

## Bacteriology and antibiogram of pathogens from wound infections at Dessie Laboratory, North East Ethiopia

MULUGETA K. AZENE<sup>1\*</sup> and BAYEH A. BEYENE<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, Science College, Bahir Dar University, P.O. Box 79, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Microbiology, Parasitology and Immunology, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Bahir Dar University, P.O. Box 79, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia

---

**Abstract:** Wound infections result in sepsis, limb loss, long hospital stays, higher costs, and are responsible for significant human mortality and morbidity worldwide. The aim of this study was to investigate the profile of pathogens cultured from wound infection and determine the antimicrobial susceptibility patterns. A retrospective analysis of bacterial pathogens and their antimicrobial susceptibility was done on wound swab samples that have been cultured at Dessie Regional Laboratory from 2003 to 2010. Antimicrobial susceptibility tests were done using disc diffusion technique as per the standard of Kirby-Bauer method. The mean age of male and female patients was 31.2 and 29.8 years, respectively with male to female ratio of 1:1.6. Out of 599 wound swab samples analyzed, 422 (70.5%) were culture positive. A total of 500 bacteria from 422 positive cases were identified. Seventy eight (18.5%) of the culture had double infections. *Staphylococcus aureus* was the most frequently isolated pathogen which accounted for 208 (41.6%) of isolates followed by *Pseudomonas* spp. 92 (18.4%), *E. coli* 82 (16.4%), *Proteus* spp. 55 (11.0%), *Enterobacter* spp. 21 (4.2%), and *Citrobacter* spp. 21 (4.2%), *Klebsiella* spp. 12 (2.4%) and Coagulate negative staphylococcus 9 (1.8%). Amoxicillin had the highest resistance rate 78.9%, followed by tetracycline 76.1% and erythromycin (63.9%). The sensitivity rates of norfloxacin, ciprofloxacin and gentamicin were 95.1%, 91.8% and 85%, respectively. The overall multiple antimicrobial resistances rate was 65.2% and only 13% of the isolates were sensitive to all antimicrobial agents tested. The most frequently isolated bacteria were sensitive to ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, cloxacillin and norfloxacin. These antimicrobials are considered as appropriate antimicrobials for empirical treatment of wound infections. Periodic surveillance of aetiology and drug susceptibility both in the community and hospital settings is recommended.

---

**Key words:** wound infection, antimicrobial resistance, Ethiopia

### Introduction

Wound infections are responsible for significant human mortality and morbidity worldwide (Green & Wenzel, 1977). Wound infection results in sepsis, limb loss, long hospital stays and higher costs (Bowler *et al.*, 2001; Branom, 2002; Cutting & White, 2004). Wound infections are caused by both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria, especially by *Staphylococcus aureus*,

---

\* Correspondence: Mulugeta Kibret; E-mail: [mulugetanig@gmail.com](mailto:mulugetanig@gmail.com)

*Escherichia coli*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Bowler & Davies, 1999; Larson, 2002). Studies conducted in Ethiopia have shown that wound infections are caused by *Staphylococcus aureus*, *E. coli*, *Proteus* spp., *Klebsiella* spp., *Pseudomonas* spp. and Coagulase negative staphylococci (Biadeglegne *et al.*, 2009; Tesfahunegn *et al.*, 2009; Gedebou *et al.*, 1987). Similar results have been obtained in Ghana (Newman *et al.*, 2006), Nigeria (Okesola & Oni, 2000; Shittu *et al.*, 2002) and Uganda (Anguzu & Olila, 2007).

The widespread uses of antibiotics, together with the length of time over which they have been available have led to major problems of resistant organisms, contributing to morbidity and mortality. Antimicrobial resistance can increase complications and costs associated with procedures and treatment. Antimicrobial resistance among pathogens of wound infections is on the increase (Taiwo *et al.*, 2002; Anguzu & Olila, 2007; Okesola & Kehinde, 2008). The control of wound infections has become more challenging due to widespread bacterial resistance to antibiotics and to a greater incidence of infections caused by methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) and polymicrobial flora (Akinjogunla *et al.*, 2009).

