# Evaluation of spatial and seasonal variations in surface water quality using multivariate statistical techniques

\*A. H. Pejman; G. R. Nabi Bidhendi; A. R. Karbassi; N. Mehrdadi; M. Esmaeili Bidhendi

Graduate Faculty of Environment, University of Tehran, P. O. Box 14155-6135, Tehran, Iran

Received 28 March 2009; revised 20 April 2009; accepted 20 May 2009; available online 1 June 2009

**ABSTRACT:** In this study, spatial and seasonal variations of water quality in Haraz River Basin were evaluated using multivariate statistical techniques, such as cluster analysis, principal component analysis and factor analysis. Water quality data collected from 8 sampling stations in river during 4 seasons (Summer and Autumn of 2007, Winter and Spring of 2008) were analyzed for 10 parameters (dissolved oxygen, Fecal Coliform, pH, water temperature, biochemical oxygen demand, nitrate, total phosphate, turbidity, total solid and discharge). Cluster analysis grouped eight sampling stations into three clusters of similar water quality features and thereupon the whole river basin may be categorized into three zones, i.e. low, moderate and high pollution. The principle component analysis/factor analysis assisted to extract and recognize the factors or origins responsible for water quality variations in four seasons of the year. The natural parameters (temperature and discharge), the inorganic parameter (total solid) and the organic nutrients (nitrate) were the most significant parameters contributing to water quality variations for all seasons. Result of principal component analysis and factor analysis evinced that, a parameter that can be significant in contribution to water quality variations in river for one season, may less or not be significant for another one.

Keywords: Cluster analysis; Factor analysis; Principal component analysis; River; Water pollution

# **INTRODUCTION**

Water quality performs important role in health of human, animals and plants. The quality of surface water within a region is governed by both natural processes (such as precipitation rate, weathering processes and soil erosion) and anthropogenic effects (such as urban, industrial and agricultural activities and the human exploitation of water resources) (Jarvie et al., 1998; Liao et al., 2007; Mahavi et al., 2005; Nouri et al., 2008). A variety of methods are being used to display the information which is concealed in the quality variables observed in a water quality monitoring network (Mazlum et al., 1999). The quality of a river at any point reflects several major influences, including the lithology of the basin, atmospheric inputs, climatic conditions and anthropogenic inputs (Bricker and Jones, 1995; Shrestha and Kazama, 2007). The anthropogenic discharges constitute a constant polluting source, whereas surface runoff is a seasonal phenomenon, largely affected by climate within the basin (Karbassi et al., 2007; Najafpour et al., 2008;

Singh et al., 2004). Seasonal variations in precipitation, surface run-off, ground water flow, interception and abstraction strongly affect river discharge and, consequently the concentrations of pollutants in river water (Khadka and Khanal, 2008; Mtethiwa et al., 2008; Vega et al., 1998). Human activities are a major factor determining the quality of the surface and ground water through atmospheric pollution, effluent discharges, use of agricultural chemicals, eroded soils and land use (Niemi et al., 1990). These land use changes increase the amount of impervious surface resulting in storm runoff events that negatively affect stream ecosystems and water quality (Paul et al., 2001). Rivers in watersheds with substantial agricultural and urban land use experience increased inputs and varying compositions of organic matter (Sickman et al., 2007) and excessive concentrations of phosphorus and other nutrients from fertilizer application and watershed releases (Easton et al., 2007). Natural and synthetic estrogens, other pharmaceuticals and disease-causing bacteria are entering streams through the release of wastewater from sewage treatment plants and effluent

 <sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author Email: *ahp9325@gmail.com* Tel.: +98912 2040 804; Fax: +9821 4400 5963

from septic systems (Gross et al., 2004; Kinzelman et al., 2003; Williams et al., 2003). Therefore, the effective, long-term management of rivers requires a fundamental understanding of hydro-morphological, chemical and biological characteristics (Shrestha and Kazama, 2007). However, due to spatial and temporal variations in water quality (which are often difficult to interpret), a monitoring program, providing a representative and reliable estimation of the quality of surface waters is necessary (Dixon and Chiswell, 1996). The application of different multivariate statistical techniques, such as cluster analysis (CA), principal component analysis (PCA) and factor analysis (FA) helps in the interpretation of complex data matrices to better understand the water quality and ecological status of the studied systems, allows the identification of possible factors sources that influence water systems and offers a valuable tool for reliable management of water resources, as well as rapid solution to pollution problems (Lee et al., 2001; Reghunath et al., 2002; Vega et al., 1998; Wunderlin et al., 2001). Also in recent years, the PCA and FA methods have been exerted for a variety of environmental applications, containing evaluation of ground water monitoring wells and hydrographs, examination of spatial and temporal patterns of surface water quality, identification of chemical species related to hydrological conditions and assessment of environmental quality indicators (Bengraine and Marhaba, 2003; Ouyang, 2005; Ouyang et al., 2006; Perkins and Underwood, 2000; Voutsa et al., 2001). Multivariate statistical techniques has been applied to characterize and evaluate surface and freshwater quality and it is useful in verifying temporal and spatial variations caused by natural and anthropogenic factors linked to seasonality (Singh et al., 2004; 2005). For instance, multivariate statistical methods, such as CA and PCA were used by Zhang et al. (2009) to analyze the water quality dataset, including 13 parameters at 18 sites of the Daliao River Basin from 2003-2005 to obtain temporal and spatial variations and to identify potential pollution sources, also Kazi et al. (2009) using CA and PCA methods (with monitoring at five different sites for 36 parameters in Manchar Lake, generated during 2005-06) evaluated and interpreted complex water quality data sets and apportioned of pollution sources to get better information about water quality and to design a monitoring network.

