

What Is the Best Available Evidence for Using Homeopathy in Patients with Intellectual Disabilities?

Farshad Shaddel*¹, MD, MRCPsych; Marjan Ghazirad¹, MD, MRCPsych; Mark Bryant²

¹University of Oxford, ²Dermot Rowe Library, Oxford Learning Disability, United Kingdom

Received: Jul 04, 2013; Accepted: Jul 13, 2014; First Online Available: Jul 04, 2014

Abstract

Objective: The debate about the effectiveness of homeopathy hits the headlines from time to time. Reported evidences for the role of homeopathy in psychiatric illness relevant to people with intellectual disabilities are patchy and inconsistent. In this review we summarize the best available evidence for the use of homeopathy to treat the psychiatric disorders common in this population.

Methods: Systematic literature review was conducted through February 2012 to July 2012 in AMED, CINHAL, BNI, EMBASE, MEDLINE, PSYCHINFO and GOOGLE SCHOLAR. In the next steps thirty eight homeopathic associations were contacted and a top-up literature search was done on Scopus and World of Science databases till March 2014. Twelve relevant clinical trials were identified and included in this study. The quality of each trial was assessed by the Oxford quality scoring system (Known as Jadad score) as well as subjective review by two reviewers independently (good versus poor).

Findings: The largest body of evidence pertained to the use of homeopathy in the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). There is heterogeneity in the quality of trials and also the outcome of studies but overall our findings suggest some potential for using homeopathy in ADHD. Current evidences do not support the use of homeopathy for treatment of speech and language difficulties. There was only one trial concerning the use of homeopathy in Autistic Spectrum Disorder. This was of a poor quality and unable to provide any recommendation.

Conclusion: Whilst acknowledging the risk of publication and language bias in our study, the currently available evidences are neither conclusive nor comprehensive enough to give us a clear picture for the use of homeopathy in patients with intellectual disabilities. There are large gaps in the body of evidence concerning the role of homeopathy in the treatment of common disorders in intellectual disability, such as autism, challenging behavior or developmental arrest in childhood.

Iranian Journal of Pediatrics, Volume 24 (Number 4), Aug 2014, Pages: 339-244

Key Words: Homeopathy; Intellectual Disability; Mental Retardation; Learning Disability; Systematic Review

Introduction

The debate about the effectiveness of homeopathy hits the headlines from time to time. Homeopaths have always reported the effectiveness of homeopathy in treating many mental disorders and provided lower levels of evidence for example,

case presentation, case series and observational studies to support this. However, difficulty in tailoring a high quality blinded randomized clinical trial (RCT) to the individualized nature of homeopathic treatment, has been a key issue over recent decades^[1,2]. A brief overview of the published literature indicates that hundreds of

* Corresponding Author;

Address: Royal College of Psychiatrists- Oxford Deanery - University of Oxford United Kingdom

E-mail: f_shaddel@yahoo.com

© 2014 by Pediatrics Center of Excellence, Children's Medical Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, All rights reserved.

clinical trials have been conducted on the application of homeopathy to a variety of illnesses, but with inconclusive overall results^[3-7]. There is an evident need for more systematic reviews in the field.

Likewise evidence for the role of homeopathy in psychiatric illness and specially those relevant to people with Intellectual Disabilities (ID) are patchy and inconsistent^[8]. In this study we will try to summarize the best available evidence for the use of homeopathy to treat the psychiatric disorders common in this population.

Subjects and Methods

The articles included in the review were selected as follows:

(i) Seven databases (AMED, CINHALL, BNI, EMBASE, MEDLINE, GOOGLE SCHOLAR and PSYCHINFO) were searched for different keywords (27 different combinations) for articles published by August 1, 2012 over the period between February 2012 to August 2012. This stage produced 28 results.

(ii) The abstract of these articles were reviewed manually by two authors (F.S. & M.G.). Sixteen papers considered relevant. The hard copy retrieved out of which eight did meet the inclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria were being a randomized clinical trial on the use of homeopathy in the management of common mental disorders in people with intellectual disability. These eight papers were deemed appropriate for a citation search using Google Scholar (which has a feature for following up the citations of each article by other papers). This search generated an additional two relevant studies.

(iii) As the next step a reference cross-check was conducted manually on all of the included papers - additional articles were not identified.

