

Oral health experience during pregnancy and dental service utilization in Bariadi District, Tanzania

IBRAHIM E.A.T. MWANGOSI^{1*} and MARY M. KIANGO²

¹Muhimbili School of Assistant Dental Officers, Institute of Allied Health Sciences Muhimbili, P. O. Box 66521 Dar es Salaam, Tanzania`

²Bariadi District Council, Bariadi, Tanzania

Abstract: A substantial proportion of pregnant women reports experiencing oral health problems during pregnancy. However, most of them perceive that such problems are normal in pregnancy and hence do not seek dentist consultation. The objective of this study was to determine the prenatal oral health experience and the utilization of dental care services among pregnant women attending reproductive and child health clinics in Bariadi District in Tanzania. Data was collected using a questionnaire-guided interview. Key variables were socio-demographic characteristics of pregnant women, oral health experience, and dental visits during pregnancy with reasons and treatment received. A total of 305 pregnant women (mean age=25.7 years) were involved in the study. Most of the listed oral health problems during pregnancy were reported by women with 2+ children. The frequent oral health problems among the pregnant women were bleeding gums (22.6%, N=69), pain in gums (21.6%, N=66), swollen gums (21.3%, N=65), dental pain (30.5, N=93), and tooth decay (25.6%, n=78). However, only 31.8% (N=97) visited a dental clinic for consultation most whom, were those with three or more children ($\chi^2=4.682$; $P=002$). The pregnant women who had visited a dentist in the past 12 months were 11.1% (N=34), mostly those aged >24 years and those with informal employment ($P<0.05$). Curative and preventive treatments were received more significantly by the urban and with formal employment ($P<0.01$). In conclusion, pregnant women in Bariadi, Tanzania experiences substantial oral health problems for which they do not often utilize dentists for consultation and management during pregnancy. Dentists and other health workers should therefore, intensify dental screening, emphasizing active family and community participation as part of regular prenatal care.

Keywords: pregnancy, oral health, prenatal, dental visits, Tanzania

Introduction

Studies have shown that a substantial proportion of pregnant women report that they experience oral health problems involving hard and soft tissues during pregnancy (Murphey & Fowles, 2010). However, most of them perceive that such problems are normal to a pregnant woman and fade away during post-natal periods (Resler-Maerlender *et al.* 2005). With such perceptions, most pregnant women abstain from seeking professional dental consultation and/or are reluctant to undergo certain dental procedures during pregnancy with fear of possible harm to themselves or their babies (Ressler-Maerlender *et al.* 2005; Dinas *et al.*, 2007)). In many situations, oral health screening is not a routine procedure in many antenatal clinics and there are no standard guidelines which ensure that all pregnant women are routinely screened, treated or referred to specialized dental professionals as part of prenatal care (Mills & Moses, 2002; Zenata *et al.* 2008). Very often the pregnant women are referred to dentists by obstetricians only when there are complaints by a pregnant woman in relation to oral health (Murphey & Fowles, 2010). Even when such

* Correspondence: Ibrahim E.A.T. Mwangosi; Email: ieatmwangosi@yahoo.com

referrals are made, some dentists are not very happy to attend a pregnant woman; instead they prefer to postpone treatment until after labour (Zenata *et al.*, 2008). Sometimes dentists are reported to be unaware of scientific recommendations on how to manage oral health problems during pregnancy (Pina & Douglass, 2011). According to Lee *et al.* (2010), there are five perceived barriers, mostly attitudinal, associated with reluctance among dentists from providing dental care to pregnant women. Such barriers include time over-consciousness, economic reasons, deficiency in professional skills, dental staff resistance, and peer pressure (Lee *et al.*, 2010).

Some workers have emphasized on the importance of good oral health in pregnancy, suggesting that it is advantageous to both the mother and her baby (Amin & Casimassimo, 2010). For instance, maternal periodontal disease has often linked to preterm birth, low birth weight, and preterm low birth weight (Mills & Moses, 2002; Dasanayake *et al.* 2008). However, dental care, such as treatment of periodontal disease, is frequently recommended during pregnancy because of the proven safety, effectiveness and its positive oral health behavioural impacts on families, especially when combined with vertical oral health education and oral health promotions (Mills & Moses, 2002; Dasanayake *et al.* 2008; Lin *et al.* 2011). An improvement in oral health status has been reported following treatment of periodontal disease by scaling and root planning (Polyzos *et al.*, 2010).