The aim of this study is to analyze the 10 parameters of water in 8 sampling stations of Haraz River for 4 seasons (Summer and Autumn of 2007, Winter and Spring of 2008). The obtained data set is subjected to the multivariate statistical methods (i.e. CA, PCA and FA) to evaluate information about similarities and dissimilarities between sampling stations, to recognize water quality variables for seasonal variations in river water quality and the affect of pollution sources on the water quality parameters of the Haraz River Basin.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Study area

Haraz River Basin area is located in the Mazandaran Province and north region of Iran (Fig. 1). It lies between longitude of  $35^{\circ}52'$  and  $45^{\circ}5'$  and latitude of  $35^{\circ}45'$  and  $36^{\circ}15'$ , Haraz River has a length of 185 km with a discharge of  $940 \times 10^{6}$  m<sup>3</sup>/y (in 2006). The width of river ranges from 50 to 500 m at different locations. The catchments area of river is about 4,060 Km<sup>2</sup> with average precipitation of 832 mm/y (Karbassi *et al.*, 2008). Haraz River originates from Alborz mountain ranges and flows into the southern coast of the Caspian Sea (Keramat Amirkolaie, 2008). The Haraz River is a major source for agriculture activities particularly in downstream basin areas.

#### Sample collection

Water samples were collected from the 8 stations for 4 seasons of Haraz River. Then, collected samples were kept in a 2 L polyethylene plastic bottles cleaned with metal free soap, rinsed many times with distilled water and finally soaked in 10 % nitric acid for 24 h, finally rinsed with ultrapure water. All water samples were stored in insulated cooler containing ice and delivered on the same day to laboratory and all samples were kept at 4 °C until processing and analysis (Clesceri *et al.*, 1998; Kazi *et al.*, 2009).

#### Analytical methods

In the present investigation, water samples were collected from 8 stations in four different seasons (summer and autumn of 2007; winter and spring of 2008). Sampling site selection criteria include natural conditions, as well as human activities. Station 1, represents natural condition where neither agricultural nor industrial activities can be found. Stations 2, 3, 4 and 5 are mostly affected by agricultural, as well as aqua culture and river sand mining activities. Stations 6, 7 and 8 are affected by almost all type of pollutant from residential, agricultural and to a lower extent

industrial activities. The selected water quality parameters consist of dissolved oxygen (DO), fecal coliform (FC), pH, water temperature (T), 5-day biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>), total phosphate (T-PO<sub>4</sub>), turbidity, total solid (TS) and discharge (Q).

The temperature, pH and DO concentrations of the water were measured on-site by a thermometer, pH and DO meter (using HACH sensION156 portable), respectively (APHA, 1998). The BOD<sub>5</sub> was determined by the Winkler azide method and TS were determined gravimetrically at 105-110 °C (Kazi *et al.*, 2009). NO<sub>3</sub> and T-PO<sub>4</sub> were analyzed by cadmium reduction and

ascorbic acid method (using HACH DR2800 spectrophotometer), respectively. Turbidity was determinate by the nephelometric method (using HACH 2100AN turbidimeter) (APHA, 1998). Discharge was measured using current-meter measurements by wading (Shrestha and Kazama, 2007). The membrane filter technique was used to determine the number of colony forming units per 100 mL (cfu/100 mL) of fecal coliforms in sampling water (APHA, 1998). The water quality parameters, their units and methods of analysis are summarized in Table 1. The results of duplicate samples at stations 2 and 6 reveals precision as good as 97 % for all parameters.



Fig. 1: Map of the study area and surface water quality sampling stations in Haraz River Basin

## Statistical methods

All mathematical and statistical calculations were implemented using STATISTICA 8 and Microsoft Office Excel 2007.

### Cluster analysis

Cluster analysis is a group of multivariate techniques whose primary purpose is to assemble objects based on the characteristics they possess (Shrestha and Kazama, 2007). The Euclidean distance usually gives the similarity between two samples and a distance can be represented by the difference between analytical values from the samples (Otto, 1998). In this study, hierarchical agglomerative CA was performed on the normalized data set by means of the Ward's method, using squared Euclidean distances as a measure of similarity. The spatial variability of water quality in the whole river basin was determined from CA, using the linkage distance, reported as Dlink/Dmax, which represents the quotient between the linkage distances for a particular case divided by the maximal linkage distance. The quotient is then multiplied by 100 as a way to standardize the linkage distance (Simeonov et al., 2003; Wunderlin et al., 2001). Cluster analysis was used in the present investigation because a visual summary of intra-relationship amongst variations parameters can lead to a better understanding of governing factors.

