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Attitude of Infertile Women to Child Adoption in Nigeria


1Departments of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, College of Medicine/University College Hospital, Ibadan.
2Department of Health Promotion and Education, College of Medicine, University of Ibadan, NIGERIA
3Department of Paediatrics, College of Medicine, University of Ibadan, NIGERIA
4Department of Epidemiology, Medical Statistics and Environmental Health, College of Medicine, University of Ibadan, NIGERIA

Summary: Infertility has serious consequences especially in Africa where a high premium is placed on child bearing. An interviewer-administered questionnaire was administered on 396 consenting women seeking infertility treatment at the gynaecological clinic of the University College Hospital, Ibadan in an attempt to assess the attitude of infertile women in Nigeria to child adoption and its acceptability as a management option for infertility. Most (64%) believed it culturally unacceptable and only 17% will try it as an option. Sustained advocacy, community mobilization and enactment of supportive laws were some of the suggestions made by respondents to improve its uptake.
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INTRODUCTION

Infertility is a common health problem with devastating psychosocial consequences on the affected couples especially in Africa (Araoye 2003). Worldwide, 5 to 10% of couples (measured at the end of 48 and 12 months of attempts at conception, respectively—Gnoth et al., 2005) suffer from infertility. In Africa, the prevalence is higher (30.3% was found by Adetoro and Ebomoyi in 1991), most being due to genital infection (corroborated by Araoye in 2003). The burden manifests greatly in many African communities because of the high premium placed on fertility and childbearing.

Management options and its outcomes are restricted especially in Sub-Saharan Africa due to poor diagnostic and treatment facilities, lack of expertise and poverty. Long-term follow-up of patients from a teaching hospital’s infertility program showed poor results from traditional treatment (Orhue & Aziken 2008). Yet, the cost of assisted reproductive techniques remains exorbitant and out of the reach of the average Nigerian. Adoption may serve as an alternative strategy for these affected couples, yet has not been fully explored and integrated into management schedules. A study showed that, while most respondents had heard of adoption, they were not clear about the processes or legalities (Ezugwu et al., 2002). And, while its acceptance may be poor, over two-thirds of respondents in a community-based study in South-Eastern Nigeria preferred child adoption to traditional management options for infertility such as adultery, polygamy, surrogacy, acceptance of childlessness and divorce and remarriage (Onah & Ogbuokiri, 2002).

Focus group discussions (FGD) with community members in another study indicated that they view adoption as a socially-responsive gesture, rather than as treatment for infertility (Oladokun et al., 2009). It was not a personally acceptable practice for most participants, especially as precedents in their community were practically nonexistent. It is noteworthy that these discussants were not infertile.
and therefore, their views are third-party, only useful for assessing communal opinion.

The aim of this study, therefore, is to assess the attitude of infertile women in Nigeria to child adoption and its acceptability as a management option for infertility.

METHODS
It was a descriptive cross-sectional study using interviewer-administered questionnaire conducted among 396 consenting women seeking infertility treatment at the gynaecological clinic of the University College Hospital, Ibadan, south-western Nigeria between May 2008 and Jan 2009.

RESULTS
Table 1 shows the respondents’ demographics. The age range was from 24 to 47 years. Most (80%) of them had a minimum of secondary education. Most were in monogamous family setting and were also nulliparous (73%).

Fifty-five percent of the respondents were aware that child adoption was possible. Many of them, however, did not believe that it is culturally acceptable (64%). Only 17% expressed a willingness to adopt. This willingness to adopt was found not to be significantly associated with their age, level of education, parity, religious affiliation, tribe, number of years they have been in the marriage, or their family setting. Reasons proffered for not being willing to adopt included the fact that they would not have genetically contributed to the child, that the child might have an untraceable genetic disease, that there might have been a mental illness in the biological parents’ families and that the child might decide to trace the biological parents in the future.

In response to an open-ended invitation for suggestions to improve uptake of child adoption as a treatment option for infertility, the participants said that advocacy, community mobilization and enactment of supportive laws would be helpful.

DISCUSSION
This study shows high level of awareness of child adoption by the infertile women, yet very few women will accept it as a treatment option for infertility. Unlike the study from the eastern part of the country (Ezugwu et al., 2002), the acceptance of adoption was not related to any demographic, social or obstetric factors, suggesting that adoption was generally unacceptable in the environs of our study area. Male partners were not recruited in this study, but one can assume that if adoption is less likely to be acceptable to them than to the wife (following the trend of Fatoye et al.’s study in 2008), then the situation will be further worsened due to the patriarchal nature of our society. Participants in a local community study (Oladokun et al., 2009) indicated that they did not have any local precedents to follow; this was corroborated by another study that showed that adoption was significantly more likely to be accepted if the respondent had seen a case of child adoption before (Onah & Ogbuokiri, 2002). It appears that it may remain a vicious cycle of non-acceptance until successful cases have been seen and couples are thus encouraged to embark on their own journeys to adoption.

Some respondents in this study expressed apprehension about unforeseen genetic illnesses that could arise due to the foreign parentage. A logical solution to that fear would be to explore the suitability and feasibility of surrogacy in our environment, and then to promote it. This option may be more acceptable to some, though there would still be the issue of cost, as assisted reproductive techniques would need to be employed. Another...
option may be kinship adoptions, which are being mediated successfully in a community, similar to the study area, which has an established adoption program (Aniebue & Aniebue, 2008). These adopted children’s biological parents are known, so the family and medical history can be evaluated. This option, of course, has its own shortcomings, including the fact that the transaction cannot be anonymous.

Cultural and communal acceptability appears to have strong influences on people’s attitude to child adoption. Making the procedures less tortuous may ease the process. Again, lessons can be learnt from others to institute post-adoption care as part of the whole adoption process. Aniebue & Aniebue (2008) noted this to be lacking in the program earlier discussed; it would be essential in view of all the socio-cultural factors militating against abortion in our environment, to ensure enduring success of the program. Measures like advocacy, community mobilization and enactment of supportive laws, as suggested by the respondents, will help in promoting acceptability of child adoption.
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