Oral health experience among pregnant women during pregnancy has been reported from the developed countries (Al Habashnesh *et al.*, 2005; Bogess *et al.*, 2010; Detman *et al.*, 2010). The frequently reported barriers from dental visits include difficulty in finding a dentist, low priority given on dental care, lack of insurance, misconception about safety and appropriateness of dental care during pregnancy, and sporadic anticipatory guidance during prenatal care and financial ability (Huller *et al.*, 2008; Keirse & Plutzer, 2010; Marchis *et al.*, 2010). On the other hand, factors in favour of dental visits have been described to include personal (married, dental visits outside pregnancy, and use of interproximal aides), financial, and knowledge of possible connection between oral health and pregnancy outcomes (Manskau & Arrindell, 1996).

Information on oral care among pregnant women in African countries is scarce. For instance, in Nigeria only 36.7% of pregnant women reported having received oral care information from a dentist (Orenuga & Sofola, 2005). This study was therefore carried out to explore the level of oral health-related experience during pregnancy among antenatal mothers attending reproductive and child health clinics in a rural district of Tanzania.

Materials and Methods

Study area, design and data collection

This descriptive cross-sectional study involved pregnant women attending routine Reproductive and Child Health (RCH) clinics at the District Hospital and Health Centres in Bariadi District in Tanzania during June 2011. All pregnant women attending the clinics and gave their informed consents were enrolled in the study.

A supervised, well structured, questionnaire-guided interview in Kiswahili was used in the study, allowing closed and open responses. Information on the socio-demographic characteristics of the study subjects was collected. These included age (last birth day in years), educational level, and marital status, number of children, residence, and employment status. Educational status was subdivided into informal (any participant without primary education certificate), and formal education (any participant with at least a primary

educational certificate). Any participant with full-time occupation, earning monthly salaries was considered as employed, whereas part-time occupations with part-time wages were considered as not employed. Participants were asked on whether or not they had ever experienced any oral problems during pregnancy such as dental pain, bleeding gums, pain from gums, and change in colour of gums, toothache, swollen gums, and tooth decay/dental caries. Moreover, participants were asked on the decision against any of the reported oral health problems. The last dental visit with reason for the visit and type of treatment (curative or preventive) received was explored. Furthermore, participants were asked on whether or not they ever received oral hygiene instructions and oral health education from dental practitioners during pregnancy.

Data analysis

Data were compiled, organized and recorded in the computer SPSS files version 11.0 for analysis. For the purpose of analysis, data were recorded by dichotomizing whenever necessary. Frequency statistics and cross tabulations were done, Chi-square test was used to test for statistic significance at the critical value $p < 0.05$.

Ethical considerations

The Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences approved the study. Permission to access the study clinics was sought from the District Executive Director, the District Medical Officer, and from the District Co-coordinator of the Reproductive and Child Health. All ethical considerations were closely observed including verbal and written consent from the would-be participants with detailed explanation on the study protocol. Arrangement was made to ensure that every participant in need of oral health care was attended by a dentist.

Results

A total of 305 pregnant women, with the mean age 25.7 ± 6.2 years (mode 20 years) were involved in the study. Significantly more women with three children or more than those with two or less children had hard and soft tissue dental related problems during pregnancy ($P < 0.05$). Generally, the experienced oral health problems among the pregnant women were bleeding gums (22.6%, $n=69$), pain in gums (21.6%, $n=66$), swollen gums (21.3%, $n=65$), dental pain (30.5, $n=93$), and tooth decay (25.6%, $n=78$). However, only 31.8% ($N=97$) visited a dental clinic for consultation most of whom, were those with three or more children ($\chi^2=4.682$; $P=002$).