### Principal component analysis/factor analysis

PCA is designed to transmute the original variables into new, uncorrelated variables (axes), called the principal components, which are linear combinations of the original variables (Shrestha and Kazama, 2007). PCA provides information on the most meaningful parameters which describe the whole data set interpretation, data reduction and to summarize the statistical correlation among constituent in the water with minimum loss of original information (Helena et al., 2000). FA follows PCA which is a linear combination of observable water quality variables, whereas FA can include unobservable, hypothetical, latent variables (Helena et al., 2000; Vega et al., 1998). PCA of the normalized variables was executed to extract significant principal components (PCs) and to further reduce the contribution of variables with minor significance; these PCs were subjected to varimax rotation (raw) generating factors (Abdul-Wahab et al., 2005; Love et al., 2004; Shrestha and Kazama, 2007; Singh et al., 2004, 2005). The main applications of factor analytic techniques are 1) to lessen the number of variables and 2) to discover structure in the relationships between variables, that is to classify variables.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The measurement results of water quality parameters from 8 sampling stations in four seasons of Haraz River are represented in Table 2.

### Spatial similarity with CA

Cluster analysis was applied to find out the similarity groups between the sampling stations. It resulted a dendogram (Fig. 2), grouping all the eight sampling stations into three statistically meaningful clusters at  $(D_{ink}/D_{max}) = 100 < 50$ . The three stations (1, 2 and 3)

Table 1: Water quality parameters, abbreviations, units and analytical methods used for water sample of Haraz River

Parameters	Abbreviation	Units	Analytical methods
Dissolved oxygen	DO	mg/L	DO meter
Fecal coliform	FC	cfu/100ml	Membrane filteration
pH	pH	pH unit	pH-meter
Temperature	Т	°C	Mercury thermometer
Biochemical oxygen demand	BOD	mg/L	Winkler azide method
Nitrate	NO <sub>3</sub>	mg/L	Spectrophotometric
Total Phosphate	$T-PO_4$	mg/L	Spectrophotometric
Turbidity	Turbidity	NTU	Nephelometric method
Total solids	TS	mg/L	Gravimetric
Discharge	Q	m <sup>3</sup> /s	Current-meter

form cluster 1 which comprises relatively less polluted sites. Cluster 2 includes station 4 and 5 that these resemble to almost one another. Cluster 2 corresponds to moderately polluted sites. These stations receive pollution mostly from agricultural, river sand mining and fish farming activities. Three stations of river downstream (6, 7 and 8) form cluster 3 that these possess totally highly pollution. Discharge of domestic wastewater and untreated sewage of agricultural activities in this region, as well as establishment of dam after fifth station on river channel and sizable decrease of water flow rate, are principal reasons of pollution increase. It can also be inferred that self purification capability of Haraz River is weak. The results exhibit that the CA technique is useful in present accredited classification of surface waters in the whole river basin, hence, the number of sampling sites and respective cost in the future monitoring plans can be lessen. There are other reports (Kim *et al.*, 2005; Shrestha and Kazama, 2007; Singh *et al.*, 2004; 2005), with same results in water quality programs.

Table 2. Results of water quality parameters from eight sampling stations of Haraz River in four seasons