(iv) To reach the gray literature, thirty eight homeopathy associations around the world were contacted and asked for informing us about any relevant un-published, published in non-indexed journals and conference abstracts. This generated additional two relevant clinical trials. The full texts were retrieved.

(v) And finally Scopus and World of Science

database were searched for similar keywords till March 2014 to see if any other trial has been published since our initial search in 2012 - additional articles were not identified

(vi) All searches were confined to articles written in English

The process of including studies is summarized in Fig 1.

Validity assessment: The studies meeting the criteria were critically appraised. We used the Oxford quality scoring system (Known as the Jadad score) in addition to subjective independent reviews by two reviewers to assess the quality of included clinical trials. Reviewers were blinded to each others' opinion to improve the reliability of appraisal. They divided the trials to two groups of good quality or poor quality ones.

The Oxford quality scoring system is the most widely used tool for methodological assessment of the quality of a clinical trial^[9-11]. It is a three-point questionnaire that forms the basis for a Jadad score. Each question is answered with either a yes or a no. Each yes scores a single point, each no zero points; there are no fractional points. The Jadad team states that they expect scoring any individual paper to take no longer than ten minutes.

The questions used to score papers which met the inclusion criteria were as follows:

1. Was the study described as randomized?
2. Was the study described as double blind?
3. Was there a description of withdrawals and dropouts?

To receive a point, an article should have described the number of withdrawals and dropouts in each study group, and the underlying reasons.

Additional points were given if:

4. The method of randomization was described in the paper, and that method was appropriate.
5. The method of blinding was described, and it was appropriate.

Points were deducted if:

- The method of randomisation was described, but was inappropriate.
- The method of blinding was described, but was inappropriate.

Therefore a paper reporting a clinical trial could receive a Jadad score of between zero and five. For the purposes of our study, we considered a score of 3-5 as good quality and score of 0-2 as poor




Fig. 1: Flowchart of trial selection process

quality. We exceptionally included findings of only one study without subjective critical appraisal because it has been already reviewed by another systemic review with a similar methodology^[12].

Findings

Twelve studies met our inclusion criteria and were considered for critical appraisal. The qualities of included trials are summarized in Table 1.

The trials were on the use of homeopathy in management of the following mental disorders: Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), Autism, Dyslexia and Speech & Social development in Cerebral Palsy (CP) patients. Most papers were authored or co-authored by homeopaths. In these twelve trials a total of 560 patients were studied for an average of 30 weeks, with a range of 4-156 weeks.

There were eight clinical trials concerning the use of homeopathy for the treatment of ADHD with the total sample size of 385 patients. Three good quality trials^[13-15] with the total sample size of 187 patients and an average of 24 weeks follow up suggested positive outcomes for the use of homeopathy in ADHD whilst two other good quality trials^[16,17] with the total sample size of 63 patients and average follow up for 11 weeks, failed to identify decisive effectiveness for homeopathic treatment.

One of these studies^[16] compared a homeopathic complex (Quietude®) with “Advanced Brain Food” in the treatment of 20 patients for one month. This study reported very mixed findings with small improvements or deteriorations in some aspects of ADHD. In summary the study suggests the superiority of a special dietary supplement over homeopathy in the treatment of ADHD. The remaining two trials^[18-20] were of poor quality and therefore their findings were not considered as reliable.

Dyslexia and other speech difficulties are

Table 1: Summary of trials related to use of homeopathy in patients with learning disabilities

Primary author	Illness/Method	Trial			Jadad Score	Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Quality
		n	OP	Outcome				
Bull (2007)	Dyslexia/Sunflower	70	16 w*	±	3	Good	Poor	Good
Dhawale (Unpublished)	Dyslexia/Homeopathy	69	3 y***	+	3	Poor	Poor	Poor
Oberai (2013)	ADHD/Homeopathy	61	1 y	+	4	Good	Good	Good
Jacobs (2005)	ADHD/Homeopathy	43	18 w	±	5	Good	Good	Good
Sajedi (2007)	Speech and Social Development/Homeopathy	24	4 m**	-	5	Good	Good	Good
Lottering (2006)	ADHD/Homeopathy vs Advanced Brain Food	20	1 m	±	4	Good	Good	Good
Robinson (2001)	Autism/Homeopathic Secretin	12	12 w	-	1	Poor	Poor	Poor
Lamont (1997)	ADHD/Homeopathy	43	2 m	+	5	Good	Poor	Good
Strauss (2000)	ADHD/Homeopathy	20	2 m	+	2	NA	NA	Poor
Frei (2007)	ADHD/Homeopathy	83	12 m	+	1	Good	Poor	Poor
Frei (2005)	ADHD/Homeopathy	83	12 w	+	5	Good	Good	Good
Frei (2001)	ADHD/Homeopathy	115	6 m	Equal MPD****	1	poor	Poor	poor

