery System of Enalapril for Improved Cardiac Drug Delivery: Preparation and Characterization
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Abstract

Purpose: To prepare and characterize buccal transmucosal delivery system of enalapril maleate for overcoming its low bioavailability, and hence provide improved therapeutic efficacy and patient compliance.

Methods: Transmucosal drug delivery systems of enalapril maleate were formulated as buccal films by solvent casting technique using polyvinylpyrrolidone K90, hydroxypropyl methylcellulose, sodium carboxymethylcellulose (high viscosity). The films were evaluated for film weight, thickness, folding endurance, drug content uniformity, surface pH, in vitro residence time, in vitro drug release and ex-vivo permeation.

Results: All the formulations showed high drug content (96.45 to 98.49 %). Those with good swelling showed good residence time. In vitro drug release was highest for films prepared with high viscosity grade sodium carboxymethylcellulose (SCMC-HV,F2), releasing 92.24 % of drug in 1.5 h) followed by F4 (containing polyvinyl pyrrolidone K-90 1 % w/v and SCMC (HV) 1 % w/v). Ex-vivo drug permeation at the end of 10 h was 82.24 and 89.9 % for F2 and F4, respectively.

Conclusion: Prompt drug release was obtained from the formulation (F2) containing SCMC 2 % w/v with 10 mg enalapril. However, on the basis of the highest swelling and residence time, and controlled drug release, formulation F4 (containing PVP K-90 and SCMC HV) would be suitable for the development of buccal film for effective therapy of cardiac diseases.
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INTRODUCTION

In recent years transmucosal drug delivery systems (TMDDs) have been investigated for delivering the drugs across the various different mucosa (like oral, buccal, nasal, vaginal or rectal) for gaining various advantages across the conventional oral dosage forms. These advantages include providing prompt action, preventing the hepatic first pass metabolism, reducing the gastrointestinal irritation and reducing the dosage frequency [1,2]. The transmucosal delivery occurs when a drug delivery system is kept in intimate contact of a mucous membrane (buccal, vaginal, nasal, rectal etc.) for an extended period of time [3]. The bioadhesion occurs due to swelling of delivery system (due to imbibement of mucous) which
follows the entanglement of polymer chains with that of mucin molecules. After the chain entanglement the drug diffuses across the mucosa [1,3,4].

Among the various mucosae the buccal mucosa is best suited for local as well as systemic delivery of drugs. Its properties such as high vascularization, circumvention of the first pass metabolism and better patient compliance (than other mucosal sites) make it as an ideal route for transmucosal drug delivery [4-7]. Moreover, the improved bioavailability over the other sites available for TMDDS is the vital factor associated with transmucosal buccal drug delivery. These dosage forms are economic and patient friendly also.

The microenvironment of the mucosa governs the drug dissolution (release) and permeation through the mucosa; and the properly designed TMDDS can modulate it [8]. Mucoadhesive polymers are used for the formulation of TMDDS. These polymers generally are the polymers and their different grades with high molecular weight, high viscosity, greater flexibility and optimum chain length [9-13].

Transmucosal buccal delivery has been investigated for various drugs including protein and peptides [14-17]. Various TMDDS like tablets, films, patches, disks, strips, ointments and gels have been investigated [14-21]. Out of these transmucosal buccal films have been reported to be more flexible, comfortable with relatively longer residence time (than that of oral gels) on the mucosa. These films also show more protectant effect on the local wound surface for oral diseases [21-23].

Enalapril maleate is an angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor, used mainly in the treatment of hypertension and angina pectoris. It has low bioavailability (40 – 60 %) due to hepatic first pass metabolism [24-26]. Hence to improve its therapeutic efficacy and bioavailability, the drug may be administered by buccal route using buccal films. Buccal delivery of enalapril maleate may circumvent hepatic first pass metabolism to improve its bioavailability. Hence the present study aimed to formulate and characterize transmucosal buccal films of enalapril maleate with the use of mucoadhesive polymers, including sodium carboxymethylcellulose (SCMC), hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC), hydroxyethylcellulose (HEC) and polyvinyl pyrrolidone K-90 (PVP K-90).

**EXPERIMENTAL**

Enalapril maleate, PVP K-90, HPMC (47 centipoise), SCMC (high viscosity grade) were obtained from Sigma Aldrich, US. Other chemicals used were of analytical grade. The films were prepared using solvent casting method.

**Preparation of transmucosal buccal films**

Buccal films of enalapril maleate were prepared by solvent casting technique using film forming mucoadhesive polymers based on the composition in Table 1.