S	Stations	DO (mg/L)	F C (cfu/100 mL)	pН	BOD (mg/L)	T (°C)	T-PO <sub>4</sub> (mg/L)	Nitrates (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)	TS (mg/L)	Q (m <sup>3</sup> /s)
	1		(	0.2							
	1 2	6.3	9 4	8.3 8.3	9 8	13 15	0.03	0.04	7	87 148	4.39 7.14
	3	4.3 5.3	4 19	8.2	8 14	15	0.05 0.04	0.21 1.35	18 65	321	10.52
	4	5.3 5.4	19	8.2 8.2	14	10	0.04	1.33	110	459	10.32
13	5	5.1	78	8.2	13	18	0.07	4.52	110	448	10.27
Spring	6	4.9	110	8.3	41	13	0.09	4.52	112	443	1.32
S	0 7	4.9	99	8.1	49	17	0.09	3.25	210	634	0.53
	8	4.9	67	8.2	49	18	0.09	3.25	397	745	0.55
	Mean	5.12	50	8.22	24.75	16.5	0.09	2.31	133.87	414.37	5.58
	S.D.*	0.582	43.306	0.070	17.227	1.772	0.026	1.756	125.740	223.911	4.558
	1	4.7	5	8.61	10	16	0.01	0.3	7	280	3.32
	2	4.5	8	8.71	11	19	0.08	1.3	57	390	4.43
	3	4.2	25	8.4	13	25	0.06	2.2	250	474	6.94
ler	4	3.6	14	8.54	11	25	0.1	2.8	342	693	6.82
Summer	5	4.3	20	8.55	22	25 26	0.08	3.2	405	746	6.57
Su	6 7	4.2	170	8.52	44	26 26	0.1	4.1	312	683 559	0.83
	8	3.1 4.4	145 120	8.36 8.23	50 48	26 25	0.1 0.08	3 2.6	198 105	559 488	0.36 0.1
	8 Mean	4.4 4.12	63.37	8.25 8.49	48 26.12	25 23.37	0.08	2.6	209.5	488 539.12	0.1 3.67
	S.D.	4.12 0.523	69.182	0.152	18.027	23.37 3.739	0.07	2.45 1.179	209.3 143.047	162.044	2.963
	1	7.1	2	8.2	1	11	0.01	0.1	13	280	3.93
	2	7.1	2	8.3	2	11	0.01	0.9	12	280	6.35
	3	5.7	7	8.4	2	13	0.01	1.6	15	320	9.21
E	4	6.1	8	8.5	4	14	0.1	1.8	175	430	8.86
Autumn	5	6	11	8.5	9	17	0.05	3.5	350	573	9.07
Αu	6	5.6	59	8.5	32	18	0.1	3.2	300	523	1.14
	7	5.4	50	8.3	22	17	0.08	3.1	110	328	0.45
	8	5.3	39	8.3	10	18	0.04	1.9	75	399	0.16
	Mean	6.03	22.25	8.37	10.25	14.87	0.05	2.01	131.25	391.62	4.89
	S.D.	0.708	23.248	0.116	11.170	2.997	0.039	1.19	132.867	110.7	3.983
	1	9.1	3	8.4	1	6	0.04	0.08	7	230	3.5
	2	8.8	2	8.3	2	6	0.08	0.39	5	221	5.84
	3	8.2	5	8.3	2	7	0.07	0.78	8	269	8.41
r	4	7.1	6	8.2	2	9	0.09	0.78	121	360	8.13
Winter	5	7.1	9	8.3	6	11	0.08	1.9	205	481	8.21
Wi	6	7	44	8.3	21	12	0.06	1.8	200	462	1.06
	7	7	46	8.3	15	11	0.07	1.8	54	270	0.4
	8	6.9	36	8.3	7	14	0.03	1.1	47	313	0.15
	Mean	7.65	18.87	8.3	7	9.5	0.06	1.07	80.87	325.75	4.46
	S.D.	0.905	19.467	0.053	7.289	2.976	0.020	0.693	84.179	100.336	3.636

\* S.D.: Standard Deviation

#### Seasonal variations of water quality parameters

Box and whisker plots represent seasonal trends in Fig.3. The average concentration of DO (Fig. 3a) is higher in winter and autumn as compared to summer and spring. In fact, seasonal variations is plenty effective in concentration of DO and this amount put into effect momentous role in water quality of rivers. The average temperature (Fig. 3d) is observed to be the highest in summer and the lowest in winter. The inverse relationship between temperature and dissolved oxygen is a natural process because warmer water becomes more easily saturated with oxygen and it can hold less dissolved oxygen (Shrestha and Kazama, 2007). The average pH (Fig. 3c) is higher in summer and the lowest in spring. The average fecal coliform (Fig. 3 b) is higher in summer and spring as compared to winter and autumn. In reality, in warm seasons increase of human in locations of around river and also crowd of animals surrounding the river can be main reason of domestic wastewater and thereupon fecal coliforms in these seasons. The average concentration of BOD (Fig. 3e) is higher in spring and summer in contrast with less amount in autumn and winter. In the case of nitrate (Fig. 3f) and total phosphate (Fig. 3g), average concentration is higher in warm seasons that may be justified by relatively more agricultural and fish farming activities in summer and spring.

The variations in trend of turbidity and total solids (Figs. 3h and i) is approximately similar in different seasons and the average these parameters is higher in summer and spring as compared to autumn and winter. It is evident that discharge (Fig. 3j) variations are commensurate with weather conditions and seasons variations, though utilize rate of water sake uses diverse, as well is telling. The average discharge is higher in spring and autumn in contrast with winter and summer.

Principal component analysis/factor analysis was executed on 10 variables for the eight different sampling stations in four seasons, in order that is identified important seasonal water quality parameters. An eigenvalue gives a measure of the significance of the factor: the factors with the highest eigenvalues are the most significant. Eigenvalues of 1.0 or greater are considered significant (Shrestha and Kazama, 2007). Classification of factor loading is thus 'strong', 'moderate' and 'weak', corresponding to absolute loading values of > 0.75, 0.75-0.50 and 0.50-0.30, respectively (Liu et al., 2003). Corresponding, variable loadings and explained variance are presented in Table 3 and strong loading values have been highlighted. The two factors of PCA/FA include totally more than 79 % of the total variance in each season respecting water quality data sets. Each water quality parameter with an strong correlation coefficient value (>75 %) was considered to be an significant parameter contributing to seasonal variations of the water quality in Haraz River. The



