

Association of apolipoprotein E (RFLP) polymorphism with myopia

P. Himabindu, S. Vishnupriya, T. Padma, Vittal Rao*, K. P. Shravan Kumar, M. A. Bhavani, Ramakrishna G. Reddy*
Department of Genetics, Osmania University, *Jagadamba Nursing Home, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, India

BACKGROUND: Myopia or nearsightedness is a spherical error of refraction, whereby the images are focused in front of retina. Eye, being an organ rich in activated oxygen species, requires a high level of antioxidants to protect the unsaturated fatty acids. Apolipoprotein E (APOE) is one of the proteins that is produced by Muller cells within the retina and is also endowed with antioxidant properties. Genetic polymorphism of APO E is controlled by three common alleles $\epsilon 3$, $\epsilon 2$ and $\epsilon 4$ and rare $e1$, $e4v$ at the APOE structural gene locus. Different isoforms of APO E differ in their antioxidant properties, and the $e4$ allele has lesser ability to combat oxidative stress.

AIMS: Myopia being a disease influenced by oxidative stress, the present study was undertaken to find association of myopia with APO E polymorphism.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: A total of 187 myopic cases and 192 controls were genotyped for apolipoprotein E polymorphism.

RESULTS: In both controls and myopic cases, E3/3 genotype was found to be the most frequent one. There was an increase in E3/4 genotype frequency among male probands, high myopia cases and probands with early age at onset, suggesting that the E3/4 genotype might confer risk for myopia development.

CONCLUSION: This association with E3/4 genotype might predispose susceptible individuals to develop high myopia and early onset myopia.

Key words: Apolipoprotein E polymorphism, genotype, Muller cells, myopia, oxidative stress, retinal degeneration

than 6.0 diopters. The simple or less severe form is known as physiological myopia, which occurs as a result of correlative effect of refractive components of the eye and has refractive error up to 6.0 diopters. Myopia is a disease that is influenced by oxidative stress.^[2] The oxygen-rich environment of the eye has phospholipids containing dexamethanoic acid within the photoreceptor cells. Dexamethanoic acid is intensively sensitive to oxidative damage. To protect against oxidative damage, the retina contains antioxidants and antioxidant enzyme systems. APO E is one of the proteins that is produced by Muller cells within the retina and is also endowed with antioxidant properties.^[3] APO E gene is mapped on chromosome 19 in a cluster within APO C1 and APO C2. It spans 3.7 Kb including 4 exons. Genetic polymorphism of APO E is controlled by three common alleles $\epsilon 3$, $\epsilon 2$ and $\epsilon 4$ and rare $e1$, $e4v$ at the APOE structural gene locus.^[4,5] Different isoforms of APO E differ in their antioxidant properties, and the $e4$ allele has lesser ability to combat oxidative stress.^[6] Hence the present study has been planned to identify the possible association between APO E polymorphism and myopia progression.

Materials and Methods

Myopia or nearsightedness is a condition whereby images are focused in front of the retina. Myopia development involves variation in corneal structure or increase in axial length. Refractive error is measured in diopters and is the combined power of the cornea and the lens that is needed to focus distant objects correctly on the retina.^[1] There are etiologically distinct forms of myopia. High myopia or pathological myopia causes progressive elongation of the globe and stretching of the scleral wall, leading to a high refractive error of more

Blood samples were collected in EDTA vacutainer from 187 myopia patients reported at Sarojini Devi Eye Hospital, Kanchan Eye Hospital and Jagadamba Nursing Home. Each of these hospitals was visited twice a week for a period of 20 months. The information regarding age at onset, sex, para, maternal reproductive history, nutritional status, socioeconomic status, familial incidence and parental consanguinity was collected from