The prevalence of different bacteria in infected wounds varies and the knowledge of prevalence in an institution cannot be extrapolated to others. Apart from inter-institutional variation, trans-institutional variation also exists (Sule *et al.*, 2002). In Ethiopia few studies have been done on the prevalence and antimicrobial resistance patterns of wound infections (Gedebou *et al.*, 1987; Mulu *et al.*, 2006; Fantahun *et al.*, 2009; Tesfahunegn *et al.*, 2009; Yismaw *et al.*, 2010). These studies indicated that many of the bacterial isolates showed high levels of resistance to amoxicillin, tetracycline, chloramphenicol, erythromycin while low levels of resistance to gentamicin, cloxacillin, norfloxacin and ciprofloxacin were documented (Taiwo *et al.*, 2002; Yismaw *et al.*, 2010). Studies conducted so far focused on inpatients however data on antimicrobial susceptibility from outpatients is scarce. Knowledge of the causative agents of wound infection in a specific geographic region will therefore be useful in the selection of antimicrobials for empiric therapy. The objective of the present study was to identify the etiologies of infections over the course of eight years and determine the antimicrobial susceptibilities of the pathogens.

## **Material and methods**

### ***Study design***

A retrospective review of culture results of wound swabs performed from 2003 to 2010 at Dessie Regional Health Research Laboratory was done. Demographic variables, the organism isolated and the antimicrobial resistance patterns were collected from the registration records using a standard data collection form.

### ***Culture and identification***

The laboratory standard operation procedure showed that wound swabs were collected using sterile cotton swabs. Wound specimens were inoculated on blood agar (for gram-positive bacteria), mannitol salt agar (selective media for *S. aureus*) and MacConkey agar (for gram-

negative bacteria) (Oxoid, England). The plates were incubated in atmosphere at 37°C for 24 - 48 h. All positive cultures were identified by their characteristic appearance on their respective media, gram staining and confirmed by the pattern of biochemical reactions using the standard method (Cheesbrough, 2004). Members of the family enterobacteriaceae and other gram-negative rods were identified by indole production, H<sub>2</sub>S production, citrate utilization, motility test, urease test, carbohydrate utilization tests and other tests. For gram-positive bacteria coagulase, DNase, catalase, bacitracin and other tests were used (Cheesbrough, 2004).

### ***Antimicrobial susceptibility tests***

According to the standard operational procedures, antimicrobial susceptibility tests were done on Mueller-Hinton agar (Oxoid, Hampshire, England) using Kirby Bauer disk diffusion method (Bauer *et al.*, 1966). Morphologically identical 4-6 bacterial colonies from overnight culture were suspended in 5ml nutrient broth and incubated for 4 hours at 37°C. Turbidity of the broth culture was equilibrated to match 0.5 McFarland standards. The surface of Mueller Hinton agar plate was evenly inoculated with the culture using a sterile cotton swab. The antibiotic discs were applied the surface of the inoculated agar. After 18-24 hours of incubation, the diameter of growth inhibition around the discs were measured and interpreted as sensitive, intermediate or resistant according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, 2006) (formerly known as National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards / NCCLS). The antimicrobial agents tested were: tetracycline (30 µg), nitrofurantoin (300 µg), erythromycin (15 µg), chloramphenicol (30 µg), gentamicin (10 µg), ciprofloxacin (5 µg), cephalotin (30 µg), cotrimoxazole (25 µg), ceftriaxone (30µg), norflaxocin (10 µg), cloxacillin (5 µg) and amoxicillin (10µg) (Oxoid, England). Resistance data were interpreted according to (CLSI, 2006). Reference strains of *E. coli* ATCC 25922 and *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 were used for quality control for antimicrobial susceptibility tests.

### ***Statistical analysis***

Chi-square test was employed to compare the proportion of bacterial isolates with patient sex and age; and comparison of antimicrobial resistances. P-value of < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significant difference.

### ***Ethical consideration***

Ethical approval was secured from Research Ethics Committee of Bahir Dar University. Permission from Dessie Regional Health Research Laboratory was also obtained.

## **Results**

Between 2003 and 2010, a total of 599 wound swab samples analyzed. Four hundred twenty two (70.5%) samples were culture positive while 177 (29.5%) of wound swab cultures showed no growth (Table 1). A total of 500 bacteria were isolated from 422 cases (Table 2). Three hundred forty four (81.5%) sample had single pathogens while 78 (18.5%) had double infections. The

number of isolates was higher than the number of cases because two pathogens were isolated from 78 (18.5%) cases (Table 3).