Fig. 2: Dendrogram of cluster analysis for sampling stations according to surface water quality parameters of the Haraz River Basin



most significant water quality parameters that can contribute to evaluate seasonal variations of water quality are signalized in Table 4. The temperature, nitrate, total solids and discharge are the most significant parameters contributing to water quality variations for all four seasons in the Haraz River Basin. Nitrate and total phosphate with positive strong loading value as the most significant parameters contributed to water quality variations in four and three seasons, respectively, it has this meaning that considerable amount of inorganic nutrients due to excessive influx of orchard and agricultural areas sewage, comprises Haraz River Basin almost in total year. The biochemical oxygen demand and fecal coliform with strong factor loadings are the most

important parameters in water quality variations for three seasons of year and it is explanatory of this fact which entry of domestic wastewater causes considerable pollution and extra variations in river water quality more time of year. The total solid with strong positive loading is as another of the most significant parameters in water quality variations for whole of year and it can be demonstrator of erosion effect during cultivation of soil and in general high agricultural and river sand mining activities in environs this river. The temperature and discharge with strong loadings are as the most significant parameter contributed to water quality variations in four seasons and it represents the seasonal impacts of discharge and temperature.

Table 3: The factor loadings value and explained variance of water quality parameters in four seasons

Spring			Summer			
Parameters	Factor 1	Factor 2	Parameters	Factor 1	Factor 2	
DO	-0.469378	-0.148037	DO	-0.597647	0.304315	
FC	0.614498	0.643152	FC	0.293020	<mark>-0.916665</mark>	
pН	-0.783326	0.092188	pH	-0.209820	0.709617	
BOD	0.584410	0.788055	BOD	0.274419	<mark>-0.945053</mark>	
Т	<mark>0.979687</mark>	0.155363	Т	<mark>0.877256</mark>	-0.388125	
$T-PO_4$	<mark>0.835711</mark>	0.455109	$T-PO_4$	<mark>0.809927</mark>	-0.316944	
NO <sub>3</sub>	<mark>0.809634</mark>	0.400579	$NO_3$	<mark>0.891154</mark>	-0.382278	
Turbidity	0.647648	0.588594	Turbidity	<mark>0.945618</mark>	0.207756	
TS	<mark>0.858754</mark>	0.427671	TS	<mark>0.963221</mark>	0.004151	
Q	-0.029961	-0.971562	Q	0.220494	<mark>0.937110</mark>	
Total variance	66.76	12.78	Total variance	56.27	26.72	
Autumn			Winter			
	E ( 1	E / A				
Parameters	Factor 1	Factor 2	Parameters	Factor 1	Factor 2	
Parameters DO	-0.411572	-0.689406	Parameters DO	-0.923622	0.144697	
Parameters DO FC	-0.411572 0.203639	-0.689406 <mark>0.970522</mark>	Parameters DO FC	<mark>-0.923622</mark> 0.599720	0.144697 <mark>-0.750414</mark>	
Parameters DO FC pH	-0.411572 0.203639 <mark>0.952242</mark>	-0.689406 <mark>0.970522</mark> -0.080639	Parameters DO FC pH	-0.923622 0.599720 -0.551234	0.144697 -0.750414 -0.535932	
Parameters DO FC pH BOD	-0.411572 0.203639 0.952242 0.383669	-0.689406 0.970522 -0.080639 0.847985	Parameters DO FC pH BOD	-0.923622 0.599720 -0.551234 0.699093	0.144697 -0.750414 -0.535932 -0.554966	
Parameters DO FC pH BOD T	-0.411572 0.203639 0.952242 0.383669 0.571153	-0.689406 0.970522 -0.080639 0.847985 0.757020	Parameters DO FC pH BOD T	-0.923622 0.599720 -0.551234 0.699093 0.790312	0.144697 -0.750414 -0.535932 -0.554966 -0.476537	
Parameters DO FC pH BOD T T-PO <sub>4</sub>	-0.411572 0.203639 0.952242 0.383669 0.571153 0.645750	-0.689406 0.970522 -0.080639 0.847985 0.757020 0.502638	Parameters DO FC pH BOD T T-PO <sub>4</sub>	-0.923622 0.599720 -0.551234 0.699093 0.790312 0.273547	0.144697 -0.750414 -0.535932 -0.554966 -0.476537 0.828664	
Parameters DO FC pH BOD T T-PO <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	-0.411572 0.203639 0.952242 0.383669 0.571153 0.645750 0.779876	-0.689406 0.970522 -0.080639 0.847985 0.757020 0.502638 0.518308	Parameters DO FC pH BOD T T-PO <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	-0.923622 0.599720 -0.551234 0.699093 0.790312 0.273547 0.908698	0.144697 -0.750414 -0.535932 -0.554966 -0.476537 0.828664 -0.184864	
Parameters DO FC pH BOD T T-PO <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> Turbidity	-0.411572 0.203639 0.952242 0.383669 0.571153 0.645750 0.779876 0.924559	-0.689406 0.970522 -0.080639 0.847985 0.757020 0.502638 0.518308 0.201680	Parameters DO FC pH BOD T T-PO <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> Turbidity	-0.923622 0.599720 -0.551234 0.699093 0.790312 0.273547 0.908698 0.875526	0.144697 -0.750414 -0.535932 -0.554966 -0.476537 0.828664 -0.184864 0.178500	
Autumn Parameters DO FC pH BOD T T-PO <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> Turbidity TS Q	-0.411572 0.203639 0.952242 0.383669 0.571153 0.645750 0.779876	-0.689406 0.970522 -0.080639 0.847985 0.757020 0.502638 0.518308	Parameters DO FC pH BOD T T-PO <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	-0.923622 0.599720 -0.551234 0.699093 0.790312 0.273547 0.908698	0.144697 -0.750414 -0.535932 -0.554966 -0.476537 0.828664 -0.184864	