* Week; ** Month; *** Year; **** Methylphenidate

common presentations in patients with learning disabilities. There were three clinical trials^[21-23] on the use of homeopathy for speech difficulties (total of 163 patients and average 62 weeks follow up). Two good quality studies^[21,22] found no significant clinical improvement after using homeopathic treatment. One of them^[21] used sunflower therapy for the treatment of children with specific learning difficulties (Dyslexia). This study showed some small improvement in the self-esteem of children but no significant cognitive or literacy improvement. The other one^[22] added homeopathy to a rehabilitation program for a cerebral palsy patient with speech difficulties. This study could not identify any significant difference between the case and control group. The only study which suggested positive response on use of homeopathy in Dyslexia and Dysgraphia^[23] was of poor quality.

There was only one^[24] pilot trial with the sample size of 12 patients on the use of homeopathic preparation of Secretin for the treatment of autistic patients. This study suffers from significant methodological deficits and has a poor quality. Study reported no improvement in the patient.

Discussion

Before any discussion on the findings of this review, it is important to acknowledge the limitations first. The main limitation of this systematic review is confinement to English language publications in indexed journals. This would impose the risk of language and publication bias. We tried to overcome this bias by writing to national homeopathic associations around the world and asking them to inform us about any unpublished and non-English paper on use of homeopathy in people with ID. No study in other language was identified.

Homeopathy in management of ADHD which is a common illness in patient with ID was the most studied area. Overall few good quality trials with longer follow up and bigger sample sizes have suggested some positive effects. The most cited study here, undertaken by Frei and colleagues on 83 ADHD patients, supports the effectiveness of homeopathy in the treatment of ADHD^[20]. However, its validity and soundness of the findings have been challenged by other authors later on^[25]. More high quality clinical trials are needed to facilitate a meta-analysis.

Our findings suggest that current evidences do not support the use of homeopathy for the management of speech difficulties.

Surprisingly and despite widespread reports of using homeopathy for management of Autism in Medias and internet, there was only one clinical trial on this. The quality of trial was poor and therefore the findings could not be relied on. It is also worth mentioning there are some queries even regarding the effectiveness of intravenous Secretin therapy in Autistic patients as well^[26]. Our conclusion is that currently there is no evidence in favor or against the effectiveness of homeopathy for the management of Autistic Spectrum Disorder.

There are large gaps in the body of evidence for the use of homeopathy in the treatment of other common conditions associated with intellectual disability, such as epilepsy, challenging behavior and developmental arrest in childhood.

Conclusion

Collectively the currently available evidence is neither conclusive nor comprehensive enough to give us a clear picture about the use of homeopathy in patients with intellectual disabilities. At least a few well designed clinical trials in each field are needed to be able to provide reasonable recommendations for the role of homeopathy in this population.

Acknowledgment

With special thanks to Philippa M. Fibert who helped us with searching grey literature.

Authors' Contribution

F. Shaddel, M. Ghazirad; Design the study, analyze the data and drafted the paper.

M. Bryant; Collect the data and contribute to writing the final manuscript.

All authors mutually confirmed the final version of the article.