**Table 1: Age and sex distribution of patients with wound infections at Dessie regional laboratory, Ethiopia, from 2003 to 2010.**

Demographic characteristics	No. (%) of culture positive	P-value
Age groups (years)		
< 4 (n=16)	11 (68.8)	0.01
5-14 (n=71)	49 (69.0)	
15-25 (n=205)	151 (73.7)	
26-44 (n=176)	109 (61.9)	
> 44 (n=131)	102 (77.9)	
Gender		
Male (n=368)	271 (64.2)	0.09
Female (n=231)	151 (35.8)	
Total	422 (100)	

The age of the patients ranged from 1 year to 90 years, with mean age of 30.7 (SD=17.5) years. The mean age of male and female patients was [(31.2 (SD=18.1) and 29.8 (SD=16.3)] years, respectively. In this study males 368(61.4%) constituted and females 231 (38.6%) with male to female ratio of 1:1.6. Majority of the pathogens were isolated from males with isolation rate of (64.2%) (CI=0.45) and 35.8% (CI=0.36), respectively (Table 1).

**Table 2: Type and frequency of pathogens isolated from wound infections at Dessie regional laboratory, Ethiopia, from 2003 to 2010.**

Bacteria Isolated	Number (n=500)	Percent
<i>S. aureus</i>	208	41.6
<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	92	18.4
<i>E. coli</i>	82	16.4
<i>Proteus</i> spp.	55	11.0
<i>Enterobacter</i> spp.	21	4.2
<i>Citrobacter</i> spp.	21	4.2
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	12	2.4
CNS	9	1.8
Total	500	100.0

Key: CNS = Coagulase negative staphylococci

Table 2 illustrates the frequency of the pathogens isolated. Gram negative and gram positive bacteria constitute 56.6% and 43.4% of the bacterial isolates. *S. aureus* was the most frequently isolated pathogen which accounts for (41.6%), followed by *Pseudomonas* spp., (18.4%), *E. coli* (16.4%), *Proteus* spp. (11.0%), *Enterobacter* spp. and *Citrobacter* spp. (4.2%), *Klebsiella* spp. 2.4% and CNS (1.8%).

*S. aureus* and *Pseudomonas spp.* were the most frequently isolated mixed infection (38.5%). Infections with *S. aureus* and *Proteus spp.*, *S. aureus* and *E. coli*, *S. aureus* and *Citrobacter spp.* with rates of 20.5%, 17.9% 9% and 6.4%, respectively were among the double infections recorded in this study (Table 3).

**Table 3: Type and number of pathogens in mixed wound infection at Dessie regional laboratory, Ethiopia, from 2003 to 2010**

Pathogens	Frequency	Percentage
<i>S. aureus</i> and <i>Pseudomonas spp.</i>	30	38.5
<i>S. aureus</i> and <i>Proteus spp.</i>	16	20.5
<i>S. aureus</i> and <i>E. coli</i>	14	17.9
<i>S. aureus</i> and <i>Enterobacter spp.</i>	7	9.0
<i>S. aureus</i> and <i>Citrobacter spp.</i>	5	6.4
<i>Enterobacter spp.</i> and <i>Pseudomonas spp.</i>	3	3.8
<i>S. aureus</i> and <i>Klebsiella spp.</i>	1	1.3
<i>Klebsiella spp.</i> and CNS	1	1.3
<i>E.coli</i> and <i>Citrobacter spp.</i>	1	1.3
Total	78	100

Key: CNS = Coagulase negative staphylococci

Analysis of species specific resistance rates indicated that most of *S. aureus* was mostly resistant to amoxicillin (79.1%) and tetracycline (71.0%). On the other hand, *S. aureus* was susceptible to norflaxacin, ciprofloxacin, cloxacillin and gentamicin with resistance of only 8.0%, 8.8%, 10.3% and 12.4%, respectively. *Pseudomonas spp.* showed the highest resistance to erythromycin (90.5%), tetracycline (90.3.0%) and amoxicillin (82.4%) while the resistance rates to ciprofloxacin and gentamicin were 4.6% and 7.8%. *E. coli* isolates had resistant rates of 85.0% to amoxicillin and 71.4 % to tetracycline whereas their resistance rates to ciprofloxacin and gentamicin were 7.7% and 14.4%, respectively. *Proteus spp.* had resistance rate of 92.9% to erythromycin, 87.5 % to tetracycline and 86.4 % to amoxicillin. However, *Proteus* isolates were sensitive to gentamicin with resistance rate of only 9.6% and 16.7 % resistance to ciprofloxacin (Table 4).