Table 1: Frequency distribution in number and percentage (%) of the antenatal women in the study according to socio-demography (N=305)

Variable	Response	Frequency (N)	Percent
Age	≤24 years	153	50.2
	>24 years	152	49.8
Residence	Urban	196	64.3
	Rural	109	35.7
Number of children	Two or less	169	55.4
	Three or more	136	44.6
Educational status	Primary or less	262	85.9
	Secondary or more	43	14.1

A total of 80 (94.1%) pregnant women had visited a dentist for curative need and only five (5.9%) for preventive need. Of those who visited a dentist, significantly more urban than rural participants went for curative and preventive reasons ($P<0.01$). Generally were those with employment than otherwise (Table 3). On the contrary, significantly more proportion of the older participants (>24 years) were offered curative and preventive treatment compared to their counterparts (≤24 years) ($P<0.01$) (Table 4). The urban pregnant women were offered preventive treatment significantly more than the rural ($P<0.01$). The employed and women with >2 children had relatively less often offered preventive treatment than their counterparts.

Table 2: Number and percent of respondents on their dental experiences in previous pregnancy

Experiences in previous pregnancy	Age groups		Employment		Residence	
	≤ 24 years	>24 years	Full time	Not full time	Urban	Rural
Visited dental clinic during pregnancy	33 (10.8%)	64 (21.0)*	52 (17.0)	45 (14.8)*	77 (25.2)	20 (6.6)*
Received oral hygiene instruction	15 (4.9)	25 (8.2)*	17 (5.6)	23 (7.5)*	32 (10.5)	8 (2.6)*
Received oral health education	12 (3.9)	20 (6.6)*	13 (4.3)	19 (6.2)*	27 (8.9)	5 (1.6)*
Experienced dental pain	37 (12.1)	56 (18.4)*	58 (19.0)	35 (11.5)	68 (22.3)	25 (8.2)*
Experienced bleeding gums	24 (7.9)	45 (14.8)*	34 (11.1)	35 (11.5)*	54 (17.7)	15 (4.9)*
Experienced pain from gums	19 (6.2)	47 (15.4)*	32 (10.5)	34 (11.1)	50 (16.4)	16 (5.2)*
Experienced swollen gums	18 (5.9)	47 (14.4)*	33 (10.8)	32 (10.5)*	49 (16.1)	16 (5.2)*
Experienced tooth decay	29 (9.5)	49 (16.1)*	43 (14.1)	32 (11.5)	57 (18.7)	21 (6.9)*
Took correct management measure	16 (5.2)	38 (12.5)	33 (10.8)	21 (6.9)	42 (13.8)	12 (3.9)*
Had at least one dental visit in last 12 month	11(3.6)	23 (7.5)*	16 (5.2)	18 (5.9)	26 (8.5)	8 (2.6)

* $P<0.05$; NB. For the purpose of analysis, the total number of respondents who had experienced a characteristic in question formed the denominator; those who did not experience a questioned experience were not included in the denominator across age groups, employment status and residence. Each variable has its own denominator across age groups, employment status and residence

Table 3: Number (percent) of respondents on reasons for dental visits

Reasons for dental	Residence	Occupation	Total
--------------------	-----------	------------	-------

visit	Urban	Rural	Employed	Unemployed	
Curative	62 (72.9)	18 (21.2)	42 (49.4)	38 (44.7)	80 (94.1)*
Preventive	4 (4.7)	1 (1.2)	4 (4.7)	1 (1.2)	5 (5.9)
Total	66 (77.6)	19 (22.4)	46 (54.1)	39 (45.9)	85 (100)

* $P < 0.05$; Curative reasons were defined to include toothache and bleeding gums; whereas preventive reasons included check up and treatment follow up.

Table 4: Number and percent (%) of participants who reported the type of treatment offered

Variable	Response	Curative		Preventive		Total	
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Age (years)	≤24	25	30.5	4	4.9	29	35.4*
	>24	40	48.8	13	15.9	53	64.6*
Residence	Urban	49	59.8	15	18.3	64	78.0*
	Rural	16	19.5	2	2.4	18	22.0
Occupation	Unemployed	29	35.4	10	12.2	39	47.6
	Employed	36	43.9	7	8.5	43	52.4
No. children	≤2	31	37.8	9	11.0	40	48.8
	≥2	34	41.5	8	9.8	42	51.2
Total	No	65	79.3	17	20.7	82	100

* $P < 0.05$; Curative treatment type was defined to include tooth filling, extraction and medications; whereas preventive treatment included oral hygiene instruction only.