the patients by personally interviewing them on the basis of selected pro forma. All the patients under study were clinically examined by ophthalmologists accurately for spherical error of refraction, retinal changes, fundus and macula changes. Age- and sex-matched controls (n = 192) examined by ophthalmologists and found to be without any history of myopia or any other genetic disease were selected randomly from hostels, schools, colleges and various institutions for the purpose of comparison. DNA was isolated using the rapid non-enzymatic method of DNA isolation.^[7] The genomic DNA was amplified using specific primers for APOE from Hysel India Ltd. (Forward primer: 5' ACA GAA TTC GCC CCG GCC TGG TAC AC-3'; reverse primer: 5' TAA GCT TGG CAC GGC TGT CCA AGG A-3'). Thirty microliters of PCR mix contains 3 ml of Genomic DNA; 3 µl of 10x PCR buffer; 3 µl of 10 mM dNTPs; reverse and forward primers, 3 µl [1 pmol/µl] of each; 0.1 µl [2.5 U/µl] of Taq polymerase; 11.9 µl of DdH₂O; and 3 µl of 10% DMSO. PCR conditions include initial denaturation for 5 min at 95°C and 30 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 1 min, annealing at 60°C for 1 min and extension of 70°C for 2 min.^[8] The PCR product was subjected to restriction digestion at 37°C overnight by Hha I (Bangalore Genie Pvt. Ltd.). The digested product was run on 14% polyacrylamide gel for 3 h at 200 V under constant 45 mA current. After the electrophoresis, the gel was stained with ethidium bromide (0.2 mg/l) for 10 min, and DNA fragments were visualized under UV transilluminator.

GENOTYPES

E2/2	91, 83 bp
E3/3	91, 48, 35 bp
E4/4	72, 48, 35 bp
E2/3	91, 83, 48, 35 bp
E2/4	91, 83, 72, 48, 35 bp
E3/4	91, 72, 48, 35 bp

FRAGMENT SIZES

Results and Discussion

In our study, we have observed only three genotypes of APO E in the disease group and controls, viz., (ε3/3, ε3/4, ε2/3). Although there are six possible genotypes, several studies have shown variation in number of genotypes, ranging from 3 to 5. The genotype distribution of APO E polymorphism in myopia (ε3/3, 82.4%; ε3/4, 13.9%; ε2/3, 3.7%) does not deviate from that of control (ε3/3; 79.3%, ε3/4; 14.5%, ε2/3; 6.1%), as revealed in Table 1. The allele frequencies also did not show much difference. The relative risk calculated for ε3/3 vs. ε3/4 ($\chi^2 = 0.811$) and ε2/3 vs. ε3/4 ($\chi^2 = 0.451$) and ε3/3 vs. ε2/3 ($\chi^2 = 0.533$) did not reveal any significant results.

Table 2 shows the comparison with respect to the sex of the proband, where the distribution of APOE genotypes revealed slightly elevated frequency of ε3/4 (14.6%) genotype in male probands with a corresponding decrease in the ε2/3 genotype (3.1%) as

Table 1: Frequency distribution of apolipoprotein E genotypes in myopia and control groups

Parameter	Genotypes									
	ε 3/3		ε 3/4		ε2/3		Total	Allele frequencies		
	N	%	N	%	N	%		P	Q	R
Myopia	154	82.4	26	13.9	7	3.7	187	0.02	0.90	0.07
Control	192	79.3	26	14.5	11	6.1	179	0.03	0.89	0.08

As compared to controls: Genotype distribution: $\chi^2 = 1.154$

Relative incidence as compared to heterozygotes: ε3/3 versus ε3/4; $\chi^2 = 0.073$; Odds ratio = 0.802, ε3/4 versus ε2/3; $\chi^2 = 0.661$; Odds ratio = 1.571, ε3/3 versus ε2/3; $\chi^2 = 1.153$; Odds ratio = 1.260, * $P < 0.05$ (Chi-square distribution)

Departure from Hardy - Weinberg equilibrium: Myopia; $\chi^2 = 0.22146$; Control $\chi^2 = 0.0076$

Table 2: Frequency distribution of apolipoprotein E genotypes with respect to sex of the proband