In this study, the overall multiple antimicrobial resistances rate was 65.2% and only 13% of the isolates were sensitive to eleven antimicrobial agents tested. The resistances against two or more antimicrobial agents were 47.6%, 82.6%, 75.6%, and 87.3% for *S. aureus*, *Pseudomonas spp.*, *E. coli* and *Proteus spp.*, respectively (Table 5).

**Table 4: Susceptibility patterns of bacterial isolates from wound infections at Dessie regional laboratory, Ethiopia, 2003-2010**

Antimicrobial agents	<i>S. aureus</i> (n=208)		<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp. (n=92)		<i>E.coli</i> (n=82)		<i>Proteus</i> spp. (n=53)		<i>Citrobacter</i> spp. (n=21)		<i>Enterobacter</i> spp. (n=21)		<i>Klebsiella</i> spp. (n=12)		CNS (n=9)	
	#T <sup>a</sup>	% R <sup>b</sup>	#T <sup>a</sup>	% R <sup>b</sup>	#T <sup>a</sup>	% R <sup>b</sup>	#T <sup>a</sup>	% R <sup>b</sup>	#T <sup>a</sup>	% R <sup>b</sup>	#T <sup>a</sup>	% R <sup>b</sup>	#T <sup>a</sup>	% R <sup>b</sup>	#T <sup>a</sup>	% R <sup>b</sup>
Tetracycline	138	71	72	90.3	70	71.4	48	87.5	18	66.7	17	52.9	9	66.3	4	25.0
Gentamicin	194	12.4	90	7.8	78	14.4	52	9.6	18	16.7	21	4.8	11	-	7	14.3
Chloramphenicol	141	39.0	77	75.3	72	36.1	42	71.4	17	47.1	19	47.4	6	66.7	3	0.00
Cotrimoxazole	134	67.1	71	77.5	70	67.1	42	81.0	18	50.0	19	47.4	8	62.5	7	42.9
Cephalotine	73	20.6	34	64.7	34	64.7	17	64.7	6	33.3	10	80.0	-	-	-	0.00
Ciprofloxacin	68	8.8	65	4.6	26	7.7	24	16.7	15	0.00	16	6.3	-	-	2	50.0
Amoxicillin	67	79.1	17	82.4	20	85.0	22	86.4	6	66.7	4	100	7	100	4	0.00
Norfloxacin	25	8.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	100	3	0.00
Erythromycin	139	34.5	63	90.5	54	51.9	28	92.9	16	93.8	17	94.1	-	-	5	80.0
Ceftriaxone	73	17.8	33	51.5	12	66.7	25	64.0	12	41.7	4	25	-	-	2	50.0
Doxycycline	39	10.3	11	54.5	6	33.3	5	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cloxacillin	39	10.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

**Key:** CNS = Coagulase negative staphylococcus; a = number of isolates tested against each antimicrobial agent, b = Percent of isolates resistant to the antimicrobial agent

**Table 5: Multiple antimicrobial resistance patterns of bacterial isolates wound infections at Dessie regional laboratory, 2003-2010**