Discussion

The fact that multi-parity and the married women had significantly more oral health related problems could in part or completely signify the paucity of access to oral health professional hands among pregnant women as part of prenatal care. It also suggests inadequacy of active involvement of the partners and family members who would prioritize and participate on reproductive health issues in prenatal care in terms of readiness to bear some cost (Orenuga & Sofola, 2005; Marchi *et al.* 2007; Detman *et al.* 2010; Lee *et al.*, 2010; Keirse & Plutzer, 2010; Lin *et al.* 2011). However, despite of distant cultural and economic differences from the developed countries, the current study suggest a homogenous pattern of oral health arena in pregnant women during pregnancy. A quarter of the pregnant women in this study had oral hard and soft tissue problems but only a third of pregnant women consulted a dentist (Dinas *et al.* 2007; Resler-Maerlender *et al.* 2008; Marchi *et al.* 2010; Keirse & Plutzer, 2010; Boggess *et al.* 2010). In this study, having multiple children significantly determined the frequency of visits to a dental clinic.

Although a substantial proportion (30.5%) of the pregnant women experienced dental problems, only 17.7% took correct measures of consulting a dentist, significantly driven by having less education than otherwise. This is consistent with findings in studies carried out elsewhere (Dinas *et al.* 2007; Hullah *et al.* 2008). This suggest possibility for the more educated pregnant women to have alternatively better options probably due to better economic status and sharper quality concern on the anticipated dental service available (Al Habashneh *et al.* 2005).

The most frequently offered dental treatment to 27.9% of the pregnant women who had visited a dentist was curative among less than a quarter. This study significantly shows that the pregnant women who received preventive dental care were from urban than rural. Most of those with formal employment received curative treatment. On the contrary, a large

proportion of the pregnant women did not seek for professional dental consultation at all. According to Sarita & Tuominen (1993), people who live far from the oral health care services are not likely to be good users of oral health care service, instead they depend on home remedies and traditional healers in early forms of the problems. It seems the perceived oral health needs on the part of the patients are not necessarily the normative needs on the part of the professionals, probably because professionals have scientific guidelines of management that might not necessarily be accepted by patients.

Contrary to the findings by Keirse & Plutzer (2010), the current study has shown in many explored aspects, that significantly more of the pregnant women who were older (>24 years), informal income, from urban than rural, reported that they had experienced dental pain and decay during pregnancy; had signs of gingivitis during pregnancy (painful, swollen, and bleeding gums); recalled having received oral health education and oral hygiene instruction by a dentist; took correct measures of consulting a dentist; and visited a dentist at least once in the past 12 months (Keirse & Plutzer, 2010).

Other studies have shown that some women were not aware of the existence of free dental prenatal services even among the multi-gravid women (Manger & Arrindel 1996; Huller *et al.*, 2005). This was driven by the women's ignorance about oral health status in which oral health related problems were considered normal to a pregnant woman (Keirse & Plutzer, 2010); and the wrong perception over dental treatment during pregnancy in which pregnant women perceive likely to encounter consequential harm to themselves or their foetuses. These factors jeopardize prenatal health of pregnant women as seen in other studies (Murphey & Fowles, 2010).

According to Orenuga & Sofola (2005) in Nigeria, only about one third of the pregnant women reported having received oral care information from a dentist. On the other hand, Detman *et al.* (2010) in Florida USA reported that most pregnant women did not obtain dental care and did not recall to have received any dental information during prenatal visits. The current study has shown only 10.5% and 13.1% of the pregnant women recalled to have received OHE and OHI from a dentist respectively when pregnant.

Well planned prenatal dental care services in the form of routine screening for early detection and management of dental problems during pregnancy along with logical oral health education and oral hygiene instructions are frequently advocated (Al Habashneh *et al.* 2005; Mills & Moses, 2002;). These forms of remedies have been proved efficient and safe to any pregnant woman and are worth understanding by the public and health professionals alike (Mills & Moses, 2002; Resler-Maerlender *et al.* 2005; Dinas *et al.* 2007).