Sex of the		Genotypes								
Proband	ε 3/3		ε 3/4		ε 2/3		Total	Allele frequencies		
	N	%	N	%	N	%		P	Q	R
Male	79	82.3	14	14.6	3	3.1	96	0.017	0.907	0.08
Female	75	82.4	12	13.2	4	4.4	91	0.24	0.907	0.07

$\chi^2 = 0.267$, 2d.f, $P = 0.875$

Table 3: Distribution of apolipoprotein E genotypes with respect to age at onset of the proband

Age of	Genotypes									
onset	ε 3/3		ε 3/4		ε 2/3		Total	Allele frequencies		
	N	%	N	%	N	%		P	Q	R
0-5	19	86.4	3	13.6	-	-	22	0	0.929	0.07
6-10	30	68.2	11	25.0	3	6.8	44	0.041	0.825	0.151
11-15	63	90.0	6	8.6	1	1.4	70	0.007	0.094	0.045
16-20	26	89.7	2	6.9	1	3.4	29	0.018	0.946	0.036
21-25	14	70.0	4	20.0	2	10.0	20	0.059	0.836	0.119
>25	2	100.0	-	-	-	-	2	0	1	0

$\chi^2 = 14.523$, 10d.f, $P = 0.150$

Table 4: Distribution of apolipoprotein E genotypes with respect to types of myopia

Types of myopia	Genotypes									
	ε 3/3		ε 3/4		ε2/3		Total	Allele frequencies		
	N	%	N	%	N	%		P	Q	R
High myopia	47	75.8	14	22.6	1	1.6	62	0.009	0.870	0.129
Low myopia	107	85.6	12	9.6	6	4.8	125	0.025	0.92	0.051

$\chi^2 = 6.630$, 2d.f, $P = 0.306$

compared to corresponding frequencies of female probands [ϵ 3/4 (13.2%), ϵ 2/3 (4.4%) genotypes]. APO E polymorphism studied in different age groups [Table 3] showed elevation of ϵ 3/4 genotype in early onset cases of age 0-10 years (21.2%) as compared to late onset myopia cases of age more than 21 years (12.24%). Not much difference was seen in ϵ 2/3 and ϵ 3/4 genotype distributions with respect to age at onset. The study of APO E polymorphism between types of myopia [Table 4] revealed the elevation of ϵ 3/4 genotype frequency in high myopia (22.6%) as compared to low myopia (9.6%).

The increase in the ϵ 3/4 genotype frequency among male probands, high myopia cases and probands with early age at onset suggest that the ϵ 3/4 genotype confers risk for the development of myopia. Myopia is a disease that is influenced by oxidative stress. In high myopia, the lipid peroxidation results in free radical process, leading to retinal detachments.^[9-11] Children, adolescents with progressive myopia and retinal detachments had a reduced ratio between antioxidant activity and radical formation. The progressive myopia was also correlated with the oxidative damage and free radical formation. Eye, being an organ rich in activated oxygen species, requires a high level of antioxidants to protect the unsaturated fatty acids. The ϵ 4 allele is known to have lesser ability to combat oxidative stress as compared to ϵ 2 and ϵ 3 alleles of APO E and thus contributes to the development of high myopia. The association of ϵ 4/4

alleles was also seen with retinitis pigmentosa^[12] and glaucoma.^[13]

The APO E genotype distribution among familial and nonfamilial cases revealed a decrease in the ϵ 3/4 allele frequency in familial cases (12.3%) as compared to nonfamilial cases (16.9%). Increase in ϵ 3/4 genotype was also observed in consanguineous cases (21.2%) as compared to nonconsanguineous cases (12.3%). The increase of ϵ 3/4 genotype frequency in nonfamilial cases and consanguineous cases suggests that myopia is caused by both environmental triggering factors like oxidative stress near work as well as by the influence of genetic factors. The present study reveals that association of ϵ 3/4 genotype might predispose susceptible individuals to have early onset of the condition and high myopia.