Bacteria	Antibiogram							
	R0	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7
<i>S. aureus</i> (n=208)	40 (19.2)	69 (33.2)	40 (19.2)	33 (15.59)	18 (8.7)	4 (1.9)	4 (1.9)	
<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp. (n=92)	7 (7.6)	9 (9.8)	10 (10.9)	18 (19.6)	27 (29.3)	14 (15.2)	6 (6.5)	1 (1.1)
<i>E. coli</i> (n=82)	9 (11.0)	11 (13.4)	17 (20.7)	23 (28.0)	14 (17.1)	6 (7.3)	1 (1.2)	1 (1.2)
<i>Proteus</i> spp. (n=55)	-	7 (12.7)	11 (20.0)	9 (16.4)	11 (20.0)	14 (25.5)	3 (5.5)	-
<i>Citrobacter</i> spp. (n=21)	3 (14.3)	2 (9.5)	4 (19.0)	5 (23.8)	4 (19.0)	-	3 (14.3)	-
<i>Enterobacter</i> sp. (n=21)	2 (9.5)	7 (33.3)	1 (4.8)	5 (23.8)	4 (19.0)	1 (4.8)	1 (4.8)	-
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp. (n=12)	-	1 (8.3)	4 (33.3)	4 (33.3)	3 (25.0)	-	-	-
CNS (n=9)	4 (44.4)	1 (11.1)	1 (11.1)	2 (22.2)	1 (11.1)	-	-	-
Total Isolates = 500	65 (13)	107 (21.4)	88 (17.6)	99 (19.8)	80 (16.0)	39 (7.8)	18 (3.6)	2 (0.4)

CNS = Coagulase negative staphylococci; R0= Sensitive to all antimicrobials tested; R1, R2, R3, R4, R5, R6, R7 -Resistant to one, two, three, four, five, six, seven antimicrobials, respectively

## Discussion

Wound infections are responsible for significant mortality and morbidity, and incur substantial costs in hospitals. Antimicrobial resistance in wound pathogens is on the rise which can increase complications and costs associated with procedures and treatment (Bowler *et al.*, 2001; Mulu *et al.*, 2006; Wariso & Nwachukwu, 2003). Identification of pathogens and determination of antibiograms from clinical specimens is beneficial to the patient and assists in selection of chemotherapy.

The isolation rate of pathogens in this study is higher compared to previous studies (Anguzu & Olila, 2007; Mulu *et al.*, 2006) however relatively lower than that reported from Ethiopia (Gizachew *et al.*, 2010) and Nigeria (Wariso & Nwachukwu, 2003). Statistically significant association was found between age of the patients and isolation rate of bacteria ( $p=0.01$ ). Wariso & Nwachukwu (2003) reported a similar result. In this study, as in several previous reports (Mulu *et al.*, 2006; Gizachew *et al.*, 2010; Wariso & Nwachukwu, 2003; Surucuoglu *et al.*, 2005) the most frequently isolated bacterium was *S. aureus*. It has been noted that *S. aureus* is the single causative bacterium in 25-65% of cutaneous abscess (Mahdi *et al.*, 2000). *Pseudomonas* spp. was the second most common organism isolated followed by *E. coli*, *Proteus* spp., *Enterobacter* spp. and *Citrobacter* spp., *Klebsiella* spp. and CNS. The proportion of bacterial isolates was in agreement with several previous studies (Taiwo *et al.*, 2002; Giacometti *et al.*, 2000). Bacterial etiology can show geographic variations and may even vary over time within a population (Leegaard *et al.*, 2000; Grude *et al.*, 2001). Difference in identification methods are also known to influence the relative prevalence of bacteria which makes comparison of results difficult (Barret *et al.*, 1999).

High resistance rates were recorded to amoxicillin, tetracycline and erythromycin. Significantly high resistance rate was demonstrated for amoxicillin, erythromycin and erythromycin ( $p<0.001$ ). High level of resistance has been reported to tetracycline and erythromycin from studies conducted in Ethiopia and elsewhere (Mulu *et al.*, 2006; Biadgelegne *et al.*, 2009; Petkovšćek *et al.*, 2009). The sensitivity rates of norflaxacin, ciprofloxacin, cloxacillin and gentamicin were high. Gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, cloxacillin and norfloxacin were the most effective antimicrobials against most isolates. This finding is in line with the results documented from previous studies for ciprofloxacin (Anguzu & Olila, 2007; Wariso & Nwachukwu, 2003; Misra & Yogesh, 2000), cloxacillin and gentamicin (Endalafer *et al.*, 2011; Taiwo *et al.*, 2002) and norfloxacin (Petkovšćek *et al.*, 2009). The relatively low level of resistance to these drugs can be because these drugs had been in the market for a relatively short period of time as compared to drugs such as tetracycline, amoxicillin and erythromycin (Neweman *et al.*, 2006). Majority of the isolates (65.2%) showed resistance to two or more antimicrobials. Previous studies in Ethiopia have demonstrated comparable results (Mulu *et al.*, 2006; Yismaw *et al.*, 2010).