Conflict of Interest: None

References

1. Thompson T. Can the caged bird sing? Reflections on the application of qualitative research methods to case study design in homeopathic medicine. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2004;4:4
2. Aust N. Are the criteria currently used to rate the quality of clinical studies sufficient to warrant valid results? *Focus on Alternative and Complementary Therapies*, 2013;18(4):171-5.
3. Ernst E. Homeopathy: what does the best evidence tell us? *Med J Aust* 2010;192(8):458-60.
4. von Ammon K, Frei H, Kaufmann F, et al. Homeopathic RCT embedded in an observational study of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Focus Altern Complementary Ther* 2007; 12:5-5.
5. Laures JS, Shisler RJ. Complementary and alternative medical approaches to treating adult neurogenic communication disorders: A review. *Disabil Rehabil* 2004;26(6):315-25.
6. Heirs M, Dean ME. Homeopathy for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder or hyperkinetic disorder. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2007 Oct 17;(4):CD005648.
7. Spence DS, Thompson EA, Barron SJ, Homeopathic treatment for chronic disease: a 6-year university – hospital outpatient observational study. *J Altern Complement Med* 2005; 11(5):793-8.
8. Davidson JR, Crawford C, Ives JA, et al. Homeopathic treatments in psychiatry: a systematic review of randomized placebo-controlled studies. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2011; 72(6):795-805.
9. Haynes RB, Sackett DL, Guyatt GH, Tugwell P. *Clinical Epidemiology*. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. 2005. Pp: 31.
10. Olivo SA, Macedo LG, Gadotti IC, et al. Scales to Assess the Quality of Randomized Controlled Trials: A Systematic Review. *Phys Ther* 2008;88(2):156-75.
11. Jadad AR, Enkin, MW. *Randomized Controlled Trials: Questions, Answers and Musings* (2nd ed.). Malden, MA: Blackwell. 2007.
12. Nuhn T, Ludtke R, Geraedts M. Placebo effect sizes in homeopathic compared to conventional drugs - a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Homeopathy* 2010; 99(1):76-82.
13. Frei H, Everts R, Von AK, et al. Homeopathic treatment of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A randomized, double blind, placebo controlled crossover trial. *Euro J Pediatr* 2005; 164(12):758-67.
14. Lamont J. Homeopathic treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a controlled study. *Biomed Ther* 1998;16(3):219-22.
15. Oberai SP, Varanasi G, Mishra, A, et al. Homeopathic management of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A randomised placebo-controlled pilot trial. *Indian J Res Homoeopathy* 2013;7:4

16. Lottering JB. The relative efficacy of Advanced Brain Food and a homeopathic complex (Quietude) in the management of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in males between the ages of 8 and 13 years. 2006.
17. Jacobs J, Williams A, Girard C, et al. Homeopathy for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a pilot randomized-controlled trial. *J Alternative Complementary Med* 2005;11(5):799-806.
18. Strauss LC. The efficacy of a homeopathic preparation in the management of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Biomed Ther* 2000;18(2): 197-201.
19. Frei H, Thurneysen A. Treatment for hyperactive children: homeopathy and methylphenidate compared in a family setting. *Br Homoeopath J* 2001; 90(4):183-8.
20. Frei H, Everts R, von Ammon K, et al. Randomised controlled trials of homeopathy in hyperactive children: treatment procedure leads to an unconventional study design. Experience with open-label homeopathic treatment preceding the Swiss ADHD placebo controlled, randomised, double-blind, crossover trial. *Homeopathy* 2007;96(1):35-41.
21. Bull L. Sunflower therapy for children with specific learning difficulties (dyslexia): a randomised, controlled trial. *Complement Ther Clin Pract* 2007; 13(1):15-24.
22. Sajedi F, Alizad V, Behnia F. The effect of adding homeopathic treatment to rehabilitation on speech and social development of children with cerebral palsy. *Iran J Pediatr* 2007;17(1):41-6.
23. Dhawale KM, Tamboli M, Sanghvi P, et al. Exploring the role of homeopathy in the management of learning disabilities. Paper based on the findings of the Extramural Research Project granted to the Dr. M. L. Dhawale Memorial Trust, BMC's Mother and Child Care Holistic Centre, Harishankar Joshi Marg, Dahisar (East), Mumbai 400068, 2011.
24. Robinson TW. Homeopathic Secretin in autism: a clinical pilot study. *Br Homeopath J* 2001;90(2):86-91.
25. Adler RH, Herschkowitz N, Minder CE. Letter to the editor - Homeopathic treatment of children with attention deficit disorder: A randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled crossover trial. H. Frei R. Everts, K.v. Ammon et al. *Eur J Pediatr*.
26. Patel NC, Yeh JY, Shepherd MD, et al. Secretin treatment for autistic disorder: a critical analysis. *Pharmacotherapy* 2002;22(7):905-14.