Acknowledgments

We are thankful to the medical and technical staff of Sarojini Devi Eye Hospital, Kanchan Eye Hospital and Jagadamba Nursing Home for helping us through the data collection. The financial assistance provided by LTMT (Lady Tata Memorial Trust) in the form of JRF is greatly acknowledged.

References

1. Pacella R, McLellan J, Grice K, Del Bono EA, Wiggs JL, Gwiazda JE. Role of genetic factors in the etiology of juvenile-onset myopia based on a longitudinal study of re-

- fractive error. *Optom Vis Sci* 1999;76:381-6.
2. Vinetskaia MI, Iomdina EN, Tarutta EP, Kushnarevich Nlu, Lazuk AV. Significance of lacrimal fluid peroxidation and anti-radical defense parameters for prediction and treatment of complicated myopia. *Vestn Oftalmol* 2000;116:54-6.
 3. Schneeberger SA, Iwahashi CK, Hjelmeland LM, Davis PA, Morse LS. Apolipoprotein E in the subretinal fluid of rhegmatogenous and exudative retinal detachments. *Retina* 1997;17:38-43.
 4. Paik YK, Chang DJ, Reardon CA, Davies GE, Mahley RW, Taylor JM. Nuaclootide sequence and structure of the human Apolipoprotein E gene. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1985;82:3445-9.
 5. Das HK, Mepherson J, Bruns GA, Karathanasis SK, Breslow JL. Isolation, characterization and mapping to chromosome 19 of the human apolipo-protein E gene. *J Biol Chem* 1985;260:6240-7.
 6. Ihara Y, Hayabara T, Sasaki K, Kawada R, Nakashima Y, Kuroda S. Relationship between oxidative stress and apoE phenotype in Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2000;102:346-9.
 7. Lahiri DK, Nurnberger JI Jr. A rapid non-enzymatic method for the preparation of HMW DNA from blood RFLP studies. *Nucleic Acid Res* 1991;19:5444.
 8. Hixson JE, Vernier DT. Restriction isotyping of human Apolipoprotein E by gene amplification and cleavage with HhaI. *J Lipid Res* 1990;31:545-8.
 9. Romero FJ, Bosch-Morell F, Romero MJ, Jareno EJ, Romero B, Marin N, *et al.* Lipid peroxidation products and antioxidants in human disease. *Environ Health Perspect* 1998;106:1229-34.
 10. Florence TM. The role of free radicals in disease. *Aust NZ J Ophthalmol* 1995;23:3-7.
 11. Bhooma V, Sulochana KN, Biswas J, Ramakrishnan S. Eales' disease: Accumulation of reactive oxygen intermediates and lipid peroxides and decrease of antioxidants causing inflammation, neovascularization and retinal damage. *Curr Eye Res* 1997;16:91-5.
 12. Vickers JC, Craig JE, Stankovich J, McCormack GH, West AK, Dickinson PJ, *et al.* The apolipoprotein ε4 gene is associated with elevated risk of normal tension glaucoma. *Mol Vis* 2002;8:389-93.
 13. Ressiniotis T, Griffiths PG, Birch M, Keers S, Chinnery PF. The role of Apolipoprotein E gene polymorphisms in primary open-angle glaucoma. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2004;122:258-61.

Source of Support: Nil, **Conflict of Interest:** None declared.

Author Help: Sending a revised article

- 1) Include the referees' remarks and point to point clarification to those remarks at the beginning in the revised article file itself. In addition, mark the changes as underlined or coloured text in the article. Please include in a single file
 - a. referees' comments
 - b. point to point clarifications on the comments
 - c. revised article with text highlighting the changes done
- 2) Include the original comments of the reviewers/editor with point to point reply at the beginning of the article in the 'Article File'. To ensure that the reviewer can assess the revised paper in timely fashion, please reply to the comments of the referees/editors in the following manner.
 - There is no data on follow-up of these patients.
Authors' Reply: The follow up of patients have been included in the results section [Page 3, para 2]
 - Authors should highlight the relation of complication to duration of diabetes.
Authors' Reply: The complications as seen in our study group has been included in the results section [Page 4, Table]