Table 4: The most significant parameters that contribute to water quality variations in each season

Seasons	Parameters with strong positive factor loadings	Parameters with strong negative factor loadings
Spring	T, BOD, T-PO <sub>4</sub> , NO <sub>3</sub> , TS	pH, Q
Summer	T, T-PO <sub>4</sub> , NO <sub>3</sub> , Turbidity, TS, Q	FC, BOD
Autumn	FC, pH, BOD, T, NO <sub>3</sub> , Turbidity, TS	Q
Winter	T, T-PO4, NO3, Turbidity, TS, Q	DO, FC

### CONCLUSION

In this study, various multivariate exploratory techniques were utilized to evaluate variations in surface water quality of Haraz River Basin. Cluster analysis grouped eight sampling stations into three clusters of similar water quality features and thereupon can be allocated whole river basin into three zones i.e. low, moderate and high pollution. According to acquired information, it is possible to plan for coming events, optimum sampling strategy, that can be lessened the number of sampling stations and affiliated recurring costs. The principle component analysis and factor analysis assisted to extract and recognize the factors or origins responsible for water quality variations in four seasons of year. The natural parameters (temperature and discharge), the inorganic parameter (total solid) and the organic nutrients (nitrate) were the most significant parameters contributing to water quality variations for all seasons. Result of PCA/FA evinced that, a parameter that can be significant in contribution to water quality variations in river for one season, may less or not be significant for another one. Accordingly it is required that, when selecting water quality parameters for implementing environmental monitoring plans in river basins, the seasonal variations of parameters in assessment of water quality must be consider.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thanks Department of the Environment of Iran for financial (contract No. T2/8006-470;2007) and scientific support through out this study.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdul-Wahab, S. A.; Bakheit, C. S.; Al-Alawi, S. M., (2005). Principal component and multiple regression analysis in modelling of ground-level ozone and factors affecting its concentrations. Environ. Model. Softw., 20 (10), 1263-1271 (9 pages).
- APHA, (1998). Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. American Public Health Association, 18<sup>th</sup>. Ed., Washington DC., USA.
- Bengraine, K.; Marhaba, T. F., (2003). Using principal component analysis to monitor spatial and temporal changes in water quality. J. Hazard. Mater., 100 (1-3), 179-195 (17 pages).
- Bricker, O. P.; Jones, B. F., (1995). Main factors affecting the composition of natural waters. In: Salbu, B., Steinnes, E. (Eds.), Trace Elements in Natural Waters. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1-5.
- Clesceri, L. S.; Greenberg, A. E.; Eaton, A. D., (1998). Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 20<sup>th.</sup> Ed., American Public Heath Association (APHA), American Water Works Association (AWWA) and Water Environment Federation (WEF), Washington, DC.