This retrospective study is based on the results microbiological tests and susceptibility testing carried out between 2003 and 2010. Due to the nature of the retrospective analysis we couldn't trace patient's history. This study did not consider etiology of wound infections other

than bacteria and anaerobic bacteria due to lack of facility. The number of antimicrobials were tested were also limited in some isolates.

In conclusion, the results of this study showed the prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of wound infection over a period of eight years. The most frequently isolated bacteria were sensitive to ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, cloxacillin and norfloxacin. These antimicrobials are considered appropriate for empirical treatment of wound infections in the study area. Periodic monitoring of etiology and antimicrobial susceptibility both in the community and hospital settings is recommended.

## Acknowledgments

We thank Mr. Fekadu Birru, head of Dessie Retinal Health Research Laboratory for supporting this research. We also thank all staff of microbiology department of Dessie Regional Health Research Laboratory for their proper documentation.

## References

- Akinjogunla, O. J., Adegoke, A. A., Mbotu, C., Chukwudebelu, I. C. & Udokang, I. (2009) Bacteriology of automobile accident wounds infection. *International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences* 1, 23-27.
- Anguzu, J. R. & Olila, D. (2007) Drug sensitivity patterns of bacterial isolates from septic post-operative wounds in a regional referral hospital in Uganda *African Health Sciences* 7, 148-154.
- Barret, S.P., Savage, M.A., Rebec, M.P., Guyot, A., Andrde, N. & Shrimpton, S.B. (1999) Antibiotic sensitivity of bacteria associated with community-acquired urinary tract infection in Britain. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 44, 369-365.
- Bauer, A.W., Kirby, W.M.M., Sherris, J.C. & Turck, M. (1966) Antibiotic susceptibility testing by standard single disk method. *American Journal of Clinical Pathology* 45, 433-496.
- Biadagegne, F., Abera, B., Alem, A. & Anagaw, B. (2009) Bacterial isolates from wound infection and their antimicrobial susceptibility pattern in Felege Hiwot Referral Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. *Ethiopian Journal of Health Sciences* 19, 173-177.
- Bowler, P. G. & Davies, B. J. (1999) The microbiology of acute and chronic wounds. *Wounds* 11, 72-78.
- Bowler, P.G., Duerden, B.I. & Armstrong, D.G. (2001) Wound microbiology and associated approaches to wound management. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* 14, 244-269.
- Branom, R.N. (2002) Is this wound infected? *Critical Care Nursing Quarterly* 25, 55-62.
- Cheesbrough, M. (2004) Medical laboratory manual for tropical countries. 2:146-180.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. (2007) Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Seventeenth Information Supplement. CLSI document M100-S17, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute Wayne Pennsylvania.