**Table 1**: Composition of transmucosal buccal films of enalapril maleate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredient</th>
<th>Formulation (% w/v)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drug</td>
<td>F1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enalapril maleate</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVP K-90</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMC K15</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCMC (HV)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** PVP - polyvinylpyrrolidone, HPMC - hydroxypropylmethylcellulose, SCMC (HV) - sodium carboxymethylcellulose (high viscosity grade)

HPMC was weighed (100 mg) accurately and dissolved in minimum ethanol. After the swelling of polymer solution (standing time 10 min) more (5 ml) ethanol was added to the swelled polymer solution and the dispersion was kept for stirring (400 rpm, 15 min). To this solution, propylene glycol was added. Ethanolic enalapril maleate solution was prepared and added to the polymer solution. The solution was vortexed and then stirred for 15 min at 400 rpm. The resulting solution was filled into vials, leaving little space over the surface and kept overnight. This step removed any air bubble in the drug-polymer solution. The bubble free drug polymer solution was poured into glass Petri dish placed over a flat surface with inverted funnel placed over the dish (to avoid sudden evaporation). These Petri dish were kept for 12 h at room temperature for drying and solvent evaporation. The prepared dry films were removed, cut into size of 2 cm diameter, kept in butter paper and stored in a desiccator till further use.

**Determination of film weight, thickness and folding endurance**

Film weight (by digital balance, Fisher Brand PS-200) and thickness (by micrometer screw gauge, Mitutoyo MMO-25DS) were determined (n = 3). Folding endurance was determined by
repeatedly folding a small strip of size (2 × 2 cm) of film at the same place till it broke (Table 2).

**Drug content uniformity measurement**

To determine the drug content uniformity, three film units of each formulation were taken in separate 100 ml volumetric flasks, 100 ml of methanol was added and continuously stirred for 4 h (till all the contents are dissolved). The solutions were filtered, diluted suitably and analyzed at 213 nm in a UV spectrophotometer (Lambda 25, Perkin Elmer, US). The mean value of drug content of three films was taken.

**Measurement of surface pH**

Surface pH was determined by pH meter after keeping the hydrated films on agar plate for 1 h for swelling [27,28]. The swelling study was performed in pH 6.6 phosphate buffer by a previously reported [28].

**Determination of in vitro residence time**

*In vitro* residence time was determined using USP disintegration apparatus using pH 6.6 phosphate buffer (PB) as the disintegration medium (800 ml, 37 ± 2 °C). On the surface of a glass slab the segments of rat intestinal mucosa (each of 3 cm length) were glued and then the slab was vertically attached to the apparatus. Three films of each formulation were hydrated (on one surface using pH 6.6 PB) and the hydrated surface was brought into contact with the mucosal membrane. The glass slab was vertically fixed to the apparatus and allowed to move up and down. The film was completely immersed in the buffer solution at the lowest point and was out at the highest point. The time required for complete erosion or detachment of the film from the mucosal surface was recorded (n = 3) as given in Table 2.

**Evaluation of in vitro drug release**

*In vitro* drug release study was performed in a USP XXIV 8-station dissolution apparatus, type 1, with 900 ml pH 6.6 phosphate buffer (PB) as dissolution medium, maintained at 37 ± 0.5 °C and 50 rpm for 6 h. One film of each formulation was fixed to the central shaft using an adhesive. At predetermined time samples were withdrawn from each station, filtered, diluted suitably and then analyzed spectrophotometrically at 213 nm.

**Ex vivo permeation study**

*Ex vivo* permeation studies of mucoadhesive buccal films of enalapril through an excised layer of porcine buccal mucosa (washed with pH 7.4 PB and trimmed to remove additional tissues before using) were carried out using the modified Franz diffusion cell [17,28-30]. A 2.0 cm diameter film of each formulation under study was placed in intimate contact with the excised porcine buccal mucosa and the topside was covered with aluminum foil as a backing membrane. The contents of receptor compartment filled with 100 ml of pH 7.4 phosphate buffer were stirred on a magnetic stirrer at 37 ± 10. The samples were withdrawn at predetermined time (replaced with same volume of fresh media), filtered, diluted suitably and then analyzed using UV spectrophotometer at 213 nm.

**Statistical analysis**

The results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical analysis was carried out by analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS software (IBM SPSS Statistics 2015). $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Film weight, thickness and folding endurance**

The films showed uniform thickness throughout. The film thickness was found to be from 0.140 ± 0.017 to 0.220 ± 0.034 mm (Table 2).

The weights of different formulation were found to be in the range of 56 ± 1.02 to 94 ± 0.82 mg. Folding endurance was measured manually by folding the film repeatedly at a point till they broke. Films did not show any cracks even after folding for more than 197 times. The folding endurance was found to be in the range of 197.02 ± 14.45 to 354.42 ± 15.50.

**Drug content**

The percent drug content was found to be in the range of 96.45 ± 1.10 to 98.49 ± 1.25 % (Table 2).

**Surface pH**

The surface pH of all formulations was within ± 0.5 units of the neutral pH (6.4 to 6.8) and hence no mucosal irritation were expected and ultimately achieve patient compliance.