In conclusion, pregnant women experiences substantial oral health problems for which they do not often utilize dentists for consultation and management during pregnancy. Oral health professionals should therefore, strengthen the routine oral health screening, needful treatment on top of vertical oral health education and oral hygiene instruction with emphasizes that influence active participation of family members and other health workers as part of basic prenatal care.

References

- Al Habashnesh, R., Guthmiller, J.M., Levy, S., Johnson, G.K., Squier, C., Dawson, D.V. & Fang, Q. (2005) Factors related to utilization of dental services during pregnancy. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology* 32, 815-21.

- Boggess, K.A, Urlaub, D.M., Massey, K.E., Moos, M.K., Matheson, M.B. & Lorenz, C. (2010) Oral hygiene practice and dental service utilization among pregnant women. *Journal of American Dental Association* 141, 553-61.
- Dasanayake, A.P., Gennaro, S., Hendricks-muñoz, U.D. & Chhun, N. (2008) Maternal periodontal disease, pregnancy and neonatal outcomes. *MCN American Journal of Maternal and Child Nursing* 33, 45-49.
- Detman, L.A., Cottrel, B.H. & Denis-Luque, M.F. (2010) Exploring dental care misconceptions and barriers in pregnancy. *Birth* 37, 318-24.
- Dinas, K., Achyropoulos, V., Hatzipantelis, E., Mavromatidis, G., Zepiridis, L., Theodoridis, T., Dovas, D., Tantanasis, T., Goutzioulis, F. & Bontis, J. (2007) Pregnancy and oral health: utilization of dental services during pregnancy in Northern Greece. *Acta Obstetrica et Gynecologica Scandinavica* 86, 938-944.
- Hullar, E., Turok, Y., Nauta, M. & Yoong, W. (2008) Self-reported oral hygiene habits, dental attendance and attitudes to dentistry during pregnancy in a sample of immigrant women in North London. *Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics* 277, 405-409.
- Keirse, M.J. & Plutzer, K. (2010) Women's attitudes to and perceptions of oral health and dental care during pregnancy. *Journal of Perinatal Medicine* 38, 3-8.
- Lee, R.S., Milgrom, P., Huebner, C.E. & Conrad, D.A. (2010) Dentist's perceptions of barriers to providing dental care to pregnant women. *Women's Health Issues* 20, 359-365.
- Lin, D.L., Harrison, R. & Aleksejuniene, J. (2011) Can a perinatal dental public health program make a difference? *Journal of Canadian Dental Association* 77, b32.
- Mangskau, K.A. & Arrindell, B. (1996) Pregnancy and oral health: utilization of the oral health care system by pregnant women in North Dakota. *Northwest Dentistry* 75, 23-28.
- Marchi, K.S., Fisher-Owen, S.A., Weindraub, J.A., Yu, Z. & Braveman, P.A. (2010) Most pregnant women in California do not receive dental care: findings from a population based study. *Public Health Report* 125, 831-842.
- Mills, L.W., & Moses, D.T. (2002) Oral health during pregnancy. *MCN American Journal of Maternity and Child Nursing* 27, 275-280.
- Murphey, C. & Fowles, E. (2010) Dental health, cariogenic meal and snack patterns among low-income women during early pregnancy: a pilot study. *Journal of Midwifery and Women's Health* 55, 587-592.
- Orenuga, O.O. & Sofola, O.O. (2005) A survey of knowledge, attitude and practices of antenatal mothers in Lagos Nigeria about the primary teeth. *African Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences* 34, 285-291.
- Pina, P.M. & Douglass, J. (2011) Practices and opinions of Connecticut general dentists regarding dental treatment during pregnancy. *General Dentistry* 59, e25-31.
- Ressler-Maerlender, J., Krishna, R. & Robison, V. (2005) Oral health during pregnancy: current research. *Journal of Woman's Health (Larchmt)* 14, 880-882.
- Sarita, P.T., Tuominen, R. (1993) Use of health care services in two rural communities in Tanzania. *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology* 21, 133-5.
- Zenata, R.L., Fernandes, K.B. & Navarro, P.S. (2008). Prenatal dental care: evaluation of professional knowledge of obstetricians and dentists in the cities of Londrina/PR and Bauru/SP, Brazil, 2004. *Journal of Applied Oral Science* 16, 194-200.