- Cutting, K.F. & White, R. J. (2004) Defined and refined: criteria for identifying wound infection revisited. *British Journal of Community Nursing* 9, S6-S15.
- Endalafer, N., Gebre-Selassie, S., & Kotiso B. (2011) Nosocomial bacterial infections in a tertiary hospital in Ethiopia. *Journal of Infection Prevention* 12, 38-43
- Giacometti, A., Cirioni, A. M., Schimizzi, M. S., Del Prete, F., Barchiesi, M. M., D'errico, E. P. & Scalise, G. (2000) Epidemiology and microbiology of surgical wound infections. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 38, 918-922.
- Gedebou, M., Kronvall, G., Habte-Gabr, E. & Ringertz, S. (1987) The bacteriology of nosocomial infections at Tikur Anbessa Teaching Hospital, Addis Ababa. *Acta Pathologica, Microbiologica et Immunologica Scandinavica (B)* 95, 331-336.
- Green, J.W. & Wenzel, R.P. (1977) Postoperative wound infection: a controlled study of the increased duration of hospital stay and direct cost of hospitalization. *Annals of Surgery* 185, 264-268.
- Grude, N., Tveten, Y. & Kristianse, B.-E. (2001) Urinary tract infections in Norway: bacterial etiology and susceptibility. A retrospective study of clinical isolates. *Clinical Microbiology and Infections* 7, 543-547.
- Larson, E. L., Gomez-Duarre, C., Lee, L. V., Kain, D. J. & Keswick, B. H. (2002) Microbial flora of the hands of homemakers. *American Journal Infection Control* 31, 72-79.
- Leegaard, T.M., Caugant, D.A., Froholm, L.O. & Hoiby, E.A. (2000) Apparent difference in antimicrobial susceptibility as a consequence of national guidelines. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection* 6, 290.
- Mahdi, S.I., Ahmed, A.A., Boelens, H, Ott, A., Abugroun, E.S., van-Belkum, A, Zijlstra, E., Verbrugh, H. & Fahal. A. (2000) An epidemiological study of the occurrence of *Staphylococcus aureus* in superficial abscesses of patients presenting for surgery in a teaching hospital in Khartoum, Sudan. *Immunology and Medical Microbiology* 29, 155-162.
- Misra, R.N. & Yogesh, C. (2000) Antimicrobial resistance patterns of isolates from wound and soft tissue infections. *Medical Journal of Armed Forces India* 56, 205-208.
- Mulu, A., Moges, F., Tessema, B. & Kassu, A. (2006) Pattern and multiple drug resistance of bacterial pathogens isolated from wound infection at University of Gondar Teaching Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. *Ethiopian Medical Journal* 44, 125-131.
- Newman, M., J., Enoch, F., Asamoah-Adu, A. & Sampane-Donkor, E. (2006) The Ghanaian-Dutch Collaboration for Health Research and Development Project Number 2001/GD/07 2006; Technical Report Series No. 5.
- Okesola, A.O. & Kehinde, A.O. (2008) Bacteriology of non-surgical wound infections in Ibadan, Nigeria. *African Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences* 37, 261-264.
- Okesola, A.O. & Oni, A. A. (2009) Antimicrobial resistance among common bacterial pathogens in Southern Nigeria. *American-Eurasia Journal of Agriculture and environmental Science* 5, 327-330.
- Petkovič, Z., Elersič, K., Gubina, M., Zgur-Bertok, D. & Erjavec, M. S. (2009) Virulence Potential of *Escherichia coli* isolates from skin and soft tissue infections. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 47, 1811-1817.

- Shittu, A.O., Kolawole, D.O. & Oyedepo, E.A.R. (2002) A study of wound infections in two health institutions in Ile-Ife, Nigeria. *African Journal of Biomedical Research* 5, 97-102.
- Sule, A.M., Thanni, L.O.A., Sule-Odu, O.A., et al. (2002) Bacterial pathogens associated with infected wounds in Ogun State University Teaching Hospital, Sagamu, Nigeria. *African Journal of Clinical and Experimental Microbiology*. 3, 13-16.
- Surucuoglu, S., Gazi, H., Kurutepe, S., Ozkutuk, N. & Ozbakkaloglu, B. (2005) Bacteriology of surgical wound infections in a tertiary care hospital in Turkey. *East African Medical Journal* 82, 331-336.
- Taiwo, S.S., Okesina, A.B. & Onile, B. A. (2002) *In Vitro* Antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of bacterial isolates from wound infections in University of Ilorine Teaching Hospital. *African Journal of Clinical and Experimental Microbiology* 3, 6-10.
- Tesfahunegn, Z., Asrat, D., Woldeamanuel, Y. & Estifanos, K. (2009) Bacteriology of surgical site and catheter related urinary tract infections among patients admitted in Mekele Hospital, Mekele, Tigray, Ethiopia. *Ethiopian Medical Journal* 47, 117-112.
- Wariso, B.A. & Nwachukwu, C.O. (2003) A survey of common pathogens in wound in patients at the University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital (U.P.T.H), Port Harcourt. *West African Journal of Medicine* 221, 50-54.
- Yismaw, G., Abay, S., Asrat, D., Yifru, S. & Kassu, A. (2010) Bacteriological profile and resistant patterns of clinical isolates from pediatric patients, Gondar University Teaching Hospital, Gondar Northwest Ethiopia. *Ethiopian Medical Journal* 48(4), 293-300.