**Swelling**

Swelling of the films in phosphate buffer solution (PBS, pH 6.6)
Table 2: Physical characterization of transmucosal buccal films of enalapril maleate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation code</th>
<th>Thickness (mm)</th>
<th>Folding endurance</th>
<th>Content uniformity (%)</th>
<th>Surface pH</th>
<th>Swelling index (2h)</th>
<th>In vitro residence time (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>0.168 ±0.102</td>
<td>354.42</td>
<td>±1.02</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>19.94 ±1.02</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>0.140 ±0.017</td>
<td>292.00</td>
<td>±0.15</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>27.65 ±2.02</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>0.201 ±0.024</td>
<td>282.50</td>
<td>±2.09</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>29.40 ±1.12</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>0.168 ±0.120</td>
<td>217.82</td>
<td>±1.24</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>27.65 ±2.02</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5</td>
<td>0.220 ±0.034</td>
<td>197.02</td>
<td>±0.25</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td>34.92 ±1.04</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F6</td>
<td>0.180 ±0.012</td>
<td>259.08</td>
<td>±1.10</td>
<td>6.49</td>
<td>47.90 ±1.29</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3)

was more pronounced in film F4 (48.11 ± 1.09) followed by F6 (47.90 ± 1.29) which contained PVP–SCMC and HPMC-SCMC, respectively (Table 2).

In vitro residence time

The in vitro residence time of various formulations was in the rank order of F4 > F6 > F5 > F3 > F2 > F1. The in vitro residence time of the films were found to be in good tune with swelling and drug release properties.

In vitro drug release

In vitro release studies of various formulations were performed in PBS as dissolution medium. Significant difference in drug release was found for the various transmucosal buccal films of enalapril maleate (Fig 1).

SCMC (HV) containing film (F2) showed highest drug release (with rapid release of drug, 92.24 % in 1.5 h). After the formulation F2, the formulation F4 (containing PVP K-90 1 % w/v and SCMC (HV) 1 % w/v) showed the better drug release.

Ex-vivo drug release

For ex-vivo drug release study the formulation F2 (containing SCMC 2 % w/v) and F4 (containing PVP K-90 1 % w/v and SCMC HV 1 % w/v) were selected. The formulation F2 was selected due to highest and fastest in vitro drug release while F4 was selected due to highest swelling, longest residence time and rapid (83.48 % in 1.5 h) as well as higher in vitro drug release (92.24 % at the end of 5 h). In ex vivo study, drug permeation through the porcine buccal mucosa was determined for formulation F2 and F4 (Fig 2).
The ex-vivo drug permeation at the end of 10 h was 82.24 % and 89.9 % for F2 and F4, respectively. The correlation coefficient values were 0.9274 and 0.945 for F2 and F4, respectively showing good correlation. It was concluded that the release kinetics followed zero order. As the Higuchi plots of F2 and F4 showed linearity (with correlation coefficient values of 0.910 and 0.958 for F2 and F4, respectively) the drug permeation was confirmed to be through the matrix diffusion process.

DISCUSSION

In cardiac diseases, prompt delivery of the drug is important especially in emergency situations. Moreover, when a patient is in capable of swallowing medicaments orally the alternative routes with improved bioavailability such as buccal route have been found to be very promising.

The physical properties of buccal films like thickness weight, folding endurance values were found to be optimum to reveal good film properties. The higher drug loading values of the films indicated the uniform dispersion of drug in the prepared films which makes the loading and delivery of therapeutic dosage of the drug.

The acidic or alkaline pH may be a potential irritant to the buccal mucosa with adverse effect on the drug release and degree of hydration of polymers. Therefore the surface pH of buccal film was determined to optimize both drug release and mucoadhesion. The pH of films being in good tune with the pH of buccal mucosa would not be expected to cause irritation and to affect adversely the drug release or hydration of polymers. The greater swelling property of SCMC (HV) was found to play a key role.

The enhanced erosion rate associated with the non-ionic polymers (HPMC and SCMC) in the present study is well supported by the various previous studies [28,31,32]. The mucous and the biological fluid (pH 6.6) of buccal region gets imbied into the transmucosal delivery system and this induction of swelling of particles in the matrix (of drug-polymer) make the transmucosal device a highly porous swollen matrix. The drug starts diffusing out of the highly porous matrix with simultaneous erosion of the polymer matrix. So the swelling property is closely associated with the residence time of buccal transmucosal film.

In in vitro drug release studies, formulation F2 showed rapid and the best release due to easy erosion of the polymer matrix which could not hold the drug for longer period of time as compared to other formulations. However, the formulation can be acceptable for the prompt delivery of the drug in cardiac emergencies.

On the basis of the properties of high swelling, long residence time, high and fast in vitro drug release, formulations F2 and F4 were selected for ex vivo studies across the porcine buccal mucosa. Due to the low permeability of mucosa and unidirectional flow of drug flux (due to presence of backing membrane in ex vivo study) the drug permeation was slower and lower as compared to the in vitro drug release. The zero order of drug release with matrix diffusion process as shown by the formulations in the ex vivo studies, was best suited for getting the better cardiac effects of enalapril through the transmucosal buccal films.

CONCLUSION

All the formulations showed good physicochemical properties including drug loading, swelling and in vitro residence properties and can be used for buccal transmucosal drug delivery of enalapril for delivery to systemic circulation for prompt or prolonged action depending on the polymers employed in developing the buccal films. However, in vivo, preclinical and clinical investigations need to be carried out before the findings can be translated to clinical settings.
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