- Dixon, W.; Chiswell, B., (1996). Review of aquatic monitoring program design. Water Res., 30 (9), 1935-1948 (14 pages).
- Easton, Z. M.; Gerard-marchant, P.; Walter, M. T.; Petrovic, A. M.; Steenhuis, T. S., (2007). Identifying dissolved phosphorus source areas and predicting transport from an urban watershed using distributed hydrologic modeling. Water Resour. Res., 43 (11), 1-16 (16 pages).
- Gross, B.; Montgomery-Brown, J.; Naumann A.; Reinhard M., (2004). Occurrence and fate of pharmaceuticals and alkyphenol ethoxylate metabolites in an effluent-dominated river and wetland. Environ. Toxicol. Chem., 23 (9), 2074-2083 (10 pages).
- Helena, B.; Pardo, R.; Vega, M.; Barrado, E.; Ferna ndez, J. M.; Ferna ndez, L., (2000). Temporal evolution of groundwater composition in an alluvial aquifer (Pisuerga River, Spain) by principal component analysis. Water Res., 34 (3), 807-816 (10 pages).
- Jarvie, H. P.; Whitton, B. A.; Neal, C., (1998). Nitrogen and phosphorus in east coast British rivers: Speciation, sources and biological significance. Sci. Total Environ., 210-211, 79-109 (**31 pages**).
- Karbassi, A. R.; Nouri, J.; Ayaz, G. O., (2007). Flocculation of Cu, Zn, Pb, Ni and Mn during mixing of Talar River water with Caspian Seawater. Int. J. Environ. Res., 1 (1), 66-73 (8 pages).
- Karbassi, A. R.; Nouri, J.; Mehrdadi, N.; Ayaz, G. O., (2008). Flocculation of heavy metals during mixing of freshwater with Caspian Sea water. Environ. Geol., 53 (8), 1811-1816 (6 pages).
- Kazi, T. G.; Arain, M. B.; Jamali, M. K.; Jalbani, N.; Afridi, H. I.; Sarfraz, R. A.; Baig, J. A.; Shah, A. Q., (2009). Assessment of water quality of polluted lake using multivariate statistical techniques: A case study. Ecotox. Environ. Safe., 72 (20), 301-309 (9 pages).
- Keramat Amirkolaie, A., (2008). Environmental impact of nutrient discharged by Aquaculture waste water on the Haraz River. J. Fish. Aqua. Sci., 3 (5), 275-279 (5 pages).
- Khadka, R. B.; Khanal, A. B., (2008). Environmental Management Plan (EMP) for Melamchi water supply project, Nepal. Environ. Monitor. Assess., 146 (1-3), 225-234 (10 pages).
- Kim, J. H.; Kim, R. H.; Lee, J.; Cheong, T. J.; Yum, B. W.; Chang, H. W., (2005). Multivariate statistical analysis to identify the major factors governing groundwater quality in the coastal area of Kimje, South Korea. Hydrol. Proc., 19 (6), 1261-1276 (16 pages).
- Kinzelman, J.; Ng, C.; Jackson, E.; Gradus, S.; Bagley, R., (2003). Enterococci as indicators of lake Michigan recreational water quality: Comparison of two methodologies and their impacts on public health regulatory events. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 69 (1), 92-96 (5 pages).
- Lee, J. Y.; Cheon, J. Y.; Lee, K. K.; Lee, S. Y.; Lee, M. H., (2001). Statistical evaluation of geochemical parameter distribution in a ground water system contaminated with petroleum hydrocarbons. J. Environ. Qual., 30, 1548-1563 (16 pages).
- Liao, S. W.; Gau, H. S.; Lai, W. L.; Chen, J. J.; Lee, C. G. (2007). Identification of pollution of Tapeng Lagoon from neighbouring rivers using multivariate statistical method. J. Environ. Manag., 88 (2), 286-292 (7 pages).
- Liu, C. W.; Lin, K. H.; Kuo, Y. M., (2003). Application of factor analysis in the assessment of groundwater quality in a

Blackfoot disease area in Taiwan. Sci. Total Environ., 313 (1-3), 77-89 (13 pages).

- Love, D.; Hallbauer, D.; Amos, A.; Hranova, R., (2004). Factor analysis as a tool in groundwater quality management: Two southern African case studies. Phys. Chemi. Earth, 29 (15-18), 1135-1143 (9 pages).
- Mahvi, A. H.; Nouri, J.; Babaei, A. A.; Nabizadeh, R., (2005). Agricultural activities impact on groundwater nitrate pollution. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Tech., 2 (1), 41-47 (7 pages).
- Mazlum, N.; Ozer, A.; Mazlum, S., (1999). Interpretation of water quality data by principal components analysis. Tr. J. Eng. Environ. Sci., 23, 19-26 (8 pages).
- Mtethiwa, A. H.; Munyenyembe, A.; Jere, W., Nyali, E., (2008). Efficiency of oxidation ponds in wastewater treatment. Int. J. Environ. Res., 2 (2), 149-152 (**4 pages**).
- Najafpour, S.; Alkarkhi, A. F. M.; Kadir, M. O. A.; Najafpour, G. D., (2008). Evaluation of spatial and temporal variation in river water quality. Int. J. Environ. Res., 2 (4), 349-358 (10 pages).
- Niemi, G. J.; Devore, P.; Detenbeck, N.; Taylor, D.; Lima, A., (1990). Overview of case studies on recovery of aquatic systems from disturbance. Environ. Manag., 14 (5), 571-587 (17 pages).
- Nouri, J.; Karbassi, A. R.; Mirkia, S., (2008). Environmental management of coastal regions in the Caspian Sea. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Tech., 5 (1), 43-52 (**10 pages**).
- Otto, M., (1998). Multivariate methods. In: Kellner, R., Mermet, J. M., Otto, M., Widmer, H. M. (Eds.), Analytical Chemistry. WileyeVCH, Weinheim, Germany, 916.
- Ouyang, Y., (2005). Application of principal component and factor analysis to evaluate surface water quality monitoring network. Water Res., 39 (12), 2621-2635 (15 pages).
- Ouyang, Y.; Nkedi-Kizza, P.; Wu, Q. T.; Shinde, D.; Huang, C. H., (2006). Assessment of seasonal variations in surface water quality. Water Res., 40 (20), 3800-3810 (11 pages).
- Paul, M. J.; Meyer, J. L., (2001). Streams in the urban landscape. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst., 32 (1), 333-365 (**33 pages**).
- Perkins, R. G.; Underwood, G. J. C., (2000). Gradients of chlorophyll a and water chemistry along an eutrophic reservoir with determination of the limiting nutrient by in situ nutrient addition. Water Res., 34 (3), 713-724 (12 pages).
- Reghunath, R.; Murthy, T. R. S.; Raghavan, B. R., (2002). The utility of multivariate statistical techniques in

hydrogeochemical studies: An example from Karnataka, India. Water Res., 36 (10), 2437-2442 (6 pages).

- Shrestha, S.; Kazama. F., (2007). Assessment of surface water quality using multivariate statistical techniques: A case study of the Fuji river basin, Japan. Environ. Model. Software, 22 (4), 464-475 (12 pages).
- Sickman, J. O.; Zanoli, M. J.; Mann, H. L., (2007). Effects of urbanization on organic carbon loads in the Sacramento river, California. Water Resour. Res., 43, W11422, 1-15 (15 pages).
- Simeonov, V.; Stratis, J. A.; Samara, C.; Zachariadis, G.; Voutsa, D.; Anthemidis, A.; Sofoniou, M.; Kouimtzis, T., (2003). Assessment of the surface water quality in Northern Greece. Water Res., 37 (17), 4119-4124 (5 pages).
- Singh, K. P.; Malik, A.; Mohan, D.; Sinha, S., (2004). Multivariate statistical techniques for the evaluation of spatial and temporal variations in water quality of Gomti River (India): A case study. Water Res., 38 (18), 3980-3992 (13 pages).
- Singh, K. P.; Malik, A.; Sinha, S., (2005). Water quality assessment and apportionment of pollution sources of Gomti River (India) using multivariate statistical techniques: A case study. Analytica. Chimica. Acta., 538 (1-2), 355-374 (20 pages).
- Vega, M.; Pardo, R.; Barrado, E.; Deban, L., (1998). Assessment of seasonal and polluting effects on the quality of river water by exploratory data analysis. Water Res., 32 (12), 3581-3592 (12 pages).
- Voutsa, D.; Manoli, E.; Samara, C.; Sofoniou, M.; Stratis, I., (2001). A study of surface water quality in Macedonia, Greece: Speciation of nitrogen and phosphorus. Water Air Soil Pollut., 129 (1-4), 13-32 (20 pages).
- Williams, R. J.; Johnson, A. C.; Smith, J. J. L.; Kanda, R., (2003). Steroid estrogen profiles along river stretches arising from sewage treatment works discharges. Environ. Sci. Tech., 37 (9), 1744-1750 (7 pages).
- Wunderlin, D. A.; Diaz, M. P.; Ame, M. V.; Pesce, S. F.; Hued, A. C.; Bistoni, M. A., (2001). Pattern recognition techniques for the evaluation of patial and temporal variations in water quality. A case study: Suquia river basin (Cordoba, Argentina). Water Res., 35 (12), 2881-2894 (14 pages).
- Zhang, Y.; Guo, F.; Meng, W.; Wang, X. Q., (2009). Water quality assessment and source identification of Daliao River Basin using multivariate statistical methods. Environ. Monit. Assess., 152 (1-4), 105-121 (**17 pages**).

#### AUTHOR (S) BIOSKETCHES

Pejman, A. H., M.Sc., Graduate Faculty of Environment, University of Tehran, P. O. Box 14155-6135, Tehran, Iran. Email: *ahp9325@gmail.com* 

Nabi Bidhendi, G. R., Ph.D, Associate professor, Graduate Faculty of Environment, University of Tehran, P. O. Box 14155-6135, Tehran, Iran. Email: *ghhendi@ut.ac.ir* 

Karbassi, A. R., Ph.D, Assistance professor, Graduate Faculty of Environment, University of Tehran, P. O. Box 14155-6135, Tehran, Iran. Email: *arkarbassi738@yahoo.com* 

Mehrdadi, N., Ph.D, Associate professor, Graduate Faculty of Environment, University of Tehran, P. O. Box 14155-6135, Tehran, Iran. E-mail: *mehrdadil@yahoo.com* 

Esmaeili Bidhendi, M., M.Sc., Graduate Faculty of Environment, University of Tehran, P. O. Box 14155-6135, Tehran, Iran. Email: *m.esmaieli@gmail.com* 

#### This article should be referenced as follows:

Pejman, A. H.; Nabi Bidhendi, G. R.; Karbassi, A. R.; Mehrdadi, N.; Esmaeili Bidhendi, M., (2009). Evaluation of spatial and seasonal variations in surface water quality using multivariate statistical techniques. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Tech., 6 (3), 467-476.